

MAJORIZATION OF BEREZIN TRANSFORM

NAMITA DAS¹ AND MADHUSMITA SAHOO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we majorize the Berezin transform of positive invertible operators defined from the Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ into itself. We also present sufficient conditions on bounded operators $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(|S|) = \rho(T)$ in terms of the Schatten norm of these operators. Here $\rho(T)$ is the Berezin transform of T . Further, given $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$, we find conditions on the existence of a projection operator $E \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(TE) = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$ denote the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ Lebesgue measurable let $\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p dA(z) \right)^{1/p}$. The Bergman space $L_a^p(\mathbb{D})$ is the Banach space of analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f\|_p < \infty$. The Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert space; it is a closed subspace [3] of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ with the inner product given by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dA(z)$, $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$. Let P denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ onto $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let $K(z, \bar{w})$ be the function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ defined by $K(z, \bar{w}) = \overline{K_z(w)} = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}$. The function $K(z, \bar{w})$ is called the reproducing kernel of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. For any $n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1} z^n$, then $\{e_n\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let $k_a(z) = \frac{K(z, \bar{a})}{\sqrt{K(a, \bar{a})}} = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^2}$. These functions k_a are called the normalized reproducing kernels of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$; it is clear that they are unit vectors in $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let $L^\infty(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ be the Banach space of all essentially bounded measurable functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$ and $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from the separable Hilbert space H into itself and $\mathcal{CC}(H)$ be the space of all compact operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is called positive if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ holds for every $x \in H$ in which case we write $A \geq 0$. The absolute value of an operator A is the positive operator $|A|$ defined

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A36; 47B32.

Key words and phrases. Absolute value, Berezin transform, Bergman space, Positive operators, Reproducing kernel.

as $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If H is infinite-dimensional, the map $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is not Lipschitz continuous. We define $\rho : \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ by $\rho(T)(z) = \tilde{T}(z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. A function $g(x, \bar{y})$ on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ is called of positive type (or positive definite), written $g \gg 0$, if

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k g(x_j, \bar{x}_k) \geq 0$$

for any n -tuple of complex numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n$ and points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{D}$. We write $g \gg h$ if $g - h \gg 0$. We shall say $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\Upsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and is such that

$$(1.1) \quad \Upsilon(z) = \Theta(z, \bar{z})$$

where $\Theta(x, \bar{y})$ is a function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ meromorphic in x and conjugate meromorphic in y and if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$cK(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is a fact that (see [7], [8]) Θ as in (1.1), if it exists, is uniquely determined by Υ . In this paper, we majorize the Berezin transform of positive invertible operators belonging to $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we find conditions on positive invertible operators $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(XB^{-1}X) \leq \rho(A)$ where $X \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is self-adjoint. In Section 3, we establish that if f is an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is positive then $\Theta_{f(EAE)}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{Ef(A)E}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\rho(f(EAE)) = \rho(Ef(A)E)$ if and only if E and A commute $f(0) = 0$ and f is not a linear function. Section 4 is devoted to Schatten norm and contractions. In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions on Schatten norm of $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(|S|) = \rho(T)$ and $\rho(S) \leq \rho(T)$. Further, we also find conditions on the existence of projection operator $E \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(TE) = 0$.

2. ON INVERTIBLE POSITIVE OPERATORS

In this section, we find conditions on positive invertible operators $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(XB^{-1}X) \leq \rho(A)$ where $X \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is self-adjoint. If $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ and S is positive, then let $\Theta_S(x, \bar{y}) = \frac{\langle SK_y, K_x \rangle}{\langle K_y, K_x \rangle}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ are positive and invertible and $X \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is self-adjoint. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta_A(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{XB^{-1}X}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad |\langle XK_y, K_x \rangle|^2 \leq \langle AK_x, K_x \rangle \langle BK_y, K_y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. In this case $\rho(XB^{-1}X) \leq \rho(A)$.

Proof. Suppose (2.1) holds. Then

$$\langle AK_y, K_x \rangle \geq \langle XB^{-1}XK_y, K_x \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. The last inequality is valid if and only if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_i \langle AK_{x_j}, K_{x_i} \rangle \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_i \langle XB^{-1}XK_{x_j}, K_{x_i} \rangle$$

where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{D}$ and $c_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are constants. Thus (2.1) holds if and only if

$$\left\langle A \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i K_{x_i} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i K_{x_i} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \geq \left\langle XB^{-1}X \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i K_{x_i} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i K_{x_i} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Since $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}; x_j \in \mathbb{D}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$ is dense in $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, hence (2.1) holds if and only if $\langle Ag, g \rangle \geq \langle XB^{-1}Xg, g \rangle$ for all $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. That is, if and only if $A \geq XB^{-1}X$. Now considering the congruence

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} I & -XB^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -B^{-1}X & I \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} A - XB^{-1}X & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain $A \geq XB^{-1}X$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix}$ is positive. Thus (2.1) holds if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix}$ is positive. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2 \oplus L_a^2)$. Then it follows from [2], that $\left| \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K_y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2$
 $\leq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K_y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. A simplification of these inner products yields

$$|\langle XK_x, K_y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle AK_x, K_x \rangle \langle BK_y, K_y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. That is,

$$|\langle XK_y, K_x \rangle|^2 \leq \langle AK_x, K_x \rangle \langle BK_y, K_y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. That is, (2.2) holds. Suppose (2.2) holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{y_j}$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i K_{x_i}$ where c_j are constants for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and d_i are constants, $x_i \in \mathbb{D}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then using Heinz inequality [5] we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle Xf, g \rangle|^2 \leq \langle X|f, f \rangle \langle X|g, g \rangle$$

for all $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Now it follows from (2.3), that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle &= \langle Af, f \rangle + \langle Xg, f \rangle + \langle Xf, g \rangle + \langle Bg, g \rangle \\ &= \langle Af, f \rangle + \langle Bg, g \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Xf, g \rangle \\ &\geq 2\langle Af, f \rangle^{1/2} \langle Bg, g \rangle^{1/2} + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Xf, g \rangle \\ &\geq 2|\langle Xf, g \rangle| + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Xf, g \rangle \\ &\geq 2|\langle Xf, g \rangle| - 2|\langle Xf, g \rangle| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix}$ is positive. From the first part it follows that $A \geq XB^{-1}X$ and

$$\Theta_A(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{XB^{-1}X}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. The result follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *Let $0 < m \leq A \leq M$ and E is a projection operator from $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ onto a closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$. Let $A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{M}} = A_1$ and $A|_{\mathcal{M}} = A_2$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_{EA_1}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{(EA_2)^{-1}}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Further $\rho(EA_1) = \rho((EA_2)^{-1})$ if and only if E and A commute.

Proof. The inequality in (2.4) follows from Theorem 2.1. Notice that EA_1 and $(I - E)A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ are invertible and

$$(EA_2)^{-1} = EA_1 - EA^{-1}((I - E)A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp})^{-1}(I - E)A_1.$$

Now let $(EA_2)^{-1} = EA_1$. Then $(I - E)A_1 = 0$ and this implies $EA^{-1} = A^{-1}E$. Thus $EA = AE$. $\square$

3. OPERATOR MONOTONE FUNCTION

In this section, we establish that if f is an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is positive then

$$\Theta_{f(EAE)}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{Ef(A)E}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\rho(f(EAE)) = \rho(Ef(A)E)$ if and only if E and A commute $f(0) = 0$ and f is not a linear function.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ and assume $f(0) \geq 0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ and $A \geq 0$ and E is the projection operator from $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ onto a closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\Theta_{f(EAE)}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{Ef(A)E}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Further, $\rho(f(EAE)) = \rho(Ef(A)E)$ if and only if E and A commute $f(0) = 0$ and f is not a linear function.

Proof. Since f is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$, hence f can be represented as

$$f(s) = a + bs + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t+s} \right) d\mu(t)$$

where $a = f(0)$, $b \geq 0$ and μ is a positive Borel measure such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+t^2} d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

Let E be the projection operator from $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ onto the closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$\langle Ef(A)Eg, g \rangle = \langle (a + bA)Eg, Eg \rangle + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} I - (tI + A)^{-1}Eg, Eg \right) d\mu(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f(EAE)g, g \rangle &= \langle (a + bEAE)g, g \rangle + \int_0^\infty \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{t}I - (tI + EAE)^{-1} \right) g, g \right\rangle d\mu(t) \\ &= \langle (a + bEAE)g, g \rangle + \int_0^\infty \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{t}E - (E(tI + A)|_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1} \right) Eg, Eg \right\rangle d\mu(t).\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{t}E - (E(tI + A)|_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{t}E - E(tI + A)^{-1}E$$

for $t > 0$ implies that $f(EAE) \geq Ef(A)E$. Thus

$$\Theta_{f(EAE)}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_{Ef(A)E}(x, \bar{y})K(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Now if, $f(EAE) = Ef(A)E$, then for every $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, $\langle ag, g \rangle = \langle aEg, Eg \rangle$ and

$$\langle (E(tI + A)|_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}Eh, Eh \rangle = \langle (tI + A)^{-1}Eh, Eh \rangle$$

for almost every $t > 0$ with respect to μ . Since $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is separable, we obtain

$$(E(tI + A)|_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1} = E(tI + A)^{-1}E$$

for almost every $t > 0$. Thus by Corollary 2.1, $E(tI + A) = (tI + A)E$ and hence $EA = AE$ and $f(0) = a = 0$. $\square$

4. SCHATTEN NORM AND CONTRACTIONS

In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions on Schatten norm of $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ such that $\rho(|S|) = \rho(T)$ and $\rho(S) \leq \rho(T)$. Further, we also find conditions on the existence of $E \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\rho(TE) = 0$. From [5], it follows that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then $|\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle |T|^{2\alpha}x, x \rangle \langle |T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$ and for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{LC}(H)$ is said to be in the Schatten p -class $S_p(H)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), if $\text{trace}(|T|^p) < \infty$. Let S_∞ be the set of all bounded operators from $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ into itself. The Schatten p -norm of T is defined by $\|T\|_p = (\text{trace}|T|^p)^{1/p}$. It is well known that if $T \in S_p(H)$ then, $\|T\|_p = \|T^*\|_p = \||T|\|_p$. The class $S_1(H)$ is also called the trace class of H .

$$\|T\|_1 = \text{trace}|T| = \|T\|_{tr} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle T\phi_k, \phi_k \rangle|$$

where $\{\phi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H . Let x and y be two nonzero vectors in H . Suppose $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Let $T = x \otimes y + y \otimes x$. Then T is self-adjoint on H . Further, $\|T^2\|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\|x\|^2\|y\|^2$ and $\|T\|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\|x\|\|y\|$, where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the Schatten p -class norm for $p \geq 1$. Thus $\|T^2\|_p \neq \|T\|_p^2$. Notice that $T^2 = \|y\|^2x \otimes x + \|x\|^2y \otimes y$, so the square root $|T|$ of the positive operator T^2 is

$$|T| = \|x\|\|y\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \otimes \frac{x}{\|x\|} + \|x\|\|y\| \frac{y}{\|y\|} \otimes \frac{y}{\|y\|}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let T be a rank k normal operator on H with $\{\lambda\}_{j=1}^k$ the k eigenvalues of T repeated according to multiplicity. Then*

$$\text{trace}|T^2| \leq (\text{trace}|T|)^2 \leq k\text{trace}|T^2|.$$

Proof. Notice that $\|T\|_{\text{tr}} = \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|$. Since T^2 is also of rank k and normal with the eigen-

values $\{\lambda_j^2\}_{j=1}^k$, by functional calculus, $\|T^2\|_{\text{tr}} = \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|^2$. So the first inequality is trivial.

The second inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. If $\text{tr}(ESE) = \text{tr}(ETE)$ for every rank-one projection $E \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$, then $\rho(S) = \rho(T)$.*

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let $E = k_z \otimes k_z$ where $k_z \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the normalized reproducing kernel. Then E is a rank-one projection and every rank-one projection takes this form. By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sk_z, k_z \rangle &= \text{tr}(Sk_z \otimes k_z) \\ &= \text{tr}(ESE) = \text{tr}(ETE) \\ &= \text{tr}(Tk_z \otimes k_z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\langle Sk_z, k_z \rangle = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle$ and $\rho(S) = \rho(T)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $S, T \in S_p$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $0 \leq S \leq T$ and $\|S\|_p = \|T\|_p$, then $S = T$.*

Proof. For proof see [6]. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1(H)$ be the set of all rank-one projections on the Hilbert space H .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ be a positive operator. The following hold:*

- (i) $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\| - b) = \text{tr}(SE)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$, $b > 0$.
- (ii) If $S \in S_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, then $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\|_p - b) = \text{tr}(SE)$ holds for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$, $b > 0$.

Proof. To prove (i), Suppose $f \in (\text{Range } E) \cap L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f\| = 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Assume $T = (\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon)E + bE^\perp$ where $E^\perp = I - E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1/2}ST^{-1/2} &= \frac{1}{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon}ESE + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}\sqrt{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon}}ESE^\perp + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}\sqrt{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon}}E^\perp SE + \frac{1}{t}E^\perp SE^\perp = \frac{1}{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon}ESE + V_b \end{aligned}$$

where V_b is the sum of the last three terms. Notice that

$$ESEf = ES(\langle f, f \rangle f) = \langle f, f \rangle ESf = ESf = \langle Sf, f \rangle f.$$

Thus $\|ESEf\| = \langle Sf, f \rangle$. Hence

$$\|T^{-1/2}ST^{-1/2}\| \leq \frac{\langle Sf, f \rangle}{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon} + \|V_b\|.$$

Letting $b \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|T^{-1/2}ST^{-1/2}\| \leq \frac{\langle Sf, f \rangle}{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon} \leq 1$$

since $\|V_b\| \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $T^{-1/2}ST^{-1/2} \leq I$ and therefore

$$S \leq (\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon)E + bE^\perp.$$

Hence we obtain the inequality $\|(S + bE)\| \leq \|(\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon + b)E + bE^\perp\|$ which holds for sufficiently large $b \geq 0$. Further, $\langle Sf, f \rangle + b \leq \|S + bE\|$ and

$$\|(\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon + b)E + bE^\perp\| = \max\{\langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon + b, b\} = \langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon + b.$$

Thus for sufficiently large $b \geq 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \|S + bE\| - \langle Sf, f \rangle - b \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle + \epsilon + b - \langle Sf, f \rangle - b = \epsilon.$$

Hence we get,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\| - b) = \langle Sf, f \rangle = \text{tr}(SE).$$

To prove (ii), first notice that $\|bE\|_p = b$ and

$$\|S + bE\|_p - b = \frac{\|\frac{1}{b}S + E\|_p - \|E\|_p}{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

From [1], it follows that the Schatten-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is Fréchet differentiable at any point of $S_p(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ and computing the derivative at the point E in the direction of S , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\|_p - b) &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\frac{1}{b}S + E\|_p - \|E\|_p}{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\frac{|E|^{p-1} U^* S}{\|E\|_p^{p-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where U is the partial isometry in the polar decomposition of E . Clearly, $U = E$, $|E|^{p-1} = E$ and $\|E\|_p = 1$ and hence we obtain that

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\|_p - b) = \text{tr}(SE)$$

for $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. □

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. The following hold:

- (i) Suppose S is self-adjoint, $T \geq 0$ and $\pm S \leq T$. If further $S, T \in S_p$ for some p with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\|S\|_p = \|T\|_p$ then $\rho(|S|) = \rho(T)$.
- (ii) If $S \geq 0$, $T \geq 0$, $S, T \in S_p$ for $1 < p \leq \infty$, then $\|S + bE\|_p \leq \|T + bE\|_p$ holds for all $b \geq 0$ and $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ if and only if $S \leq T$. In this case, $\rho(S) \leq \rho(T)$.

Proof. (i) Since $S = S^*$, the space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ can be written as $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = X_+ \oplus X_-$ so that $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_+ & 0 \\ 0 & S_- \end{pmatrix}$, where S_+ and S_- are positive operators on X_+ and X_- respectively.

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_2^* & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ relative to the decomposition $X = X_+ \oplus X_-$. Since $T \geq \pm S$, it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} T_1 - S_+ & T_2 \\ T_2^* & T_3 + S_- \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} T_1 + S_+ & T_2 \\ T_2^* & T_3 - S_- \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$(4.2) \quad T_1 \geq S_+ \text{ and } T_3 \geq S_-.$$

By [6], (4.2) and the min-max principle, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \|T\|_p \geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p = (\|T_1\|_p^p + \|T_3\|_p^p)^{1/p} \geq (\|S_+\|_p^p + \|S_-\|_p^p)^{1/p} = \|S\|_p.$$

Now suppose that $\|S\|_p = \|T\|_p$. Then it follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$(4.4) \quad \|T_1\|_p = \|S_+\|_p \text{ and } \|T_3\|_p = \|S_-\|_p.$$

From Lemma 4.1, it follows from (4.2) and (4.4) that $T_1 = S_+$ and $T_3 = S_-$. From (4.1), it follows that $T_2 = 0$ and so $\rho(T) = \rho(|S|)$.

(ii) Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and assume $S \leq T$. It follows from the monotonicity of Schatten- p -norms [9] that $\|S + bE\|_p \leq \|T + bE\|_p$ for all $b \geq 0$ and for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. Now assume that

$$(4.5) \quad \|S + bE\|_p \leq \|T + bE\|_p$$

holds for all $b \geq 0$ and for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. From Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\|_p - b) = \text{tr}(SE)$. Thus we obtain from (4.5) that $\text{tr}(SE) \leq \text{tr}(TE)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. Thus it follows that

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \text{tr}(S(f \otimes f)) \leq \text{tr}(T(f \otimes f)) = \langle Tf, f \rangle$$

for all $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\rho(S) \leq \rho(T)$. For $p = \infty$, $S \leq T$ implies $\|S + bE\| \leq \|T + bE\|$ for all $b \geq 0$ and for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. It follows from the monotonicity of the operator norm. Now suppose $\|S + bE\| \leq \|T + bE\|$ for all $b \geq 0$ and for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_1(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$. From Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + bE\| - b) = \text{tr}(SE)$. Thus $\text{tr}(SE) \leq \text{tr}(TE)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, f \rangle &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|S + b(f \otimes f)\| - b) \\ &\leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (\|T + b(f \otimes f)\| - b) = \langle Tf, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore $S \leq T$ and $\rho(S) \leq \rho(T)$. The theorem follows. $\square$

Definition 4.1. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is a contraction if $\|A\| \leq 1$.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is a contraction and $|T|^2 \leq |T^2|$. Then $\rho(K^{n+1}) \leq \rho(K^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $K = |T^2| - |T|^2$. Further $\{K^n\}$ converges strongly to a projection operator E and $\rho(K^n) \rightarrow \rho(E)$ and $\rho(TE) = 0$.

Proof. Since $|T|^2 \leq |T^2|$, hence $K \geq 0$. Further, since $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ it follows from [4] that $\||T|^{1/2}\|^2 = \|T\|$ and $\| |T|f \| = \|Tf\|$ for all $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let $S = K^{1/2}$ be the unique [4] non-negative square root of K . Now because T is a contraction, we obtain

$$\||T^2|^{1/2}\|^2 = \|T^2\| \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K^{n+1}f, f \rangle &= \|S^{n+1}f\|^2 \\ &= \langle KS^n f, S^n f \rangle \\ &= \||T^2|^{1/2}S^n f\|^2 - \||T|S^n f\|^2 \\ &\leq \|S^n f\|^2 - \|TS^n f\|^2 \leq \|S^n f\|^2 = \langle K^n f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle K^{n+1}k_z, k_z \rangle \leq \langle K^n k_z, k_z \rangle$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. That is $\rho(K^{n+1}) \leq \rho(K^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{K^n\}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence of bounded positive operators. Now since $K \geq 0$, it follows from [2] that $\{K^n\}$ converges strongly to a projection E . Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \|TS^n f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^m (\|S^n f\|^2 - \|S^{n+1}f\|^2) = \|f\|^2 - \|S^{m+1}f\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2$$

for all non-negative integers m and $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore, $\|TS^n f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence

$$TEf = T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K^n f\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TS^{2n} f = 0,$$

for every $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Thus $\rho(TE) = 0$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. J. ABATZOGLOU: *Norm derivatives on spaces of operators*, Math. Ann. **239**(2)(1979), 129–135.
- [2] N.I. AKHIEZER, I.M. GLAZMAN: *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*, Monographs and studies in Mathematics, **9**, Pitman, 1981.
- [3] J. B. CONWAY: *A course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [4] R. G. DOUGLAS: *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [5] T. FURUTA: *A simplified proof of Heinz inequality and continuity of its equality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **97**(1996), 751–753.
- [6] I. C. GOHBERG, M. G. KREN: *Introduction to the theory of Linear non-self-adjoint operators*, Transl. Math. Monographs **18**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1969.
- [7] G. M. GOLUSIN: *Geometric theory of functions of complex variable*, Nauka, 1966.
- [8] S. G. KRANTZ: *Function theory of several complex variables*, John Wiley, New York, 1982.
- [9] K. ZHU: *Operator theory in function spaces*, Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied Mathematics, **139**, Dekker, New York, 1990.

P.G.DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UTKAL UNIVERSITY
 VANI VIHAR, BHUBANESWAR- 751004, ODISHA, INDIA
E-mail address: namitadas440@yahoo.co.in

SCHOOL OF APPLIED SCIENCES (MATHEMATICS)
 KIIT DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY, CAMPUS-3(KATHAJORI CAMPUS)
 BHUBANESWAR-751024, ODISHA, INDIA
E-mail address: smita_782006@yahoo.co.in