

NEW CLASS FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS CONCERNED WITH THE STRIP DOMAINS

KAZUO KUROKI AND SHIGEYOSHI OWA

ABSTRACT. By considering a certain univalent function in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} which maps \mathbb{U} onto the strip domain w with $\alpha < w < \beta$ ($\alpha < 1$, $\beta > 1$), some new class for certain analytic functions is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all univalent functions $f(z)$ in \mathbb{U} is denoted by \mathcal{S} .

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order α in \mathbb{U} if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real number α with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. This class is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$. The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ was introduced by Robertson [2]. It is well-known that $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}$.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real number β with $\beta > 1$. The class $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ was investigated by Uralegaddi, Ganigi and Sarangi [4].

Let $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then the function $p(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $q(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , written by

$$(1.1) \quad p(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic function, univalent function, starlike function, subordination, coefficient estimate.

if there exists a function $w(z)$ which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), and such that $p(z) = q(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). From the definition of the subordinations, it is easy to show that the subordination (1.1) implies that

$$(1.2) \quad p(0) = q(0) \quad \text{and} \quad p(\mathbb{U}) \subset q(\mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if $q(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the subordination (1.1) is equivalent to the condition (1.2).

Remark 1.1. Let $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then the subordination (1.1) implies that

$$|p'(0)| \leq |q'(0)|,$$

and $|p'(0)| = |q'(0)|$ if and only if $p(z) = q(e^{i\theta}z)$ for some real number θ with $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ (cf. [1]).

Motivated by the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$, we define new class for certain analytic functions. Let $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real number α ($\alpha < 1$) and some real number β ($\beta > 1$). Note that α is not necessary to be positive in the class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Remark 1.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$. If $\alpha \geq 0$, then $f(z)$ is starlike in \mathbb{U} , which implies that $f(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} .

In order to discuss our new class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$, we need to consider a certain univalent function in \mathbb{U} which maps \mathbb{U} onto the strip domain w with $\alpha < \operatorname{Re} w < \beta$.

Theorem 1.1. Let α and β be real numbers with $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$. Then the function $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - e^{-i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

is analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} with $S_{\alpha, \beta}(0) = 1$. In addition, $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ maps \mathbb{U} onto the strip domain w with $\alpha < \operatorname{Re} w < \beta$.

Proof. Note that the function $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ defined by (1.4) can be written as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{ie^{-i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} + (-i)e^{i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} e^{-i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - e^{-i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z} \right).$$

From the equality (1.5), a simple check gives us that $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ maps \mathbb{U} onto the strip domain w with $\alpha < \operatorname{Re} w < \beta$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the function $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ is analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} with $S_{\alpha, \beta}(0) = 1$. \square

Remark 1.3.

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - e^{-i \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z} \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad B_n = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{n\pi} \sin \frac{n\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Specially, we note that the coefficient B_n defined by (1.6) is the real number. Since

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} B_n = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ 2(1 - \alpha) \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}}{\frac{n\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}} \right\} = 2(1 - \alpha),$$

a simple check gives us that

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(1 - \alpha)z^n = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (\beta \rightarrow +\infty),$$

which implies that $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ ($\beta \rightarrow +\infty$) maps \mathbb{U} onto the right half-plane w with $\operatorname{Re} w > \alpha$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} B_n &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} \left\{ \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{n\pi} \sin \left(\frac{n\pi(1 - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha} + n\pi \right) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} \left\{ \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{n\pi} (-1)^n \sin \frac{n\pi(1 - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} \left\{ 2(\beta - 1)(-1)^{n-1} \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi(1 - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha}}{\frac{n\pi(1 - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha}} \right\} = 2(\beta - 1)(-1)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we find that

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(\beta - 1)(-1)^{n-1}z^n = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z} \quad (\alpha \rightarrow -\infty),$$

which implies that $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ ($\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$) maps \mathbb{U} onto the left half-plane w with $\operatorname{Re} w < \beta$.

We give some example for $f(z) \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows.

Example 1.1. Let us consider the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (1.7) \quad f(z) &= z \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}} t}{1 - e^{-i \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}} t} \right) dt \right\} \\ &= z + \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}} z}{1 - e^{-i \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}} z} \right) = S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

According to Theorem 1.1. it is clear that the function $f(z)$ given by (1.7) satisfies the inequality (1.3), which implies that $f(z) \in S(\alpha, \beta)$.

Applying the function $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ defined by (1.4), we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class $S(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - e^{-i\frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z} \right) = S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$.

By using the subordination (1.8), we discussed some properties for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. SOME RESULTS

Rogosinski [3] proved the coefficient estimates for subordinate functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n$ be analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} , and suppose that $q(z)$ maps \mathbb{U} onto a convex domain. If $p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the following subordination*

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|A_n| \leq |B_1| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we deduced some coefficient estimate for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ bellow.

Theorem 2.1. *If the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{k-2 + \frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}}{k-1} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Proof. According to the assertion of Lemma 1.1 the function $f(z)$ satisfies the subordination (1.8). Let us define the function $p(z)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, the subordination (1.8) can be written as follows :

$$(2.2) \quad p(z) \prec S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ is defined by (1.4). Note that the function $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ is convex in \mathbb{U} , and has the following form

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n,$$

where B_n is defined by (1.6). If we let

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n,$$

then by Lemma 2.1, we see that the subordination (2.2) implies that

$$(2.3) \quad |A_n| \leq B_1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad B_1 = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

Now, the equality (2.1) implies that

$$zf'(z) = p(z)f(z).$$

Then, the coefficients of z^n in both sides lead to

$$(2.5) \quad (n - 1)a_n = A_{n-1} + A_{n-2}a_2 + \cdots + A_1a_{n-1} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

A simple calculation combined with the inequality (2.3) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &= \frac{1}{n-1} |A_{n-1} + A_{n-2}a_2 + \cdots + A_1a_{n-1}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n-1} (|A_{n-1}| + |A_{n-2}||a_2| + \cdots + |A_1||a_{n-1}|) \\ &\leq \frac{B_1}{n-1} \sum_{k=2}^n |a_{k-1}| \quad (|a_1| = 1), \end{aligned}$$

where B_1 is given in (2.4). To prove the assertion of the theorem, we need show that

$$(2.6) \quad X_n \equiv \frac{B_1}{n-1} \sum_{k=2}^n |a_{k-1}| \leq \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{k-2+B_1}{k-1} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

We now use the mathematical induction for the proof of the theorem. Since

$$X_2 = B_1|a_1| = B_1 \quad (|a_1| = 1),$$

it is clear that the assertion is holds true for $n = 2$.

We assume that the proposition is true for $n = m$. Then, some calculation gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{m+1} &= \frac{B_1}{(m+1)-1} \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} |a_{k-1}| = \frac{B_1}{m} \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_{k-1}| + |a_m| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{B_1}{m} \left(1 + \frac{B_1}{m-1} \right) \sum_{k=2}^m |a_{k-1}| = \frac{m-1+B_1}{m} \frac{B_1}{m-1} \sum_{k=2}^m |a_{k-1}| \\ &\leq \frac{m-1+B_1}{m} \prod_{k=2}^m \frac{k-2+B_1}{k-1} = \prod_{k=2}^{m+1} \frac{k-2+B_1}{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the inequality (2.6) is true for $n = m + 1$.

By the mathematical induction, we prove that

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{k-2+B_1}{k-1} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

where B_1 is given in (2.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

We next give sharp bounds on the second and third coefficients for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$. To obtain some sharp coefficient estimates, we need the following lemma due to Rogosinski [3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ and $q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} . If $p(z) \prec q(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |A_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |B_k|^2 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

By Remark 1.1 and Lemma 2.2, we obtained

Theorem 2.2. *If the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$(2.7) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \left(\cos \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} + \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \right).$$

Moreover, the equality holds in either inequality if and only if

$$(2.9) \quad f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}(e^{i\theta} t) - 1}{t} dt \right\},$$

for some real number θ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$), where $S_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ is defined by (1.4).

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 2.1, the function $f(z)$ satisfies the subordination (2.2). Also, it follows from the equality (2.5) that

$$a_2 = A_1$$

and

$$2a_3 = A_2 + A_1 a_2 = A_2 + A_1^2.$$

Then by Remark 1.1, we have

$$(2.10) \quad |p'(0)| \leq |S'_{\alpha, \beta}(0)|,$$

with equality if and only if $p(z) = S_{\alpha, \beta}(e^{i\theta} z)$ for some real number θ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$). This implies that

$$|a_2| = |A_1| = |p'(0)| \leq |S'_{\alpha, \beta}(0)| = B_1 = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}$$

with equality if and only if $f(z)$ is given in (2.9).

We next prove the sharp bound on the third coefficient for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$. According to Lemma 2.2, the subordination (2.2) implies that

$$|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 \leq B_1^2 + B_2^2.$$

Thus, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|a_3| &= |A_2 + A_1|^2 \leq |A_2| + |A_1|^2 \\
 &= \frac{2B_2|A_2|}{2B_2} + |A_1|^2 \leq \frac{B_2^2 + |A_2|^2}{2B_2} + |A_1|^2 \\
 &= \frac{B_2^2 + (|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2) + (2B_2 - 1)|A_1|^2}{2B_2} \\
 &\leq \frac{B_2^2 + (B_1^2 + B_2^2) + (2B_2 - 1)B_1^2}{2B_2} = B_2 + B_1^2 \\
 &= \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \left(\cos \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} + \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have employed the equality (2.10) here, so equality holds if and only if $f(z)$ is given in (2.9). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 2.1. According to Theorem 1.1 and Example 1.1, the extremal function $f(z) \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (1.7), and its rotation. Note that the function $f(z)$ given by (1.7) has the following form:

$$f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}(t) - 1}{t} dt \right\} = z \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k} z^k \right\} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

where

$$b_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^k m_j = n-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{B_{m_j}}{m_j} \right) \right\}.$$

From this fact, we expect the sharp bounds on the coefficients for $f(z) \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows:

(2.11)

$$|a_n| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^k m_j = n-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{m_j^2 \pi} \sin \frac{m_j \pi(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} \right) \right\} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Actually, letting $n = 2$ in (2.11), we have the coefficient inequality (2.7). Also, taking $n = 3$ in (2.11), we find the coefficient inequality (2.8).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. W. GOODMAN: *Univalent Functions, Vol. I and II*, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [2] M. S. ROBERTSON: *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), 374–408.
- [3] W. ROGOSINSKI: *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **48** (1943), 48–82.
- [4] B. A. URALEGADDI, M. D. GANIGI, S. M. SARANGI: *Univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Tamkang J. Math. **25** (1994), 225–230.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KINKI UNIVERSITY
HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: freedom@sakai.zaq.ne.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KINKI UNIVERSITY
HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: shige21@ican.zaq.ne.jp