

NOVEL CONCEPTS OF SOME OPEN SETS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

¹M. Ramaboopathi and ²K. M. Dharmalingam

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: ¹mrboopathi24@gmail.com, ²kmdharma6902@yahoo.in

Abstract. In this paper, new classes of some open sets are $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open set, $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S}_T -set, $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} closed set $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -sets and $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{B}_α^* -sets. We introduced and investigated on the line of research.

1. Introduction

In 1963, J.C. Kelly [2] expressed the geometrical existence of bitopological space that is a non empty set X together with two arbitrary topologies defined on X and it plays an important role to study the shapes of objects. General topologist have introduced and investigated of open sets in bitopological spaces. In 1967, C. W. Patty [6] introduced some properties of bitopological spaces. In 1991, M. L. Thivagar *et al.*, [3] established the properties of a new type of biological open sets which are entirely different from Kellys pairwise open sets called $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set and $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set. In 2006, M. L. Thivagar *et al.*, [5] also discussed the weak forms of some an open sets with their continuity and defined various types of bitopological generalized closed sets and so on. Many researcher [7, 8] [resp. $(1,2)^*$ -regular and $(1,2)^*$ -semi-preopen set] studied new sets in bitopological spaces. Sheik John *et al.*, [10] was various notions of topology by allowing for bitopological spaces instead of topological spaces.

In this paper, new classes of some open sets are $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open set, $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S}_T -set, $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} closed set $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -sets and $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{B}_α^* -sets. We introduced and investigated on the line of research.

2. Preliminaries

All over this paper, (X, τ_1, τ_2) (momentarily, X) represent bitopological spaces.

Definition 2.1.

Let I be a subset of X . Then I is called $\tau_{1,2}$ -open [9] if $I = O \cup Q$ where $O \in \tau_1$ and $Q \in \tau_2$.

The complement of $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is said to be $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

So as to $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets but not direction of form a topology.

Proposition 2.2. [1] In a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , each $(1, 2)^*$ -semi-open set is $(1, 2)^*$ - β -open.

In the rest of the paper, we denote a bitopological space by (X, τ_1, τ_2) , where $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = (X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$. The operators int and cl of a subset H of X are represent by $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$.

In future a bitopological space (X, τ_i, τ_j) will be simply called as a space.

3. $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open sets and $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{T}$ -sets

Definition 3.1.

A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is said to be $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open if $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$.

$H^c = X - H$ is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -closed.

Definition 3.2 .

A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is called a $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{T}$ -set if $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))$.

Theorem 3.3. For a subset of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , the following results are receive.

(i) any $(i, j)^*\text{-}\alpha$ -open set is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open.

(ii) any $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{T}$ -set is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open.

Proof. (i) Let H is be a $(i, j)^*\text{-}\alpha$ -open set, $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Thus H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open.

(ii) Let H is a $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{T}$ -set, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq H$. Then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Thus H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -open. □

Proposition 3.4. A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Assuming that H is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$ set, then $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. But $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$. Hence $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$.

Conversely, let the condition hold. We have $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Therefore H is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$. □

Theorem 3.5. For a subset of space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , the following relations are receive:

(i) each $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$.

(ii) each $(i, j)^*\text{-}\alpha$ -open set is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$.

Proof. (i) H is a $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set $\Rightarrow H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. So H is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$.

(ii) H is an $(i, j)^*\text{-}\alpha$ -open set $\Rightarrow H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Thus H is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-open}$. □

Proposition 3.6. Let H be a subset of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . Then H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\beta$ -closed $\Leftrightarrow \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Since H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\beta$ -closed set, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq H$ and then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$. But $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$. Thus we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$.

\Leftarrow let the condition hold. We have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq H$. Therefore H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\beta$ -closed. □

Theorem 3.7. For a subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , the following relations are equivalent:

(i) H is $(i, j)^*\text{-semi-closed}$.

(ii) H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\beta$ -closed and $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let H be $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -semi-closed. By Proposition 2.2, H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ - β -closed. Since H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -semi-closed, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq H$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$. It gives that $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Thus $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and so H is $(i, j)^*\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ -closed.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -closed, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$. Since H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -closed, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq H$. Then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq H$ and so H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed. \square

Remark: In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then the notions of $(i, j)^*$ - β -closed sets and the notions of $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -closed sets are independent.

Example 3.8. Let $X = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ with $\tau_i = \{\phi, X, \{j_2\}, \{j_3, j_4\}\}$ and $\tau_j = \{\phi, X, \{j_2, j_3, j_4\}\}$ then the $\tau_{i,j} = \{\phi, X, \{j_2\}, \{j_3, j_4\}, \{j_2, j_3, j_4\}\}$. In the space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then
(i) the subset $\{j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ - β -closed but not $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -closed.
(ii) the subset $\{j_1, j_3\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -closed but not $(i, j)^*$ - β -closed.

Theorem 3.9.

In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . Then a subset of X is $(i, j)^*$ - α -open \Leftrightarrow it is both $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open and $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open.

Proof. \Rightarrow Let H be an $(i, j)^*$ - α -open set. Then $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$. It implies that $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Hence, H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open set. On the other hand, since H is an $(i, j)^*$ - α -open set, H is a $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open set.

\Leftarrow Let H be both $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open and $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open. Since H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and hence $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$. Since H is $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open, we have $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))$. Therefore we obtain that $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)))$ which proves that H is an $(i, j)^*$ - α -open set. \square

Remark. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then the notions of $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open sets and the notions of $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open sets are independent.

Example 3.10. Let $X = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ with $\tau_i = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}, \{j_2, j_4\}\}$ and $\tau_j = \{\phi, X, \{j_1, j_2, j_4\}\}$ then the $\tau_{i,j} = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}, \{j_2, j_4\}, \{j_1, j_2, j_4\}\}$. In the space, then
(i) the subset $\{j_1, j_3\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open but not $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open.
(ii) the subset $\{j_1, j_2, j_4\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ -pre-open but not $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open.

Proposition 3.11. Two subsets H and K of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . If $H \subseteq K \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open $\Rightarrow K$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open.

Proof. Assuming that $H \subseteq K \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open in X . Then, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Since $H \subseteq K$, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(K))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(K))$. Since $K \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(K) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(K)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))$. Therefore $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(K)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(K))$. This shows that K is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open set. \square

Corollary 3.12. For a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . If $H \subseteq X$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open and $(i, j)^*$ -dense in (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then each subset of X containing H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{S} -open.

Proof. It is obvious by Proposition 3.11. \square

Proposition 3.13. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , each $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed set is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set.

Proof. Let H be a $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed set. Then $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$ which proves that H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set. \square

Remark. The reverse part of Proposition 3.13 is need not true from the following Example.

Example 3.14. In Example 3.8, then the subset $\{j_1\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set but not $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed.

Theorem 3.15.

A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed $\Leftrightarrow H$ is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set.

Proof. Let H be a $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed set in X . Then $X-H$ is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(X-H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(X-H))$. It follows that $X - \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(X - \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = X - \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))$. Thus, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$ and hence H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set in X .

On the other hand side, let H be a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set. Then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq H$. Therefore H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed. \square

4. $(i, j)^*$ - $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -set

Definition 4.1.

A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is said to be a $(i, j)^*$ - $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -set if H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set.

Theorem 4.2. Let H be a subset of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . Then H is $(i, j)^*$ - $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -set $\Leftrightarrow H$ is both $(i, j)^*$ - β -open and $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed.

Proof. H is $(i, j)^*$ - $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -set $\Rightarrow H$ is both $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set. Since every $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open set is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open, H is both $(i, j)^*$ - β -open and a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set. By Theorem 3.15, we obtain the result.

Conversely, let H be $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed and $(i, j)^*$ - β -open. Since H is a $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed, by Theorem 3.18 H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set. Since H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open, $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Therefore H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open. Since H is both $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set, H is $(i, j)^*$ - $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -set. \square

Remark. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then the notions of $(i, j)^*$ - β -open and the notions of $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed are independent.

Example 4.3. In Example 3.10, then

- (i) the subset $\{j_2\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open but not $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed.
- (ii) the subset $\{j_3\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-closed but not $(i, j)^*$ - β -open.

5. $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed sets

Definition 5.1.

A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is called $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed if $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. $H^c = X-H$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -open.

Theorem 5.2. Let H a subset of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . Then the following relations are equivalent.

- (i) $H = \phi$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed.
- (ii) There exists a non-empty $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set $F : F \subseteq H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F)$.
- (iii) There exists a non-empty $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set $F : H = F \cup (\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) - F)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose $H = \phi$ is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed set. Then $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Let $F = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$. F is the required $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set. $F \subseteq H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Since $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) = F \cup (\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) - F)$ where F is a nonempty $\tau_{i,j}$ -open set, (3) follows.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). $H = F \cup (\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) - F)$ implies that $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(F)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$, since F is $\tau_{i,j}$ -open and $F \subseteq H$. Again $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) \subseteq H$ implies that $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(F) = H$. Therefore $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed. \square

Theorem 5.3.

Let H be a subset of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . If H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open, then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed.

Proof. Assuming that H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open. Then $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)))$ and so $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ which implies that $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)))$. Therefore $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed. \square

Theorem 5.4. For a subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . Then the following properties are equivalent.

- (i) H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed.
- (ii) H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed.
- (iii) H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open and $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed $\Rightarrow H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$.

Since $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$, H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open. Also, $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and so H is $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). It follows from the fact that every $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open set is a $(i, j)^*$ - β -open.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). H is $(i, j)^*$ - β -open and $(i, j)^*$ -closed $\Rightarrow H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)))$ and $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$.

Now $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H) = H$. Also, $H \subseteq \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$. Therefore $H = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))$ which implies that H is $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{H} -closed. \square

Remark. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then

- (i) the notions of $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open sets and the notions of $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed sets are independent.
- (ii) the notions of $(i, j)^*$ - β -open sets and the notions of $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 5.5. In Example 3.10, then (i) the subset $\{j_1\}$ is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open but not $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed.

(ii) the subset $\{j_3\}$ is $\tau_{i,j}$ -closed but not $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open.

6. $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -sets and $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{B}_{α^*} -sets

Definition 6.1. A subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) is called

- (i) $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -set if $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$.
- (ii) $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{B}_{α^*} -set if $H = L \cap M$, where L is $\tau_{i,j}$ -open and M is $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -set.

Proposition 6.2. For a subset H of a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , the following are equivalent.

- (i) $H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$.
- (ii) H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-pre closed.
- (iii) $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$ is $(i, j)^*$ -regular open.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Proposition 6.3. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then

- (i) H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set $\Rightarrow H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$.
- (ii) H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set $\Leftrightarrow H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$.
- (iii) H is $(i, j)^*$ - α -open and $H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j) \Leftrightarrow H$ is $(i, j)^*$ -regular-open.

Proof. (i) Let H be a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set, then $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H))$ and $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$. Therefore, H is an $(i, j)^*$ - α^* -set.

(ii) Let H be $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open and $H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$. Since H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open, $\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)$ and hence $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H)$. Therefore, H is a $(i, j)^*$ - \mathcal{T} -set.

(iii) Let H be an $(i, j)^*$ - α -open set and $H \in (i, j)^*$ - $\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$. By Proposition 6.2 and the Definition of $(i, j)^*$ - α -open set, we have $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = H$ and hence $\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(H)) = \tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-cl}(\tau_{i,j}\text{-int}(H))) = H$. The converse is obvious. \square

Remark. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , the union of two $(i, j)^*-\alpha^*$ -sets but not $(i, j)^*-\alpha^*$ -set. As shown in the following Example.

Example 6.4. Let $X = \{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ with $\tau_i = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}\}$ and $\tau_j = \{\phi, X, \{j_3\}\}$ then the $\tau_{ij} = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}, \{j_3\}, \{j_1, j_3\}\}$. In the space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then the subsets $A = \{j_1\}$ and $B = \{j_3\}$ are $(i, j)^*-\alpha^*$ -set. But $A \cup B = \{j_1, j_3\}$ is not $(i, j)^*-\alpha^*$ -set.

Proposition 6.5. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then $(i, j)^*-\alpha^*-(X, \tau_i, \tau_j) \subseteq (i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ and τ_{ij} -open $\subseteq (i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$.

Proof. Since $X \in \tau_{ij}$ -open $\cap (i, j)^*-\alpha^*-(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$, the inclusions are obvious. \square

Lemma 6.6. In a space (X, τ_i, τ_j) . If either H, K is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open, then τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl($H \cap K$)) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(H)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)).

Proof. For any subset $H, K \subseteq X$, we generally have τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl($H \cap K$)) $\subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(H)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)). Assume that H is $(i, j)^*$ -semi-open. Then we have τ_{ij} -cl(H) = τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(H)). Therefore τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(H)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(H)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)))) $\subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -cl(H) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)))) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(H)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(K)))) $\subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(H) \cap τ_{ij} -cl(K))) $\subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(H) \cap K)) $\subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl($H \cap K$)). \square

Proposition 6.7.

A subset H is τ_{ij} -open in a space $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j) \Leftrightarrow$ it is a $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set and a $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set.

Proof. It is obvious that every τ_{ij} -open set is a $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set and a $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set. let H be a $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set and a $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set. Since H is a $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set, there exist $G \in \tau_{ij}$ -open and $Q \in (i, j)^*-\alpha^*(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ such that $H = G \cap Q$. Since H is a $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set, by using Lemma 6.7, we have $H \subseteq \tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(H))) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int($G \cap Q$))) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(G)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(τ_{ij} -int(Q))) = τ_{ij} -int(τ_{ij} -cl(G)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(Q) and hence $H = G \cap H \subseteq G \cap (\tau_{ij}$ -int(τ_{ij} -cl(G)) \cap τ_{ij} -int(Q)) = $G \cap \tau_{ij}$ -int(Q) $\subseteq H$. Consequently, we obtain $H = G \cap \tau_{ij}$ -int(H) and H is τ_{ij} -open. \square

Remark. The following example shows that the family of $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set and the family of $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set are independent.

Example 6.8. Let $X = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ with $\tau_i = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}\}$ and $\tau_j = \{\phi, X, \{j_3\}\}$ then the $\tau_{ij} = \{\phi, X, \{j_1\}, \{j_3\}, \{j_1, j_3\}\}$. In the space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , then

- (i) $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ is $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set but not $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set.
- (ii) $\{j_2\}$ is $(i, j)^*-\mathcal{B}_{\alpha^*}$ -set but not $(i, j)^*-\alpha$ -open set.

References

- [1] K. M. Dharmalingam and M. Ramaboopathi, *New forms of generalized closed sets in bitopological spaces*, Journal of Applied Science and Computations, VI(III)(2019), 712-718.
- [2] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London. Math Soc., 3(13)(1963), 71-89.
- [3] M. Lellis Thivagar, *Generalization of pairwise α -continuous functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematica Sciences., 28(1991), 55-63.
- [4] M. Lellis Thivagar and O. Ravi, *On stronger forms of $(1,2)^*$ -quotient mappings in bitopological spaces*, Int. J. Math. Game theory and Algebra. Vol. 14, No. 6, (2004), 481-492.
- [5] M. Lellis Thivagar and O. Ravi, *A bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -semi generalized continuous mappings*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc., 29(1) (2006), 79-88.
- [6] C. W. Patty, *Bitopological spaces*, Duke Math. J., 34 (1967), 387-392.
- [7] O. Ravi, E. Ekici and M. Lellis Thivagar, *On $(1,2)^*$ -sets and decompositions of bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -continuous mappings*, Kochi J. Math., 3 (2008), 181-189
- [8] O. Ravi and M. Lellis Thivagar, *A bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalized continuous maps*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., (2)(29)(1)(2006), 76-88.

- [9] O. Ravi and M. Lellis Thivagar, *On stronger forms of $(1,2)^*$ -quotient mappings in bitopological spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Game Theory and Algebra., 14(6)(2004), 481-492.
- [10] M. Sheik John and P. Sundaram, *g^* -closed sets in bitopological spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 35(1)(2004), 71-80.