

Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued b -Metric Spaces

Carmel Pushpa Raj J.¹, Vairaperumal V.², Maria Joseph J.³, Ramganes E.⁴

^{1,2,3} PG and Research Department of Mathematics,

St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli-620 002, Tamilnadu, India.

⁴Department of Education Technology, Bharathidasan University,

Tiruchirappalli-620 023, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: ¹carmelsjc@gmail.com, ²vairamv3@gmail.com, ³joseph80john@gmail.com, ⁴

eramganesesh68@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of fixed point and common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric space for a pair of mappings satisfying some rational contraction conditions which generalize and unify some well-known results in the literature.

Keywords. Complex valued b -metric space, Rational contraction, Common fixed point.

1. Introduction

The Banach contraction mapping principle [1] plays a vital role in fixed point theory. Bakhtin [2] introduced the concept of b -metric space which is the generalization of metric space. Czerwik [5] extended the Banach principle in b -metric space. Many researchers proved fixed point theorem on single valued and multi valued mapping in b -metric space [8]. Azam et al. [7] initiated a new space described as complex valued metric space. Several researchers studied various common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space. Rao et al. [3] introduced complex valued b -metric space, continuously Mukheimer [6] and A. K. Dubey [4] verified the existence of some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric space. In this paper, we continue the study of fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric space.

2. Preliminaries

[4] Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$. Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

(1) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$;

- (2) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$;
 (3) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$;
 (4) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$;

We will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3), and (4) is satisfied; also we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if

only (4) is satisfied. It follows that

(i) $0 \preceq z_1 \prec z_2$ implies $|z_1| < |z_2|$;

(ii) $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$ imply $z_1 \prec z_3$;

(iii) $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$ implies $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$;

(iv) if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq a \leq b$ and $z_1 \preceq z_2$, then $az_1 \preceq bz_2$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Recently Rao et.al[3] introduced the following definition.

Definition 2.1 [8] Let W be a non-empty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b-metric on W if for all $l, m, n \in W$ the following conditions are satisfied:

i. $0 \preceq d(l, m)$ and $d(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;

ii. $d(l, m) = d(m, l)$;

iii. $d(l, m) \preceq s[d(l, n) + d(n, m)]$.

Then the pair (W, d) is called a complex valued b-metric space.

Example 2.2 [3] If $W = [0, 1]$, define the mapping $d : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(l, m) = |l - m|^2 + i|l - m|^2$$

for all $l, m \in W$. Then (W, d) is complex valued b-metric space with $s = 2$.

Definition 2.3 [3] Let (W, d) be a complex valued b-metric space

(i) A point $l \in W$ is called interior point of a set $L \subseteq W$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(l, r) = \{m \in W : d(l, m) \prec r\} \subseteq L$

(ii) A point $l \in W$ is called limit point of a set W , whenever for every $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$, $B(l, r) \cap (L - \{l\}) \neq \emptyset$

(iii) A subset $L \subseteq W$ is called closed whenever each element of L belongs to L .

(iv) A subbasis for a Hausdorff topology τ on W is a family $F = \{B(l, r) : l \in W \text{ and } 0 \prec r\}$

Definition 2.4 [3] Let (W, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence in W and $l \in W$

(i) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \prec r$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $d(a_n, l) \prec c$, then

$\{l_n\}$

is said to be convergent, $\{l_n\}$ converges to l , and l is the limit point of $\{l_n\}$. It is denoted

by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = l$ or $\{l_n\} \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 \prec r$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $d(a_n, a_{n+p}) \prec c$,

where

$p \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{l_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence.

(iii) If every Cauchy sequence in W is convergent, then (W, d) is said to be a complete complex valued b-metric space.

Lemma 2.5 [3] Let (W, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence in W . Then $\{l_n\}$ converges to l if and only if $|d(l_n, l)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.6 [3] Let (W, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence in W . Then $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(l_n, l_{n+p})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and let U, V be self-mappings from W into itself satisfy the following inequality,

$$d(Ul, Vm) \leq \mu_1 d(l, m) + \mu_2 \frac{d(l, m)}{1 + d(m, Ul)} + \mu_3 \frac{d(l, Ul)d(m, Vm)}{d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) + d(l, m)} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) + d(l, m) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + s\mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$ or $d(Ul, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) + d(l, m) = 0$.

Then U and V have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. For any arbitrary point $l_0 \in W$, define sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W such that

$$l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} \text{ and } l_{2n+2} = Vl_{2n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we prove that $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $l = l_{2n}$, $m = l_{2n+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2}) &= d(Ul_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 \frac{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})}{1 + d(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n})} + \mu_3 \frac{d(l_{2n}, Ul_{2n})d(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})}{d(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})} \\ &= \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 \frac{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})}{1 + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+1})} + \mu_3 \frac{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})}{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2}) + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})} \\ &= \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_3 \frac{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})}{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

then

$$|d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \leq \mu_1 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_2 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_3 \frac{|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})||d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|}{|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})| + |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})|} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mu_1 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_2 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_3 \frac{|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})||d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|}{|d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|} \\ &= (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| \end{aligned}$$

$$|d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, we can get

$$|d(l_{2n+2}, l_{2n+3})| \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \quad (3.6)$$

Since $\mu_1 + s\mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$ and $s \geq 1$, we get $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$, therefore with $\zeta = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$ and for all $n \geq 0$, and consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \zeta |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| \leq \zeta \zeta |d(l_{2n-1}, l_{2n})| = \zeta^2 |d(l_{2n-1}, l_{2n})| \\ &\leq \zeta^3 |d(l_{2n-2}, l_{2n-1})| \leq \dots \\ &\leq \zeta^{2n+1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

That is,

$$|d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| \leq \zeta |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| \leq \zeta^2 |d(l_{n-1}, l_n)| \leq \dots \leq \zeta^{n+1} |d(l_0, l_1)|. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, for any $m > n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_n, l_m)| &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s |d(l_{n+1}, l_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+2}, l_m)| \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| + \dots + s^{m-n} |d(l_{m-1}, l_m)| \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_n, l_m)| &\leq s\zeta^n |d(l_0, l_1)| + s^2 \zeta^2 |d(l_0, l_1)| + \dots + s^{m-n} \zeta^{m-1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &= s\zeta^n \left(1 + s\zeta + (s\zeta)^2 + \dots + (s\zeta)^{m-n-1} \right) |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &\leq s\zeta^n \left(1 + s\zeta + (s\zeta)^2 + \dots + (s\zeta)^{m-n-1} + \dots \right) |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &= s\zeta^n (1 - s\zeta)^{-1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$|d(l_n, l_m)| \leq \frac{s\zeta^n}{(1 - s\zeta)} |d(l_0, l_1)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W . Since W is complete there exists some $t \in W$ such that $l_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume not, then there exists $z \in W$ such that

$$|d(t, Ut)| = |z| > 0. \quad (3.12)$$

So by using the triangular inequality and (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} z = d(t, Ut) &\preceq sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + sd(l_{2n+2}, Ut) \\ &= sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + sd(Vl_{2n+1}, Ut) \\ &\preceq sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + s\mu_1 d(t, l_{2n+1}) + s\mu_2 \frac{d(t, l_{2n+1})}{1 + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} \\ &\quad + s\mu_3 \frac{d(t, Ut) d(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})}{d(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d(t, l_{2n+1})} \\ &= sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + s\mu_1 d(t, l_{2n+1}) + s\mu_2 \frac{d(t, l_{2n+1})}{1 + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} + s\mu_3 \frac{d(t, Ut) d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})}{d(t, l_{2n+2}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d(t, l_{2n+1})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |z| = |d(t, Ut)| &\leq s |d(t, l_{2n+2})| + s\mu_1 |d(t, l_{2n+1})| + s\mu_2 \frac{|d(t, l_{2n+1})|}{1 + |d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|} \\ &\quad + s\mu_3 \frac{|d(t, Ut)| |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|}{|d(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)| + |d(t, l_{2n+1})|} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Taking the limit (3.14) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $|z| = |d(t, Ut)| \leq 0$, a contradiction with (12). So $|z| = 0$. Hence $Ut = t$. Similarly we obtain $Vt = t$.

Now, we show that U and V have unique common fixed point of U and V . To prove this assume t' is another common fixed point of U and V . Then

$$d(t, t') = d(Ut, Vt') \leq \mu_1 d(t, t') + \mu_2 \frac{d(t, t')}{1 + d(t', Ut)} + \mu_3 \frac{d(t, Ut)d(t', Vt')}{d(t, Vt') + d(t', Ut) + d(t, t')} \quad (3.15)$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} |d(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 |d(t, t')| + \mu_2 \frac{|d(t, t')|}{1 + |d(t', Ut)|} + \mu_3 \frac{|d(t, Ut)||d(t', Vt')|}{|d(t, Vt')| + |d(t', Ut)| + |d(t, t')|} \\ |d(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 |d(t, t')| \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Which is contradiction. Hence $t = t'$ which shows the uniqueness of common fixed point.

Now we consider the second case.

$$\begin{aligned} d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) + d(l, m) &= 0 \\ l = l_{2n} \quad m = l_{2n+1} \\ d(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) &= 0 \\ d(Ul_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) = 0 \text{ so that } l_{2n} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n+1} = Vl_{2n+1} = l_{2n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n}$ so there exists E_1 and f_1 such that $E_1 = Uf_1 = f_1$ where $E_1 = l_{2n+1}$ & $f_1 = l_{2n}$ using the foregoing arguments, we show that there exists E_2 and f_2 such that

$$E_2 = Vf_2 = f_2 \text{ where } E_2 = l_{2n+2} \text{ \& } f_2 = l_{2n+1}.$$

As $d(f_1, Vf_2) + d(f_2, Uf_1) + d(f_1, f_2) = 0$ which implies $d(Uf_1, Vf_2) = 0$. $E_1 = Uf_1 = Vf_2 = E_2$.

Thus we obtain that $E_1 = Uf_1 = UE_1$ similarly one can also have $E_2 = VE_2$. As $E_1 = E_2$ implies $UE_1 = VE_1 = E_1$, therefore $E_1 = E_2$ is the common fixed point of U and V . For uniqueness of common fixed point, assume that, assume that E'_1 in W is another common fixed point of U and V . Then we have $UE'_1 = VE'_1 = E'_1$.

As $d(E_1, VE'_1) + d(E'_1, UE_1) + d(E_1, E'_1) = 0$, therefore $d(E_1, E'_1) = d(UE_1, VE'_1) = 0$.

This implies that $E_1 = E'_1$. This completes the proof of theorem.

Corollary 3.2 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $V : W \rightarrow W$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(Vl, Vm) \leq \mu_1 (l, m) + \mu_2 \frac{d(l, m)}{1 + d(m, Vl)} + \mu_3 \frac{d(l, Vl)d(m, Vm)}{d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl) + d(l, m)} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl) + d(l, m) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + s\mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$ or $d(Vl, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl) + d(l, m) = 0$.

Then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. By using the theorem 3.1 with $U = V$, we can prove this result.

Corollary 3.3 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $V : W \rightarrow W$ be a mapping satisfying (for some fixed n)

$$d(V^n l, V^n m) \leq \mu_1 d(l, m) + \mu_2 \frac{d(l, m)}{1 + d(m, V^n l)} + \mu_3 \frac{d(l, V^n l) d(m, V^n m)}{d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l) + d(l, m)} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l) + d(l, m) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$ or $d(V^n l, V^n m) = 0$ if $d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l) + d(l, m) = 0$.

Then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. By using the corollary 3.2 with $V = V^n$, we can prove this result.

Theorem 3.4 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with $s \geq 1$ and let U, V be self-mappings from W into itself satisfy the following inequality,

$$d(UL, Vm) \leq \mu_1 (l, m) + \mu_2 [d(l, m) + d(l, Vm)] + \mu_3 [d(l, Ul) + d(m, Vm)] + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l, Vm) + d^2(m, Ul)]}{d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul)} \quad (3.19)$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and μ_4 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + (2s+1)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + 2s\mu_4 < 1$ or $d(UL, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) = 0$. Then U and V have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. For any arbitrary point $l_0 \in W$, define sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W such that

$$l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} \text{ and } l_{2n+2} = Vl_{2n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (3.20)$$

Now we prove that $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $l = l_{2n}$, $m = l_{2n+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2}) &= d(Ul_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 [d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1})] + \mu_3 [d(l_{2n}, Ul_{2n}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d^2(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n})]}{d(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n})} \\ &= \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 [d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})] + \mu_3 [d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2}) + d^2(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+1})]}{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2}) + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+1})} \\ &= \mu_1 d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + \mu_2 [d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})] + \mu_3 [d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})]}{d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})} \end{aligned}$$

taking modulus

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \mu_1 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_2 [|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})|] + \mu_3 [|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 \frac{[|d^2(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})|]}{|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})|} \\ |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \mu_1 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_2 [|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})|] + \mu_3 [|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})| \end{aligned}$$

As

$$|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+2})| \leq s \left[|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \right],$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \mu_1 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + \mu_2 |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + s\mu_2 \left[|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \right] \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \left[|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \right] + s\mu_4 \left[|d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \right] \\ &\leq (\mu_1 + (s+1)\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4) |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| + (s\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4) |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| \\ |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_1 + (s+1)\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4}{1 - s\mu_2 - \mu_3 - s\mu_4} \right) |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$|d(l_{2n+2}, l_{2n+3})| \leq \left(\frac{\mu_1 + (s+1)\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4}{1 - s\mu_2 - \mu_3 - s\mu_4} \right) |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|$$

since $\mu_1 + (2s+1)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + 2s\mu_4 < 1$ and $s \geq 1$, we get $\left(\frac{\mu_1 + (s+1)\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4}{1 - s\mu_2 - \mu_3 - s\mu_4} \right) < 1$, therefore wit

$\zeta = \left(\frac{\mu_1 + (s+1)\mu_2 + \mu_3 + s\mu_4}{1 - s\mu_2 - \mu_3 - s\mu_4} \right) < 1$ and for all $n \geq 0$, and consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})| &\leq \zeta |d(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1})| \leq \zeta^2 |d(l_{2n-1}, l_{2n})| = \zeta^2 |d(l_{2n-1}, l_{2n})| \\ &\leq \zeta^3 |d(l_{2n-2}, l_{2n-1})| \leq \dots \\ &\leq \zeta^{2n+1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$|d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| \leq \zeta |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| \leq \zeta^2 |d(l_{n-1}, l_n)| \leq \dots \leq \zeta^{n+1} |d(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Thus, for any $m > n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l_n, l_m)| &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s |d(l_{n+1}, l_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+2}, l_m)| \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq s |d(l_n, l_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(l_{n+1}, l_{n+2})| + \dots + s^{m-n} |d(l_{m-1}, l_m)| \\ |d(l_n, l_m)| &\leq s\zeta^n |d(l_0, l_1)| + s^2\zeta^2 |d(l_0, l_1)| + \dots + s^{m-n}\zeta^{m-1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &= s\zeta^n \left(1 + s\zeta + (s\zeta)^2 + \dots + (s\zeta)^{m-n-1} \right) |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &\leq s\zeta^n \left(1 + s\zeta + (s\zeta)^2 + \dots + (s\zeta)^{m-n-1} + \dots \right) |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ &= s\zeta^n (1 - s\zeta)^{-1} |d(l_0, l_1)| \\ |d(l_n, l_m)| &\leq \frac{s\zeta^n}{(1 - s\zeta)} |d(l_0, l_1)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W . since W is complete there exists some $t \in W$ such that $l_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume not, then there exists $z \in W$ such that

$$|d(t, Ut)| = |z| > 0$$

so by using the triangular inequality and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= d(t, Ut) \preceq sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + sd(l_{2n+2}, Ut) \\
&= sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + sd(Vl_{2n+1}, Ut) \\
&\preceq sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + s\mu_1 d(t, l_{2n+1}) + s\mu_2 [d(t, l_{2n+1}) + d(t, Vl_{2n+1})] + s\mu_3 [d(t, Ut) + d(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})] \\
&\quad + s\mu_4 \frac{[d^2(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)]}{d(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} \\
&= sd(t, l_{2n+2}) + s\mu_1 d(t, l_{2n+1}) + s\mu_2 [d(t, l_{2n+1}) + d(t, l_{2n+2})] + s\mu_3 [z + d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})] \\
&\quad + s\mu_4 \frac{[d^2(t, l_{2n+2}) + d^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)]}{d(t, l_{2n+2}) + d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} \\
|z| &= |d(t, Ut)| \leq s|d(t, l_{2n+2})| + s\mu_1 |d(t, l_{2n+1})| + s\mu_2 [|d(t, l_{2n+1})| + |d(t, l_{2n+2})|] + s\mu_3 [|z| + |d(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|] \\
&\quad + s\mu_4 \frac{[|d^2(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|]}{|d(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|}
\end{aligned}$$

taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that $|z| = |d(t, Ut)| \leq 0$ a contradiction, so $|z| = 0$

Hence $Ut = t$. similarly we obtain $Vt = t$.

Now, we show that U and V have unique common fixed point of U and V . To prove this assume t' is another common fixed point of U and V . Then

$$d(t, t') = d(Ut, Vt') \preceq \mu_1 d(t, t') + \mu_2 [d(t, t') + d(t, Vt')] + \mu_3 [d(t, Ut) + d(t', Vt')] + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(t, Vt') + d^2(t', Ut)]}{d(t, Vt') + d(t', Ut)}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
|d(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 |d(t, t')| + \mu_2 [|d(t, t')| + |d(t, Vt')|] + \mu_3 [|d(t, Ut)| + |d(t', Vt')|] + \mu_4 \frac{[|d^2(t, Vt')| + |d^2(t', Ut)|]}{|d(t, Vt')| + |d(t', Ut)|} \\
|d(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \mu_4 |d(t, t')|
\end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction. Hence $t = t'$ which shows the uniqueness of common fixed point.

For the second case, $d(Ul, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Ul) = 0$. the proof of unique common fixed point can be completed in the line of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.5 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $V : W \rightarrow W$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(Vl, Vm) \preceq \mu_1 (l, m) + \mu_2 [d(l, m) + d(l, Vm)] + \mu_3 [d(l, Vl) + d(m, Vm)] + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l, Vm) + d^2(m, Vl)]}{d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl)}$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and μ_4 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + (2s+1)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + 2s\mu_4 < 1$ or $d(Vl, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, Vm) + d(m, Vl) = 0$.

Then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. By using the theorem 3.4 with $U = V$, we can prove this result.

Corollary 3.6 Let (W, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $V : W \rightarrow W$ be a mapping satisfying (for some fixed n)

$$d(V^n l, V^n m) \preceq \mu_1 (l, m) + \mu_2 [d(l, m) + d(l, V^n m)] + \mu_3 [d(l, V^n l) + d(m, V^n m)] + \mu_4 \frac{[d^2(l, V^n m) + d^2(m, V^n l)]}{d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l)}$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and μ_4 are non-negative reals with $\mu_1 + (2s+1)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + 2s\mu_4 < 1$ or $d(Vl, Vm) = 0$ if $d(l, V^n m) + d(m, V^n l) = 0$. Then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. By using the corollary 3.5 with $V = V^n$, we can prove this result.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the editor and referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Banach, S., Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fundam. Math. 3, (1922), 133-181.
- [2] Bakhtin, IA, "The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces", In: Functional Analysis, vol. 30, pp. 26-37 (1989).
- [3] Rao, K.P.R, Swamy, P. R and Prasad, J. R, "A common fixed point theorem in Complex Valued b-metric spaces", Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2013.
- [4] A. K. Dubey, Complex valued-metric Spaces and common fixed point theorems under rational contractions. Journal of Complex Analysis, 2016.
- [5] Czerwik, "Nonlinear set-valued contractions mappings in b-metric spaces", Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell' Universita di Modena, Vol. 46, n0. 2, pp. 263-276, 1998.
- [6] Mukheimer. A. A, "Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces", The scientific world Journal, Vol. 2014, Article ID 587825, 6 pages, 2014.
- [7] Azam. A, Fisher. B, and Khan. M, "Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric Spaces", Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, Vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 243-253, 2011.
- [8] J.M. Joseph, D.D. Roselin and M. Marudai. Fixed point theorems on multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces. SpringerPlus, 5(1):217, 2016
- [9] D. Dayana Roselin, J. Carmel Pushpa Raj, J. Maria Joseph "Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems on Complex Valued b-metric spaces" Infokara Research Vol. 8 Issue 9 pp. 882-892. 2019.