

PROPERTIES FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

SHIGEYOSHI OWA

ABSTRACT. There are many results for analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ concerning with fractional calculus of $f(z)$. A subclass $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$ of analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ is introduced using fractional calculus of $f(z)$. The object of the present paper is to consider some interesting properties of functions $f(z)$ belonging to this class. Further, some partial sums for $f(z)$ are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ satisfying $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) and $f(z) = g(w(z))$. We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The subordinations are applied for many papers for the univalent function theory by Breaz, Owa and Breaz [1], Rogosinski ([7], [8]), and Singh and Gupta [9].

Let us consider a function $g(z)$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad g(z) = \frac{\alpha - z}{\alpha(1 - z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($0 < \alpha < 1$). Then, $g(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $g(0) = 1$. If we take $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U}$ for $g(z)$, then we have that

$$\frac{\alpha - r}{\alpha(1 - r)} \leq \operatorname{Re} g(z) \leq \frac{\alpha + r}{\alpha(1 + r)} < \frac{\alpha + 1}{2\alpha} \quad (0 < \alpha < 1).$$

Therefore, if $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0) = 1$ and satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) < \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

Key words and phrases. analytic function, fractional calculus, subordination, partial sum.

for some real α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then

$$(1.3) \quad p(z) \prec \frac{\alpha - z}{\alpha(1 - z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Conversely, if $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0) = 1$ and satisfies the subordination (1.3), then $p(z)$ satisfies (1.2).

In view of the above, we say that if a function $p(z)$ which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0) = 1$ satisfies the subordination (1.3), then $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$. We note that if $p(z)$ which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0) = 1$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then $p(z)$ is said to be Carathéodory function in $\mathbb{U}$ (see [2], [10]).

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, Owa [5], Owa and Srivastava [6] consider the following fractional calculus (fractional integrals and fractional derivatives).

Definition 1.1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} dt,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $z-t > 0$.

Definition 1.2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} (D_z^{\lambda-1} f(z)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^\lambda} dt,$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.1 above.

Definition 1.3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 1.2, the fractional derivative of order $n + \lambda$ is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z),$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

From Definition 1.2, if $f(z)$ is given by (1.1), then we have that

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^{n-\lambda}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Therefore, we say that $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$ if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\frac{z}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)} \prec \frac{\alpha - z}{\alpha(1 - z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$).

2. SOME PROPERTIES FOR THE CLASS $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$

We first derive the following coefficient inequalities for the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.1. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}$$

for some α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$. The equality holds true for $f(z)$ given by

$$(2.2) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)\epsilon}{(1+\alpha)n(n-1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)} z^n,$$

where $|\epsilon| = 1$.

Proof. Let us consider the function

$$g(z) = \Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^n$$

for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we see that $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$ if $g(z)$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha \left| 1 - \frac{z}{g(z)} \right| < \left| 1 - \alpha \frac{z}{g(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that is, that

$$|g(z) - z| < \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} g(z) - z \right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This gives us that

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^{n-1} \right| < \left| \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^{n-1} \right|.$$

Therefore, if $f(z)$ satisfies that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} |a_n|,$$

that is, that

$$(1+\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha,$$

then $g(z)$ satisfies (2.3). This implies that if $f(z)$ satisfies the coefficient inequality (2.1), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Furthermore, we consider $f(z)$ given by (2.2). Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} |a_n| &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{n(n-1)(1+\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(z)$ given by (2.2) satisfies the equality in (2.1). □

Letting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}$$

for some α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, 0)$, that is

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} \prec \frac{\alpha - z}{\alpha(1-z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

3. APPLICATIONS OF MILLER AND MOCANU LEMMA

For considering the next properties of $f(z)$ for the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$, we have to recall here the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [4] (also, due to Jack [3]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $w(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0) = 0$. Then, if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$, then we have that*

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0),$$

where $k \geq 1$.

With the above lemma, we derive

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \neq 0$ ($z \neq 0$) and*

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 - \lambda + \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^\lambda f(z)} (1 - \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z)) \right\} < \frac{1+3\alpha}{2\alpha(1+\alpha)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Proof. Let us define a function $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^\lambda f(z)} = \frac{\alpha - w(z)}{\alpha(1 - w(z))} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $w(0) = 0$. It follows that

$$1 - \lambda - \frac{z D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z)}{D_z^\lambda f(z)} = \frac{z w'(z)}{1 - w(z)} - \frac{z w'(z)}{\alpha - w(z)}.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \lambda + \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^\lambda f(z)} (1 - \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z)) \\ = \frac{\alpha - w(z)}{\alpha(1 - w(z))} + \frac{z w'(z)}{1 - w(z)} - \frac{z w'(z)}{\alpha - w(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Max}_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then, Lemma 3.1 gives us that

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Writing that $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) + \frac{z_0^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^\lambda f(z_0)} (1 - \Gamma(2-\lambda) z_0^\lambda D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z_0)) \right\} \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha - w(z_0)}{\alpha(1 - w(z_0))} + \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{1 - w(z_0)} - \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{\alpha - w(z_0)} \right\} \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha - e^{i\theta}}{\alpha(1 - e^{i\theta})} + \frac{k e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} - \frac{k e^{i\theta}}{\alpha - e^{i\theta}} \right\} \\ = \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} + k \left(\frac{1 - \alpha \cos \theta}{1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$h(t) = \frac{1 - \alpha t}{1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha t} \quad (t = \cos \theta).$$

Then $h(t)$ satisfies that

$$h'(t) = \frac{\alpha(1 - \alpha^2)}{(1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha t)^2} \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1),$$

that is, that $h'(t) > 0$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) + \frac{z_0^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z_0)} (1 - \Gamma(2 - \lambda)z_0^\lambda D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z_0)) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} + k \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \geq \frac{1 + 3\alpha}{2\alpha(1 + \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since, this contradicts our condition (3.1) of the theorem, we say that there is no $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. Thus, $w(z)$ satisfies $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This implies that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\alpha \left(1 - \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)} \right)}{1 - \alpha \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)}} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that, is that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Consequently, we obtain that $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$. □

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z}{f(z)} (1 - f'(z)) \right) < \frac{1 + 3\alpha}{2\alpha(1 + \alpha)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, 0)$, so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have

Corollary 3.2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \neq 0$ ($z \neq 0$) and*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 - \lambda + \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)} (1 - \Gamma(2 - \lambda)z^\lambda D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z)) \right\} < \frac{5}{3} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)} \right) < \frac{3}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

4. PARTIAL SUMS

Let a function $g(z)$ be given by (1.1). Then $g(z)$ has

$$g(z) = \frac{\alpha - z}{\alpha(1 - z)} = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \quad (0 < \alpha < 1).$$

For this function $g(z)$, we consider a partial sum $g_2(z)$ of $g(z)$ which is given by

$$g_2(z) = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) z + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) z^2.$$

Taking $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq r < 1$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Reg}_2(z) = 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} r \cos \theta (2r \cos \theta + 1).$$

Consider a function $h(t)$ given by

$$h(t) = t(2rt + 1) \quad (t = \cos \theta).$$

If $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$2r - 1 \leq h(t) \leq 2r + 1.$$

This shows us that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} (1 - (1 - \alpha)r - 2(1 - \alpha)r^2) \leq \operatorname{Reg}_2(z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + (1 - \alpha)r - 2(1 - \alpha)r^2).$$

Let us consider the radius r such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + (1 - \alpha)r - 2(1 - \alpha)r^2) \geq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha}.$$

It follows that

$$4r^2 - 2r - 1 \leq 0.$$

Therefore, if we consider r such that

$$0 \leq r \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{4} = 0.8090 \dots,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Reg}_2(z) \not\leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$\operatorname{Reg}(z) < \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $g(z)$ given by (1.1), but

$$\operatorname{Reg}_2(z) \not\leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\alpha} \quad \left(0 \leq r \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{4}\right)$$

With this fact, we consider a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Since

$$\frac{z}{\Gamma(2 - \lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)} = 1 - \frac{2}{2 - \lambda} a_2 z + \frac{2}{2 - \lambda} \left(\frac{2}{2 - \lambda} a_2^2 - \frac{3}{3 - \lambda} a_3 \right) z^2 + \dots,$$

we consider a function $F_1(z)$ given by

$$F_1(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{2 - \lambda} a_2 z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For this function $F_1(z)$, we have

Theorem 4.1. *If a_2 satisfies*

$$|a_2| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{4\alpha}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} F_1(z) < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Writing that $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $a_2 = |a_2|e^{i\phi}$, we see that

$$\operatorname{Re} F_1(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2| r \cos(\theta + \phi) \leq 1 + \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2| r.$$

Therefore, if $F_1(z)$ satisfies

$$|a_2| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{4\alpha},$$

then $f_1(z)$ satisfies (4.1). □

Next, we consider $F_2(z)$ which is given by

$$F_2(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{2-\lambda} a_2 z + \frac{2}{2-\lambda} \left(\frac{2}{2-\lambda} a_2^2 - \frac{3}{3-\lambda} a_3 \right) z^2.$$

Then, we have

Theorem 4.2. *If $F_2(z)$ satisfies*

$$(4.2) \quad |a_2| + \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2|^2 + \frac{3}{3-\lambda} |a_3| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{4\alpha}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then

$$(4.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} F_2(z) < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Taking $z = re^{i\theta}$, $a_2 = |a_2|e^{i\phi}$ and $a_3 = |a_3|e^{i\rho}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} F_2(z) &= 1 - \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2| r \cos(\theta + \phi) + \left(\frac{2}{2-\lambda} \right)^2 |a_2|^2 r^2 \cos 2(\theta + \phi) \\ &\quad - \frac{2 \cdot 3}{(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)} |a_3| r^2 \cos(2\theta + \rho) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2| r + \left(\frac{2}{2-\lambda} \right)^2 |a_2|^2 r^2 (2 \cos^2(\theta + \phi) - 1) + \frac{2 \cdot 3}{(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)} |a_3| r^2 \\ &< 1 + \frac{2}{2-\lambda} |a_2| + \left(\frac{2}{2-\lambda} \right)^2 |a_2|^2 + \frac{2 \cdot 3}{(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)} |a_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if a_2 and a_3 satisfy the inequality (4.2), then we obtain (4.3). □

Letting $a_2 = 0$ in Theorem 4.2, we see that

Corollary 4.1. *If $F_2(z)$ satisfies $a_2 = 0$ and*

$$|a_3| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)}{12\alpha}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} F_2(z) < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Finally, we derive

Theorem 4.3. *If $F_{n-1}(z)$ satisfies $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$ and*

$$|a_n| < \frac{(1-\alpha) \prod_{j=2}^n (j-\lambda)}{2\alpha n!}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then

$$(4.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} F_{n-1}(z) < \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. For $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$, we can write that

$$F_{n-1}(z) = 1 - \frac{n!}{\prod_{j=2}^n (j-\lambda)} a_n z^{n-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus, it is clear that $F_{n-1}(z)$ satisfies (4.4) if a_n satisfies

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{j=2}^n (j-\lambda)} |a_n| < \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

From Theorem 4.3, we give

Problem 4.1. *Find some conditions for $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ such that $F_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \lambda)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BREAZ, S. OWA, N. BREAZ: *Some properties of general integral operators*, Adv. Math. Sci. J., **3**(2014), 9 – 14.
- [2] C. CARATHÉODORY: *Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourier'schen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **32**(1911), 193 – 219.
- [3] I. S. JACK: *Functions starlike and convex of order alpha*, J. London Math. Soc., **3** (1971), 469 – 474.
- [4] S. S. MILLER, P. T. MOCANU: *Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65**(1978), 289 – 305.
- [5] S. OWA: *On the distortion theorem, I*, Kyungpook Math. J., **18**(1978), 53 – 59.
- [6] S. OWA, H. M. SRIVASTAVA: *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39**(1987), 1057 – 1077.
- [7] W. ROGOSINSKI: *On subordinate functions*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **35**(1939), 1 – 26.
- [8] W. ROGOSINSKI: *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **48**(1943), 48 – 82.
- [9] S. SINGH, S. GUPYA: *A differential subordination and starlikeness of analytic functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **19**(2006), 618 – 627.
- [10] D. YANG, S. OWA, K. OCHIAI: *Sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions*, Comp. Math. Appl., **51**(2006), 467 – 474.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF EDUCATION
YAMATO UNIVERSITY
KATAYAMA 2-5-1, SUITA, OSAKA 564-0082
JAPAN
E-mail address: owa.shigeyoshi@yamato-u.ac.jp