

## ON APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF NON-CONVOLUTION TYPE OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS FOR NON-INTEGRABLE FUNCTION

SEVGI ESEN ALMALI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study the problem of pointwise convergence of non-convolution type of integral operators family at Lebesgue point when  $f$  is non-integrable functions. In this study third theorems are proved on the operator convergence to  $f(x)$ . It is examined separately for finite and infinite intervals.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [5] Mamedov studied various results pointwise convergence and on the order of convergence at generalized lebesgue points and lebesgue points by a family of non-convolution type singular integrals operators of the form

$$T_\lambda(f, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \Phi_\lambda(x, t) dt,$$

in  $L_p$  space. After, Mamedov in [4] give proofs of many on the rate of convergence of the form

$$\int_a^b f(t) K_\lambda(x, t) dt, \quad x \in (a, b),$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  for  $f \in L_1(a, b)$ ,  $f \in L_1(a, \infty)$  and  $f \in L_p(a, b)$ . And he shown similar results for functions of several variables. Similarly, Gadjiev [3] investigated that pointwise convergence and on the order of above the operator for  $f$  is bounded and differentiable.

In [1] Bardaro and Vinti studied convergence of integral operators  $T_n(f)$  defined by

$$T_n(f)(s) = \int_{\Omega} f(t) K(n, s, t) dt,$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $R^m$  concerning certain variational functionals.

In [2] the authors given some approximation theorems with respect to pointwise convergence and the rate of pointwise convergence for non-convolution type linear operators of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Integral operators, lebesgue points, pointwise convergence, non-integrable functions.

the form

$$T_\lambda(f, x) = \int_0^\infty f(z) L_\lambda(x, z) \frac{dz}{z}, \quad x > 0,$$

with kernel satisfying some general homogeneity assumptions. In [6] the authors obtained pointwise convergence and the rates of certain non-integrable functions  $f$  by double singular integral operators with radial kernel on  $D = \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle \times \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ , at generalized Lebesgue point.

In this paper, we investigate the pointwise convergence of  $L_\lambda(f, x)$  to  $f(x)$  in  $L_1(a, b)$  at lebesgue points by family of non-convolution type singular integral operators depending on two parameters of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad L_\lambda(f; x) = \int_a^b f(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt, \quad x \in (a, b).$$

here  $f$  is non-integrable,  $f \notin L_1(a, b)$

First, we give the following definition.

**Definition 1** (Class A). *We take a family  $F = (K_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of functions  $K_\lambda(t; x) : R \times \Lambda \rightarrow R$ , with  $K_\lambda$  is non-negative. We will say that the function  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  belongs to class A, if the following condition are satisfied.*

- a)  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  is a function defined for all  $x, t \in (a, b)$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- b)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b K_\lambda(t; x) dt = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $a \leq x \leq b$
- c) As functions of  $t$ ,  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  is non-decreasing on  $[a, x]$  and non-increasing on  $[x, b]$ .
- d)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} K_\lambda(x \pm \delta; x) dt = 0$ , for chosen  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

## 2. APPROXIMATION

In this section some results on the approximation for non-convolution of integral operators for non-integrable functions is obtained. Let  $\rho \in L_1(a, b)$  and  $E_\rho$  is Lebesgue points set of  $\rho$ . Then, the operator

$$L_\lambda(\rho; x) = \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt, \quad a \leq x \leq b, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

is convergence to  $\rho(x)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $x \in E_\rho$ , if the functions  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  belong to class A, see [5]. Let  $E_{\frac{f}{\rho}}$  be the set of Lebesgue points of  $\frac{f}{\rho}$ . Here  $E = E_\rho \cap E_{\frac{f}{\rho}}$  is the set of Lebesgue points both  $f$  and  $\rho$ . Now we will give the main theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(a, b)$  for  $\rho > 0$ ,  $f \notin L_1(a, b)$  and that the non-negative function  $K_\lambda$  be the element of the class A. Moreover, if  $\rho$  and  $K_\lambda$  be almost everywhere differentiable and for every  $\lambda$  and  $x$ , the condition*

$$(2.1) \quad \rho'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_\lambda(t; x) > 0,$$

holds. Then, the operators  $L_\lambda(f; x)$ , which are defined in (1.1),

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} L_\lambda(f; x) = f(x),$$

holds for at every  $x$ — Lebesgue point.

Note that when  $\rho(t) = 1$  for  $f \in L_1$ , i.e.,  $f$  belongs space  $L_1$ , the condition (2.1) is not needed.

*Proof.* If the statement (1.1) is multiplied and divided by  $\rho(t)$ , we have:

$$L_\lambda(f; x) = \int_a^b \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt,$$

and we can write

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x) &= \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right\} (\rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x)) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \left\{ \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt - \rho(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(a, b)$  and  $x$  is a Lebesgue point of  $\frac{f}{\rho}$ , the statements

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left| \frac{f(x+t)}{\rho(x+t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left| \frac{f(x-t)}{\rho(x-t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt = 0$$

hold. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \rho > 0$ ,  $\forall h \leq \delta$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left| \frac{f(x+t)}{\rho(x+t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt < \varepsilon h,$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left| \frac{f(x-t)}{\rho(x-t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt < \varepsilon h.$$

For a chosen  $\delta$  and since  $K_\lambda(t, x)$  is positive, we can write the equation (2.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \left\{ \int_a^{x-\delta} + \int_{x-\delta}^x + \int_x^{x+\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^b \right\} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \left| \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt - \rho(x) \right| \\ &= A_{1,\lambda} + A_{2,\lambda} + A_{3,\lambda} + A_{4,\lambda} + A_{5,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to show that the terms on the right hand side of the last inequality tends to zero as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, we will calculate the integrals  $A_{1,\lambda}$ ,  $A_{2,\lambda}$ ,  $A_{3,\lambda}$ ,  $A_{4,\lambda}$  and  $A_{5,\lambda}$ .

Since  $\rho \in L_1(a, b)$ ,  $K_\lambda$  is an element of class  $A$  and  $x \in E_\rho$ , we know (see [5]):

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt = \rho(x).$$

It is easy seen that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_{5,\lambda} = 0.$$

Next, we consider  $A_{1,\lambda}$  and  $A_{4,\lambda}$  :

$$A_{1,\lambda} = \int_a^{x-\delta} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt.$$

From the triangle inequality, we can write:

$$A_{1,\lambda} \leq \int_a^{x-\delta} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} \right| \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \int_a^{x-\delta} \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt.$$

From condition c) and (2.1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,\lambda} &< \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda(x - \rho; x) \left\{ \int_a^{x-\delta} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} \right| dt + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \int_a^{x-\delta} dt \right\} \\ &\leq \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda(x - \rho; x) \left\{ \int_a^b \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} \right| dt + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \int_a^b dt \right\} \\ &\leq \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda(x - \rho; x) \left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b - a) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4,\lambda} &< \rho(x + \rho) K_\lambda(x + \rho; x) \left\{ \int_{x+\delta}^b \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} \right| dt + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \int_{x+\delta}^b dt \right\} \\ &\leq \rho(x + \rho) K_\lambda(x + \rho; x) \left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b - a) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,\lambda} + A_{4,\lambda} &< \left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b - a) \right\} \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda(x - \rho; x) \\ &\quad + \left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b - a) \right\} \rho(x + \rho) K_\lambda(x + \rho; x). \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition d), we obtain that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (A_{1,\lambda} + A_{4,\lambda}) = 0.$$

Next, we consider  $A_{2,\lambda}$ . Let us define the function

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \left| \frac{f(x-u)}{\rho(x-u)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| du.$$

Then

$$(2.6) \quad d\Phi(t) = \left| \frac{f(x-t)}{\rho(x-t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt.$$

From (2.4) and  $t \leq \delta$ , the inequality

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi(t) < \epsilon t.$$

holds. Thus, for the integral  $A_{2,\lambda}$  with an appropriate transformation ( $t = x - u$  and then  $u = t$ ), we get:

$$A_{2,\lambda} = \int_0^\delta \left| \frac{f(x-t)}{\rho(x-t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x) dt.$$

By using (2.6) we can write:

$$A_{2,\lambda} = \int_0^\delta \rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x) d\Phi(t).$$

By partial integration and by using the fact that  $K_\lambda(t, x)$  is positive, we obtain the following inequality:

$$A_{2,\lambda} \leq \Phi(\delta) \rho(x-\delta) K_\lambda(x-\delta; x) + \int_0^\delta \Phi(t) d_t (\rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x)).$$

Then, since the derivative of  $\rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x)$  is positive, the function is increasing. Therefore, from (2.7) the inequality:

$$A_{2,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \delta \rho(x-\delta) K_\lambda(x-\delta; x) + \epsilon \int_0^\delta t d_t (\rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x))$$

is satisfied. By partial integration again, we obtain the inequality

$$A_{2,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_0^\delta \rho(x-t) K_\lambda(x-t; x) dt$$

and we get

$$A_{2,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_{x-\delta}^x \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt$$

( $t = x - u$  and then  $u = t$ ). When  $\rho(t)$  and  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  are positive, we have:

$$A_{2,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt.$$

We can use similar method for evaluating  $A_{3,\lambda}$ . Let

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \left| \frac{f(x+u)}{\rho(x+u)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| du,$$

then, it follows:

$$d\Psi(t) = \left| \frac{f(x+t)}{\rho(x+t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| dt.$$

From (2.3) and by using  $t \leq \delta$  we have:

$$(2.8) \quad \Psi(t) < \epsilon t.$$

After the transformations, first  $t = x + u$  later  $t = u$ , we obtain:

$$A_{3,\lambda} = \int_0^\delta \left| \frac{f(x+t)}{\rho(x+t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x) dt.$$

From differentiation of  $\Psi(t)$ , the equality

$$A_{3,\lambda} = \int_0^\delta \rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x) d\Psi(t),$$

is obtained. By using partial integration, we get:

$$A_{3,\lambda} \leq \Psi(\delta) \rho(x+\delta) K_\lambda(x+\delta; x) + \int_0^\delta \Psi(t) d_t (\rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x)).$$

From the conditions c) and (2.1),  $\rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x)$  is decreasing on  $[x, b]$ . Thus  $-\rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x)$  is increasing and its derivative is positive. Then, by using (2.8), it is obtained:

$$A_{3,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \delta \rho(x+\delta) K_\lambda(x+\delta; x) + \epsilon \int_0^\delta t d_t (-\rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x)).$$

Again by applying the partial integration,

$$A_{3,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_0^\delta (\rho(x+t) K_\lambda(x+t; x)) dt,$$

and it follows that:

$$A_{3,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_x^{x-\delta} (\rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x)) dt.$$

Since  $\rho(t)$  and  $K_\lambda(t; x)$  are positive, we find that:

$$A_{3,\lambda} \leq \epsilon \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt,$$

and it is clear that:

$$A_{2,\lambda} + A_{3,\lambda} \leq 2\epsilon \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt.$$

On the other hand, from conditions of the theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt &= \int_a^x \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt + \int_x^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt \\ &\leq \rho(x) \int_a^b K_\lambda(t; x) dt + \rho(x) \int_a^b K_\lambda(t; x) dt \\ &= 2\rho(x) \int_a^b K_\lambda(t; x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the condition

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b K_\lambda(t; x) dt = 1$$

this integration is bounded. Then, the integration

$$\int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt,$$

is bounded. Thus

$$(2.9) \quad A_{2,\lambda} + A_{3,\lambda} < \epsilon C,$$

with C is a fixed. Combining (2.9) and (2.5), we get:

$$|l_\lambda(f, x) - f(x)| < \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b-a) \right\} \rho(x-\rho) K_\lambda(x-\rho; x) \\ &+ \left\{ \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| (b-a) \right\} \rho(x+\rho) K_\lambda(x+\rho; x) \\ &+ \epsilon C + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \left| \int_a^b \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt - \rho(x) \right|. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Therefore our theorem now follows as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} L_\lambda(f, x) = f(x).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

In this theorem, specially it may be  $a = \infty$  and  $b = \infty$ . In this case, we can give the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$  with  $\rho > 0, \rho \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ . Suppose that non-negative function  $K_\lambda$  be the element of the Class-A. For the chosen  $\delta > 0$ , let conditions

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} K_\lambda(t; x) dt = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t; x) dt = 0$$

be satisfied. Also if  $\rho$  and  $K_\lambda$  be almost everywhere differenable and for every  $\lambda$  and  $x$ , the condition (2.1) holds, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} L_\lambda(f, x) = f(x),$$

at  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} |L_\lambda(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} + \int_{x-\delta}^x + \int_x^{x+\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} \right\} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt - \rho(x) \right| \\ &= B_{1,\lambda} + B_{2,\lambda} + B_{3,\lambda} + B_{4,\lambda} + B_{5,\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.1) and the condition c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{1,\lambda} &= \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt \\ (2.10) \quad &\leq \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda x - \rho; x \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(x - \rho) \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} K_\lambda(t; x) dt \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality

$$(2.11) \quad B_{4,\lambda} < \rho(x + \rho) K_\lambda x + \rho; x \left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \rho(x + \rho) \int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t; x) dt$$

is obtained. From the condition c), the relations (2.10) and (2.11), we get:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (B_{1,\lambda} + B_{4,\lambda}) = 0.$$

In the other hand,  $B_{2,\lambda}$  and  $B_{3,\lambda}$  are calculated as Theorem 1, i. e.,

$$B_{2,\lambda} + B_{3,\lambda} < \epsilon C.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{f}{\rho} \right\| \{ \rho(x - \rho) K_\lambda(x - \rho; x) + \rho(x + \rho) K_\lambda(x + \rho; x) \} \\ &+ \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \left\{ \rho(x - \rho) \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} K_\lambda(t; x) dt + \rho(x + \rho) \int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t; x) dt \right\} \\ &+ \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} \right| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(t) K_\lambda(t; x) dt - \rho(x) \right| \\ &+ \epsilon C. \end{aligned}$$



By taking limit as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , from the hypothesis, since  $K_\lambda(x \pm \delta; x) \rightarrow 0$ , from

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} K_\lambda(t; x) dt \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t; x) dt \rightarrow 0,$$

we have:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} L_\lambda(f, x) = f(x).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Let

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{(1+|t|)\sqrt{|t|}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}}, t > 0 \\ 1, t = 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1-t)\sqrt{-t}}, t < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Is it  $\rho \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ ? Since  $\rho$  is even, we have:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(t) dt = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t) dt$ . It is sufficient to show

that the integration  $\int_0^{\infty} \rho(t) dt$  is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} &= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

First, we will consider  $I_1$ .

i) Since  $t < 1$  on  $0 < t < 1$ , the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t} &< 1 \\ \sqrt{t}(1+t) &> \sqrt{t} \\ \frac{1}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} < \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mu}^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} = 2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

ii) Taking  $1 < t < \infty$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t)\sqrt{t}} < \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^{\beta} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 2, \end{aligned}$$

and  $I_2$  is bounded.

Thus  $\rho \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Now, we will find that  $f$  function with  $f \notin L_1(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ . We consider the function

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{|t|(1+t^2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t(1+t^2)}, t > 0 \\ 1, t = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{t(1+t^2)}, t < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Now, let us see  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$  or  $f \notin L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ . For  $0 < t < 1$  it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 1+t^2 &< 2 \\ \frac{1}{1+t^2} &> \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{t(1+t^2)} &> \frac{1}{2t}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since:  $\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t(1+t^2)} > \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} = \infty$ , it follows that  $f \notin L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Moreover, is  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ ? We write:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} dt = \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 + \int_0^{\infty} \right) \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} dt = I_1 + I_2$ .

From  $\frac{f}{\rho}$  is even function, we get  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} dt = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{\rho(t)} dt$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{t}(1+t)}{t(1+t^2)} dt = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1+t)}{\sqrt{t}(1+t^2)} dt \\ &= \left( \int_1^{\infty} + \int_0^1 \right) \frac{(1+t)}{\sqrt{t}(1+t^2)} dt \\ &< \int_0^1 \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} dt + 2 \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t}{\sqrt{t}(1+t^2)} dt \\ &< 2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt + 2 \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see that these integration are bounded. That is  $\frac{f}{\rho} \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ .

From the condition of Class A, since  $K_\lambda(t, x)$  is increasing on  $(-\infty, x]$  and from (2.1), also  $\rho(t)$  is increasing on  $(-\infty, x]$  and  $K_\lambda(t, x)$  is decreasing on  $[x, \infty)$ , hence  $\rho(t)$  is decreasing. Let  $-\infty < t < 0$  (for the special case:  $x = 0$ ). From the definition of  $\rho(t)$ , we have:

$$\rho'(t) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-t}}(1-t) + \sqrt{-t}}{(\sqrt{-t}(1-t))^2} > 0.$$

Thus,  $\rho(t)$  is increasing on  $(-\infty, x]$ . For  $0 < t < \infty$ , we find that

$$\rho'(t) = \frac{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}(1+t) + \sqrt{t}}{(\sqrt{t}(1+t))^2} < 0,$$

i. e,  $\rho(t)$  is decreasing on  $[x, \infty)$ . Now, we will take  $K_\lambda(t, x) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2(t-x)^2}$  (kernel of Gaus-Weierstrass). It is evident that this functions non-negative for every  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Is it

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t, x) dt = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2(t-x)^2} dt = 1?$$

Next, we will calculate. By using

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\lambda(t, x) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2(t-x)^2} dt = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^2 u^2} du,$$

if the transformation  $\lambda t = w$  is made, we get for the last integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{w^2} dw = 1.$$

Hence, the condition b) is satisfied.

For  $-\infty < t < x$  (or let  $x = 0$ ),  $-\infty < t < 0$ , we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_\lambda(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2 t^2} \right) = \frac{-2\lambda^3 t}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2 t^2} > 0.$$

Therefore, it is increasing.

Second, for  $0 < t < \infty$  we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_\lambda(t, x) = \frac{-2\lambda^3 t}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2 t^2} < 0.$$

Then,  $K_\lambda(t, x)$  is decreasing as  $t$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . Finally, we will show that the condition of the Theorem 2 is satisfied. For every  $t \neq x$ , we must show that,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} K_\lambda(t, x) = 0.$$

We took in particular,  $x = 0$ . Then for every  $t \neq 0$ , we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} K_\lambda(t, x) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2 t^2} = 0.$$

As a result, the integration

$$L_\lambda(f, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|t|(1+t^2)} e^{-\lambda^2(t-x)^2} dt$$

convergence to  $f(0)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  for  $x = 0$ .

If we take  $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\rho_1(x)}$  in Theorem 1, then, we can give the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\frac{1}{\rho_1} \in L_1$ ,  $f\rho_1 \in L_1(a, b)$  and  $f \notin L_1(a, b)$ . Suppose that non-negative function  $K_\lambda$  be the element of Class A. Also if  $\frac{1}{\rho_1}$  and  $K_\lambda$  be almost everywhere differentiable and for every  $\lambda$  and  $x$ , the condition,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\rho_1(t)}\right)' \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_\lambda(t, x) > 0$$

*holds. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} L_\lambda(f, x) = f(x)$$

*at  $x$  - Lebesgue point of  $\rho_1 \in L_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is done similar to that of Theorem 1. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.BARDARO, G.VINTI: *On approximation properties of certain non-convolution integral operators*, J.Approx.Theory **62**(3) (1990), 358–371.
- [2] C.BARDARO, G.VINTI, H.KARSLI: *On pointwise convergence of linear integral operators with homogeneous kernels*, Integral Trans. Spec. Funct., **19**(5-6) (2008), 429–439.
- [3] A.D.GAJIEV: *On the order of convergence of some class of singular integrals*, Izv. Acad. Sc. of Azerbaijan SSR, **6** (1963), 27–31.
- [4] R.G.MAMEDOV: *A study of order of convergence of one-dimensional and multidimensional singular integrals*, Studies in theory of differential equations and theory of functions (in Russian), Izdat. Akad. Nauk Azerbaïdžan. SSR, Baku, **41**(50) (1965), 92–108.
- [5] R.G.MAMEDOV: *A generalization of some results on the order of convergence of singular integrals*, (in Russian) Akad. Nauk Azerbaïdžan, SSR Dokl., **18**(3) (1962), 3–7.
- [6] G.ÜYSAL, M.M.YILMAZ: *Some theorems on the approximation of non-integrable functions via singular integral operators*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **18**(2) (2015), 241–251.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY  
KIRIKKALE, TURKEY