

## A NOTE ON NANO $g^\# \alpha$ -CLOSED MAPS IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

S. VISAGAPRIYA<sup>1</sup> AND V. KOKILAVANI

**ABSTRACT.** The point of this article is to show separation axioms of Nano  $g^\# \alpha$  closed sets in nano topological space. We moreover present and explore nano  $g^\# \alpha$ -closed maps and additionally consider their principal properties.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In [6], the specialists introduced a nano topological space with regard to a subset  $X$  of an universe which is characterized in terms of lower approximation, upper approximation and boundary region. He has also presented nano closed sets (in brief N-CS) and nano open sets (in brief N-OS). In [8], the experts presented the concept of  $g^\# \alpha$ -closed sets to explore a few topological properties. V. Kokilavani et al [5] introduced  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed sets in nano topological space (in brief nts). The essential expected of this paper is to present separation axioms of nano  $g^\# \alpha$  closed sets. We likewise present the concept of  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed maps and study their properties in nts.

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $H$  of a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is called

- (1)  $N\alpha$ -CS [6] if  $Nint(Ncl(Nint(H))) \subseteq H$ .
- (2)  $Ng$ -CS [1] if  $Ncl(H) \subseteq G$ , whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and  $G$  is N-OS.
- (3)  $NgS$ -CS [2] if  $Nscl(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$ ,  $G$  is N-OS.

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

**Key words and phrases.**  $NT_{1/2}^*$ -space,  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space,  $N\alpha^\# T_{1/2}$ -space,  $N^* T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space,  $N^{**} T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space,  $N\alpha^\# T_k$ -space,  $N\alpha^{\#\#} T_k$ -space.

- (4)  $N\alpha g$ -CS [12] if  $N\alpha cl(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and  $G$  is  $N$ -OS.
- (5)  $Ng^*$ -CS [10] if  $Ncl(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and  $G$  is  $Ng$ -OS.
- (6)  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS [9] if  $Ncl(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and  $G$  is  $N\alpha g$ -OS.

The complements of the above sets are called their particular  $N$ -OS.

**Definition 1.2.** A subset  $H$  of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is called nano  $g^\# \alpha$ -closed set [5] (in brief  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS) if  $N\alpha cl(H) \subseteq V$  whenever  $H \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is  $Ng$ -OS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . The complements of  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  and  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  be nts. Then the map

$$f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$$

is called:

- (1) nano continuous (in brief  $N$ -continuous) [13] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (2)  $N\alpha$ -continuous (in brief  $N\alpha$ -continuous) [7] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $N\alpha$ -OS (resp  $N\alpha$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (3)  $Ng$ -continuous (in brief  $Ng$ -continuous) [4] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $Ng$ -OS (resp  $Ng$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (4)  $N\alpha g$ -continuous (in brief  $N\alpha g$ -continuous) [7] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $N\alpha g$ -OS (resp  $N\alpha g$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (5)  $Ng s$ -continuous (in brief  $Ng s$ -continuous) [3] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $Ng s$ -OS (resp  $Ng s$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (6)  $Ng\alpha g$ -continuous (in brief  $Ng\alpha g$ -continuous) [9] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $Ng\alpha g$ -OS (resp  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .
- (7)  $Ng^*$ -continuous (in brief  $Ng^*$ -continuous) [11] if  $f^{-1}(j)$  is a  $Ng^*$ -OS (resp  $Ng^*$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , for each  $N$ -OS (resp  $N$ -CS)  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A map  $c : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is said to be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous [5] if  $c^{-1}(j)$  is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed set in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  for each nano closed set  $j$  in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .

**Definition 1.5.** A nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is said to be nano  $T_{g\alpha g}$ -space [9] (in short  $NT_{g\alpha g}$ -space) if each  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS in it is  $N$ -CS.

2. SEPERATION AXIOMS IN TERMS  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CLOSED SET

**Definition 2.1.** A nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is said to be

- (i) nano  $T_{1/2}^*$ -space (in brief  $NT_{1/2}^*$ -space) if each  $Ng^*$ -CS in it is  $N$ -CS.
- (ii) nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space (in brief  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space) if each  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in it is  $N$ -CS.
- (iii) nano  $\alpha^\# T_{1/2}$ -space (in brief  $N\alpha^\# T_{1/2}$ -space) if each  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in it is  $N\alpha$ -CS.
- (iv) nano  $^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space (in brief  $N^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space) if each  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in it is  $Ng^*$ -CS.
- (v) nano  $^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space (in brief  $N^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space) if each  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in it is  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS.
- (vi) nano  $\alpha^\# T_k$ -space (in brief  $N\alpha^\# T_k$ -space) if each  $N\alpha g$ -CS in it is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS.
- (vii) nano  $\alpha^{\#\#} T_k$ -space (in brief  $N\alpha^{\#\#} T_k$ -space) if each  $Ngs$ -CS in it is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS.

**Theorem 2.1.** In a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , every nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space are nano  $T_{1/2}^*$ -space,  $T_{g\alpha g}$ -space, nano  $^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space and nano  $^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space.

*Proof.* Let  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  be a nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space and let  $D$  be a nano  $g^*$ -CS (resp  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS,  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS) in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since every  $Ng^*$ -closed set (resp  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS) is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS [5] we have  $D$  is a nano  $g^\# \alpha$ -CS. Moreover  $U$  is a nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, then  $D$  is a  $N$ -CS in  $U$ . Consequently,  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $T_{1/2}^*$ -space (resp  $T_{g\alpha g}$ -space, nano  $^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space and nano  $^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space).  $\square$

The above theorem require not be true by the following illustration.

**Example 1.** Let  $U = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma\}, \{\delta\}\}$  and  $G = \{\alpha, \gamma, \delta\}$ . Let  $\tau_R(G) = \{\emptyset, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma, \delta\}, U\}$  be the nts. Then  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $T_{1/2}^*$ -space and nano  $T_{g\alpha g}$ -space but not nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space. Let  $U = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \{a_4\}\}$  and  $G = \{a_2, a_4\}$ . Let  $\tau_R(G) = \{\emptyset, \{a_4\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_3, a_4\}, U\}$  be the nts.  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed sets =  $Ng^*$ -closed sets =  $\{\emptyset, \{a_1\}, \{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_4\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_2, a_4\}, \{a_1, a_3, a_4\}\}$ . Then  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space but not nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space. Let  $U = \{b, e, d\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{b, e\}, \{d\}\}$  and  $G = \{b, e\}$ . Let  $\tau_R(G) = \{\emptyset, \{b, e\}, U\}$  be the nts. Then  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space but not nano  $T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space.

**Remark 2.1.** Every  $N\alpha g$ -closed (resp.  $N\alpha g$ -open) set is  $Ngs$ -closed (resp.  $Ngs$ -open) set.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every nano  $\alpha^{\# \#} T_k$ -space is nano  $\alpha^{\#} T_k$ -space.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious.  $\square$

The converse of the above theorem need not be true shown by the following example.

**Example 2.** Let  $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}\}$  and  $G = \{2, 4\}$ . Let  $\tau_R(G) = \{\emptyset, \{4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$  be the nts.  $N\alpha g$ -closed sets =  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -closed sets =  $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$ . Then  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $\alpha^{\#} T_k$ -space but not nano  $\alpha^{\# \#} T_k$ -space.

**Theorem 2.3.** If a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is nano  $T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space, then every singleton of  $U$  is either nano  $g$ -CS or  $N$ -OS. But not conversely.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in U$  and  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  be a nano  $T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space. Suppose  $\{x\}$  is not a nano  $g$ -CS of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , then  $X \{x\}$  is not nano  $g$ -OS. Then  $X$  is the only nano  $g$ -OS containing  $X \{x\}$ . Then  $X \{x\}$  is a  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space,  $X \{x\}$  is  $N$ -CS, which implies  $x$  is  $N$ -OS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** If a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is nano  $\alpha^{\#} T_{1/2}$ -space, then every singleton of  $U$  is either nano  $g$ -CS or nano  $\alpha$ -OS. But not conversely.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** In a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is both  $N^* T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space and  $NT_{1/2}^*$ -space.
- (ii)  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is  $NT_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $C$  be a  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $N^* T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space,  $C$  is  $Ng^*$ -CS. Moreover since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{1/2}^*$  space,  $C$  is  $N$ -CS. Consequently,  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $L$  be a  $N$ -CS and  $M$  be a  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Moreover each  $Ng^*$ -CS is  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , we have  $L \subseteq M$ . Moreover since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space, every  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS is  $N$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , (i.e)  $M \subseteq L$ . Hence  $L = M$ . Let  $C$  be a  $Ng^*$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since each  $N$ -CS is  $Ng^*$ -CS [11] and each  $Ng^*$ -CS is  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS [5], we have  $L \subseteq M \subseteq C$ . But  $L = M \Rightarrow M \subseteq C$ . Thus  $L = M = C$ . (i.e) every  $Ng^{\#} \alpha$ -CS is  $Ng^*$ -CS and every  $Ng^*$ -CS is  $N$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Consequently,  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is both  $N^* T_{g^{\#} \alpha}$ -space and  $NT_{1/2}^*$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *In a nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , the taking after conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is both  $N^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space and  $NT_{g\alpha g}$ -space.
- (ii)  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $C$  be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $N^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space,  $C$  is  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS. Moreover since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g\alpha g}$ -space,  $C$  is N-CS. Consequently,  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $L$  be a N-CS and  $M$  be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since each  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , we have  $L \subseteq M$ . Moreover since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, every  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS is N-CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , (i.e)  $M \subseteq L$ . Hence  $L = M$ . Let  $C$  be a  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since each N-CS is  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS [10] and every  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS [5], we have  $L \subseteq M \subseteq C$ . But  $L = M \Rightarrow M \subseteq C$ . Thus  $L = M = C$ . (i.e) every  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS is  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS and every  $Ng\alpha g$ -CS is N-CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Consequently,  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is both  $N^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space and  $NT_{g\alpha g}$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous function. If  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $\alpha^\# T_{1/2}$ -space, then  $f$  is  $N\alpha$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a N-CS in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since  $f$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(C)$  could be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS. Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a nano  $\alpha^\# T_{1/2}$ -space, we have  $f^{-1}(C)$  is a  $N\alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Consequently,  $f$  is  $N\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous function. If  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $NT_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, then  $f$  is nano continuous.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  and  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a nts and Let  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a map then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $j$  is  $N\alpha g$ -continuous function and  $N\alpha^\# T_k$ -space, then  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous.*
- (ii) *If  $j$  is  $Ng s$ -continuous function and  $N\alpha^\# T_k$ -space, then  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $D$  be a N-CS in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since  $j$  is  $N\alpha g$ -continuous,  $j^{-1}(D)$  is  $N\alpha g$ -CS. Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $N\alpha^\# T_k$ -space, we have  $j^{-1}(D)$  is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Consequently,  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous.

(ii) The proof is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  and  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a nts and Let  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a map then the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $f$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous and  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  be  $N^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, then  $f$  is  $Ng^*$ -continuous.
- (ii) If  $f$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous and  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  be  $N^{**}T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, then  $f$  is  $Ng\alpha g$ -continuous.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $C$  be a N-CS in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since  $f$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(C)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS. Since  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  is a  $N^*T_{g^\# \alpha}$ -space, we have  $f^{-1}(C)$  is a  $Ng^*$ -CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Consequently,  $f$  is  $Ng^*$ -continuous.

(ii) The proof is similar. □

### 3. $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CLOSED MAPS

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is called  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -open maps if for each nano open set  $E$  of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , its image  $j(E)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -open maps in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $U = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$  be the universe with  $U/R = \{\{b_1\}, \{b_3\}, \{b_2, b_4\}\}$  and let  $G = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Then the N-OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{b_1\}, \{b_2, b_4\}, \{b_1, b_2, b_4\}, U\}$ . The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{b_3\}, \{b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1, b_3\}, \{b_2, b_4\}, \{b_2, b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1, b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1, b_2, b_4\}, U\}$ . Let  $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be the another universe with  $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$  and let  $H = \{b, d\}$ . Then the N-OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, V\}$ . The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, V\}$ . Define the function  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  as  $j(e) = b, j(f) = d, j(g) = a, j(h) = c$ . Now the images of N-OS of  $U$  which are  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS in  $V$ . Thus the function  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -open map.

**Definition 3.2.** A function  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is called  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed maps if for every N-CS  $E$  of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ , its image  $j(E)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed maps in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ .

**Example 4.** In example 3,  $j(U) = V, j(\emptyset) = \emptyset, j(\{g\}) = \{a\}, j(\{e, g\}) = \{a, c\}, j(\{f, g, h\}) = \{a, c, d\}$  are the images of N-CS of  $U$  which are  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed maps in  $V$ . Thus the function  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed map.

**Theorem 3.1.** A function  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function if and only if  $Ng^\# \alpha cl(j(C)) \subseteq j(Ncl(C))$  for each subset  $C$  of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function and  $C \subseteq U$ . Then  $\text{Ncl}(C)$  is N-CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  and hence  $j(\text{Ncl}(C))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since  $C \subseteq \text{Ncl}(C)$ , it implies that  $j(C) \subseteq j(\text{Ncl}(C))$ . As  $Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(\text{Ncl}(C)))$  is the  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS containing  $j(C)$ , it follows that

$$Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(C)) \subseteq Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(\text{Ncl}(C))) \subseteq j(\text{Ncl}(C)).$$

Conversely, let  $C$  be any N-CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Then  $C = \text{Ncl}(C)$  and so  $j(C) = j(\text{Ncl}(C)) \supseteq Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(C))$  by the given hypothesis. Also, it follows that  $j(C) \subseteq Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(C))$ . Hence  $j(C) = Ng^\# \alpha \text{cl}(j(C))$ . i.e.,  $j(C)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS and hence  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** A function  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS if and only if for each subset  $Y$  of  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  and for each N-OS  $A$  of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  containing  $f^{-1}(Y)$ , there is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS  $B$  of  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  such that  $Y \subseteq B$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the subset of  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  and  $A$  be a N-OS of  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  such that  $f^{-1}(Y) \subset A$ . Now  $V - f(U - A)$ , say  $B$ , is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS containing  $Y$  in  $V$  such that  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ . Conversely, let  $F$  be a N-OS of  $U$ , then  $f^{-1}(V - f(F)) \subset U - F$  and  $U - F$  is N-OS. Now, there is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS  $B$  of  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  such that  $V - f(F) \subset B$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subset U - F$ . Hence  $F \subset U - f^{-1}(B)$  and thus  $V - B \subset f(F) \subset f(U - f^{-1}(B)) \subset V - B$  which implies  $f(F) = V - B$ . Since  $V - B$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS,  $f(F)$  is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  for each N-CS  $F$  in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Hence  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is a  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** The following illustration shows that the composition of two  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed function require not be  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed.

**Example 5.** Let  $U = \{i, j, k, l\}$  be the universe with  $U/R = \{\{i\}, \{k\}, \{j, l\}\}$  and let  $G = \{i, j\}$ . Then the N-OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{i\}, \{j, l\}, \{i, j, l\}, U\}$  and the N-CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{k\}, \{i, k\}, \{j, k, l\}, U\}$ . The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{k\}, \{k, l\}, \{i, k\}, \{j, l\}, \{j, k, l\}, \{i, k, l\}, \{i, j, l\}, U\}$ . The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{k\}, \{j\}, \{i\}, \{i, k\}, \{j, l\}, \{i, l\}, \{i, j, l\}, U\}$ . Let  $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be another universe with  $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$  and let  $H = \{b, d\}$ . Then the N-OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, V\}$  and the N-CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, V\}$ . The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, V\}$  and  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, V\}$ .

Also,  $W = \{t, u, v\}$  with  $W/R = \{\{t, u\}, \{v\}\}$  and  $I = \{t, u\}$ . Let  $\{\emptyset, \{t, u\}, W\}$  be the N-OS and  $\{\emptyset, \{v\}, W\}$  be the N-CS. The  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS are  $\{\emptyset, \{v\}, \{u, v\},$

$\{t, v\}, W\}$  and the  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -OS are  $\{\emptyset, \{t\}, \{u\}, \{t, u\}, W\}$ . Define the function  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  as  $f(i) = b, f(j) = d, f(k) = a, f(l) = c$ . Also define the map  $h : (V, \sigma_R(H)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  be  $h(a) = t = h(d), h(b) = u, h(c) = v$ . Then both  $f$  and  $h$  are  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed functions but their composition  $h \circ f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is not  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed functions since for the N-CS  $\{j, k, l\}$  in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ ,  $h \circ f(\{j, k, l\}) = h[f(\{j, k, l\})] = h[\{a, c, d\}] = \{t, v\}$  is not  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS in  $(W, \eta_R(I))$ . Consequently, the composition of two  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed functions require not be  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a nano closed map and  $h : (V, \sigma_R(H)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  be  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed mapping then their composition  $h \circ f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed mapping.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a N-CS in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Then  $f(C)$  is nano closed in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Then  $h \circ f(C) = h(f(C))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed since  $h : (V, \sigma_R(H)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed map. Hence their composition is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed mapping.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  and  $h : (V, \sigma_R(H)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  be two mappings such that their composition  $h \circ f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed mapping. If  $f$  is nano continuous and surjective then  $h$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a N-CS in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is nano continuous, it follows that  $f^{-1}(D)$  is nano closed in  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Since  $h \circ f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed mapping,  $(h \circ f)[f^{-1}(D)]$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed in  $(W, \eta_R(I))$ . i.e.,  $h(D)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed in  $(W, \eta_R(I))$  as the function  $f : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  is surjective. Hence the image of a nano closed set in  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed in  $(W, \eta_R(I))$ . Thus  $h : (V, \sigma_R(H)) \rightarrow (W, \eta_R(I))$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(U, \tau_R(G))$  and  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$  be any two nts. Let  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be nano closed map. Then  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed map but not conversely.*

*Proof.* Let  $j : (U, \tau_R(G)) \rightarrow (V, \sigma_R(H))$  be a nano closed map. Let  $E$  be a N-CS in nts  $(U, \tau_R(G))$ . Then the image under the map  $j$  is nano closed in the nts  $(V, \sigma_R(H))$ . Since every N-CS is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed set.  $j(E)$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS. Hence  $j$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed.  $\square$

The subsequent illustration shows that the reverse implication is not true.



**Example 6.** In example 3, the set  $\{e, g\}$  is  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -closed map but it is not nano closed map.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this article we examined separation axioms of  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -CS and  $Ng^\# \alpha$ -homeomorphism. Additionally we analyzed their fundamental properties. In future it makes a difference to apply the concept in mappings and compactness.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. M. GNANAPRIYA: *Nano Generalizesd Closed Sets*, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, **4**(5) (2014), 1-3.
- [2] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. EZHILARASI: *On Nano Semi Generalized and Nano Generalized Semi-Closed Sets*, IJMCAR, **4**(3) (2014), 117-124.
- [3] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. EZHILARASI: *Nano Generalized Semi Continuity in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Research Journal of Pure Algebra, **6**(8) (2016), 361-367.
- [4] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. M. GNANAPRIYA: *On Nano Generalized Continuous Function in Nano Topological Space*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, **6**(6) (2015), 182-186.
- [5] V. KOKILAVANI, S. VISAGAPRIYA: *On Nano  $g^\# \alpha$ -Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics, ICCSPAM **19**(54) (2019), 389-396.
- [6] M. L. THIVAGAR, C. RICHARD: *On Nano Forms of Weakly Open Sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, **1**(1) (2013), 31-37.
- [7] R.T. NACHIYAR, K. BHUVANESHWARI: *Nano Generalized  $\alpha$  - Continuous and Nano  $\alpha$  - Generalized Continuous Functions in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, **14**(2) (2014), 79-83.
- [8] K. NONO, R. DEVI, M. DEVIPRIYA, K. MUTHUKUMRASWAMY, H. MAKI: *On  $g^\# \alpha$  - Closed Sets and The Digital Plane*, Bull. Fukuoka University Ed. Part III, **53** (2004), 15 - 24.
- [9] Q. H. IMRAN, M. MOHAMMED, M H. HADI: *On Nano Generalized Alpha Generalized Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, General Mathematics Notes, **34**(2) (2016), 39-51.
- [10] V. RAJENDRAN, P. SATHISHMOHAN, K. INDIRANI: *On Nano Generalized Star Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Journal of Applied Research, **1**(9) (2015), 4-7.
- [11] V. V. RAJENDRAN, P. SATHISHMOHAN, R. NITHYAKALA: *On New Class of Continuous Functions in Nano Topological Spaces*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, **6**(2) (2018), 385-389.

- [12] R. T. NACHIYAR, K. BHUVANESWARI: *On Nano Generalized A-Closed Sets and Nano A-Generalized Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces*, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, **6**(13) (2014), 257-260
- [13] M.L. THIVAGAR, C. RICHARD: *On Nano Continuity*, Mathematical Theory and Modeling, **3**(7) (2013), 32-37.

RESEARCH SCHOLAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KONGUNADU ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE

COIMBATORE-29, TAMILNADU, INDIA

*E-mail address:* visagapriya@gmail.com

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KONGUNADU ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE

COIMBATORE-29, TAMILNADU, INDIA