

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9 (2020), no.3, 955-967

ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic)

https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.3.21

# MAPPINGS AND DECOMPOSITIONS OF PAIRWISE CONTINUITY ON PAIRWISE ALMOST LINDELÖF SPACES

#### ABEDALLAH D. AL-MOMANY

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the effect of some mappings and decompositions of pairwise continuity and pairwise open mappings on pairwise almost Lindelöf spaces. Also we introduce some pairwise decompositions of continuity. We show that some kinds of mappings preserve the pairwise almost Lindelöf property such as pairwise almost continuous and pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous mappings, and other kinds of mappings implies another properties such as pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous, pairwise precontinuous, pairwise almost precontinuous and pairwise almost  $\alpha$ -continuous mappings.

### 1. Introduction

Many papers studied the generalizations of Lindelöf spaces and their properties. In 1984, Willard and Dissanayake [15] introduce the notion of almost Lindelöf spaces and, in 1996, Cammoroto and Santoro [1] introduced a new results on these spaces which are the main generalization of Lindelöf spaces. In 1963, Kelly [5] introduce the notion of bitopological spaces and several papers studied this notion, Kim [12], Cooke and Reilly [2], Fora and Hdeib [4] and Kilicman and Salleh [7–11, 13].

In [10] Kilicman and Salleh introduced and studied the pairwise Lindelöf spaces and then introduced and studied the generalizations of pairwise Lindelöf spaces, pairwise nearly Lindelöf spaces [13], pairwise almost Lindelöf spaces

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C10, 54C08, 54D20, 54E55.

Key words and phrases. pairwise almost Lindelöf, almost continuous, precontinuous,  $\theta$ -continuous,  $\alpha$ -continuous, contra-continuous,  $\delta$ -continuous, R-map.

citekis1, pairwise weakly Lindelöf spaces [11] and extended some previous results about the generalized Lindelöf spaces.

The concepts of decompositions of continuity and their effect on topological spaces, specially covering properties, has been of major interests in many works and a lot of papers studied that effect. In [8] Kilicman and Salleh introduce some pairwise mappings and studied some properties of these mappings. Also, they studied the effect of mappings and decompositions of pairwise continuity on pairwise nearly Lindelöf spaces.

In this work we will introduce some pairwise mappings and give some properties of these mappings, then we will study the effect of some mappings and decompositions of pairwise continuity and pairwise open mappings on pairwise almost Lindelöf spaces. We will show that some mappings will preserve the pairwise almost Lindelöf property while other mappings will imply another properties on the image of a pairwise almost Lindelöf space.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (or simply X) are always mean a bitopological space. If P is a topological property then  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - P$  means that  $\tau_i$  has property P with respect to  $\tau_j$  and  $\tau_i - P$  denotes that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has property P with respect to  $\tau_i$ . By  $\tau_i - int(A)$  and  $\tau_i - cl(A)$  we mean the interior and the closure of a subset A of a bitopological space X with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ , respectively. Also, by  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X we mean a cover of X by  $\tau_i$ -open subsets of X and by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open cover of X we mean a cover of X by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subsets of X. The prefixes  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - or  $\tau_i$ - are replaced by (i, j)- or i- respectively if there is no confusion.

## **Definition 2.1.** A subset S of a bitopological space $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called

- (1) *i*-open [8] if S is open with respect to  $\tau_i$ .
- (2) (i, j)-regular open [6] if S = i int(j cl(S)), S is called pairwise regular open if it is both (1, 2)-regular open and (2, 1)-regular open.
- (3) (i, j)-regular closed [6] if S = i cl(j int(S)), S is called pairwise regular closed if it is both (1, 2)-regular closed and (2, 1)-regular closed.
- (4) (i, j)-preopen [8] if  $S \subseteq i int(j cl(S))$ , S is called pairwise preopen if it is both (1, 2)-preopen and (2, 1)-preopen.

- (5) (i, j)- $\beta$ -open [8] if  $S \subseteq j-cl(i-int(j-cl(S)))$ , S is called pairwise  $\beta$ -open if it is both (1, 2)- $\beta$ -open and (2, 1)- $\beta$ -open.
- (6) (i, j)-clopen [8] if S is i-closed and j-open in X, S is called pairwise clopen if it is both (1, 2)-clopen and (2, 1)-clopen.
- (7) (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open if  $S \subseteq i int(j cl(i int(S)))$ , S is called pairwise  $\alpha$ -open if it is both (1, 2)- $\alpha$ -open and (2, 1)- $\alpha$ -open.
- (8) *i*-dense if i cl(S) = X, S is called pairwise dense if it is both 1-dense and 2-dense.

**Definition 2.2.** [4, 10] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *i*-Lindelöf if the topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$  is Lindelöf. X is called Lindelöf if it is both 1-Lindelöf and 2-Lindelöf.

**Definition 2.3.** [5,6] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- regular if for every  $x \in X$  and every i-open set V containing x, there exists an i-regular open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq j - cl(U) \subseteq V$ , X is called pairwise regular if it is both (1,2)-regular and (2,1)-regular.

**Definition 2.4.** [14] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- almost regular if for every  $x \in X$  and every (i, j)-regular open set V containing x, there exists an (i, j)-regular open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq j - cl(U) \subseteq V$ , X is called pairwise almost regular if it is both (1, 2)-almost regular and (2, 1)-almost regular.

**Definition 2.5.** [6, 14] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- semiregular if for every  $x \in X$  and every i-open set V containing x, there exists an i-open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq i - int(j - cl(U)) \subseteq V$ , X is called pairwise semiregular if it is both (1, 2)-semiregular and (2, 1)-semiregular.

**Definition 2.6.** [3] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- extremally disconnected if the i-closure of every j-open set is j-open in X. X is called pairwise extremally disconnected if it is both (1, 2)-extremally disconnected and (2, 1)-extremally disconnected.

**Definition 2.7.** [13] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- nearly Lindelöf if every i-open cover  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X has a countable subfamily  $\{U_{\alpha_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} i - int(j - cl(U_{\alpha_n}))$ , X is called pairwise nearly Lindelöf if it is both (1, 2)-nearly Lindelöf and (2, 1)-nearly Lindelöf.

Note that X is (i, j)- nearly Lindelöf if and only if every (i, j)-regular open cover  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X has a countable subfamily that covers X.

**Definition 2.8.** [11] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- nearly paracompact if every cover of X by (i, j)-regular open sets has a locally finite refinement. X is called pairwise nearly paracompact if it is both (1, 2)-nearly paracompact and (2, 1)-nearly paracompact.

**Definition 2.9.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called (i, j)- submaximal if every j-dense subset in X is i-Open in X. X is called pairwise submaximal if it is both (1, 2)-submaximal and (2, 1)-submaximal.

- **Theorem 2.1.** a) [13] A pairwise semiregular bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise nearly Lindelöf if and only if it is pairwise Lindelöf.
  - b) [13] If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an (i, j)-almost regular and (i, j)-nearly Lindelöf then X is (i, j)- nearly paracompact.

## **Definition 2.10.** A function $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$ is called:

- (1) (i, j)-R-map [8] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open in X for every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ regular open subset V of Y, f is called pairwise R-map if it is both (1, 2)-R-map and (2, 1)-R-map.
- (2) (i, j)-almost continuous [8] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in X for every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ regular open subset V of Y, f is called pairwise almost continuous if it is
  both (1, 2)-almost continuous and (2, 1)-almost continuous.
- (3) (i, j)-precontinuous [8] (resp. (i, j)- $\beta$ -continuous) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open) in X for every  $(\sigma_i$  open subset V of Y, f is called pairwise precontinuous (resp. pairwise  $\beta$ -continuous) if it is both (1, 2)-precontinuous (resp. (1, 2)- $\beta$ -continuous) and (2, 1)-precontinuous (resp. (2, 1)- $\beta$ -continuous).
- (4) (i,j)-almost precontinuous [8](resp. (i,j)-almost  $\beta$ -continuous) if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open) set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , f is called pairwise almost precontinuous (resp. pairwise almost  $\beta$ -continuous) if it is both (1,2)-almost precontinuous (resp. (2,1)-almost  $\beta$ -continuous) and (2,1)-almost precontinuous (resp. (2,1)-almost  $\beta$ -continuous).

(5) (i, j)- $\delta$ -continuous [8] (resp. (i, j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous) if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$  (resp.  $f(U) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V)$ ), f is called pairwise  $\delta$ -continuous (resp. pairwise almost  $\delta$ -continuous) if it is both (1, 2)- $\delta$ -continuous (resp. (1, 2)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous) and (2, 1)- $\delta$ -continuous (resp. (2, 1)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous).

## **Theorem 2.2.** [8] Let $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$ be a function. Then

- a) If f is a pairwise R-map then f is pairwise  $\delta$ -continuous.
- b) If f is a pairwise  $\delta$ -continuous function then f is pairwise almost continuous.

#### 3. Some decompositions of pairwise continuity

In this sections we introduce an extension of some mappings and decompositions of continuity to bitopological spaces.

# **Definition 3.1.** A function $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$ is called:

- (1) (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\sigma_i$ -open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_j cl(U)) \subseteq \sigma_j cl(V)$ , f is called pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous if it is both (1,2)- $\theta$ -continuous and (2,1)- $\theta$ -continuous.
- (2) (i,j)-strong  $\theta$ -continuous if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\sigma_i$ -open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_j cl(U)) \subseteq V$ , f is called pairwise strong  $\theta$ -continuous if it is both (1,2)-strong  $\theta$ -continuous and (2,1)-strong  $\theta$ -continuous.
- (3) (i,j)- $\alpha$ -continuous[] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open in X for every  $\sigma_i$  open subset V of Y, f is called pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous if it is both (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuous and (2,1)- $\alpha$ -continuous.
- (4) (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open set set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , f is called pairwise almost  $\alpha$ -continuous if it is both (1, 2)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous and (2, 1)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous.
- (5) (i, j)-weakly quasicontinuous if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\tau_i$ -open set G containing x and each  $\sigma_i$ -open set Y in Y containing f(x), there exists

- a  $\tau_i$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $U \subseteq G$  and  $f(U) \subseteq \sigma_j cl(V)$ , f is called pairwise weakly quasicontinuous if it is both (1,2)-weakly quasicontinuous and (2,1)-weakly quasicontinuous.
- (6) (i, j)-contra-continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X for every  $\sigma_i$ -open subset V of Y, f is called pairwise contra-continuous if it is both (1, 2)-contracontinuous and (2, 1)-contra-continuous.
- (7) (i, j)-subcontra-continuous if there exists a  $\sigma_i$ -open base  $\mathfrak{B}$  for the topology  $\sigma_i$  such that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X for every  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ , f is called pairwise subcontra-continuous if it is both (1, 2)-subcontra-continuous and (2, 1)-subcontra-continuous.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\{A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open sets in a bitopological space X. Then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$  is (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open in X.

Proof. Let  $\{A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open sets in X. Then  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq i - int(j - cl(i - int(A_{\alpha})))$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , so  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} i - int(j - cl(i - int(A_{\alpha}))) \subseteq i - int(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} j - cl(i - int(A_{\alpha}))) \subseteq i - int(j - cl(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} i - int(A_{\alpha}))) \subseteq i - int(j - cl(i - int(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha})))$ , hence  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$  is (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open in X.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be a function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous.
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open in X for every  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open subset V of Y.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let V be any  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open subset of Y and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $f(x) \in V$ . Since f is (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous then there exists an (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open set  $U_x$  in X containing x such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq V$ , so  $U_x \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ , hence  $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup \{U_x \mid x \in f^{-1}(V)\}$  and so, by lemma[],  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open in X.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x \in X$  and V be a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open subset of Y containing f(x), so, by (2),  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open in X and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  with  $f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$ . Therefore, f is (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)-almost continuous function. Then f is an (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous function.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and V be a  $\sigma_i$ -open set in Y containing f(x). Then  $\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V))$  is a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open subset of Y containing f(x). Since f is

(i,j)-almost continuous then  $f^{-1}(\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V)))$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open subset of X containing x and since  $f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(V))$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X then  $f(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V))))) \subseteq f(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(V)))) = f(f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(V))) = \sigma_j - cl(V)$ , so if  $U = f^{-1}(\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V)))$  then U is  $\tau_i$ -open in X,  $x \in U$  and  $f(\tau_j - cl(U) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V)$ . Therefore, f is an (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous function.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise almost continuous function, then f is a pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous function.

The converse of lemma 3.2 is not true as we can see in the next example.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$  and define  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  as f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = c. Then f is an (i, j)- $\theta$ -continuous function that is not (i, j)-almost continuous because  $\{b\}$  is a  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -regular open in X but  $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{c\}$  is not  $\tau_1$ -open in X.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous function. Then f is an (i,j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous function.

Proof. Let  $x \in X$  and V be a  $\sigma_i$ -open set in Y containing f(x). Since f is (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_j - cl(U)) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V)$ . Since  $U \subseteq \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U))$  then  $\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U))$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U))) \subseteq f(\tau_j - cl(U)) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V)$ . Therefore, f is an (i,j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous function.

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  is a pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous function, then f is a pairwise almost  $\delta$ -continuous function.

The converse of lemma 3.3 is not true as we can see in the next example.

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{c\}\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$  and define  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  as f(a) = b and f(b) = f(c) = c. Then f is a pairwise almost  $\delta$ -continuous function[ ], but f is not (1, 2)- $\theta$ -continuous function.Indeed, we have  $c \in X$ , f(c) = c,  $c \in \{c\} = V$ , V is  $\sigma_i$ -open in X, and  $c \in \{b, c\} = U$  (the only  $\tau_1$ -open set in X containing c). But  $\tau_2 - cl(U) = X$  and  $\sigma_2 - cl(V) = \{b, c\}$ , so  $f(\tau_2 - cl(U)) \not\subset \sigma_2 - cl(V)$ . Therefore, f is not (1, 2)- $\theta$ -continuous function.

## 4. Mappings on pairwise almost Lindelöf spaces

In this section we study the effect of some kinds of pairwise mappings on pairwise almost Lindelöf spaces.

**Definition 4.1.** [7] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)-almost Lindelöf if every i-open cover  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X has a countable subfamily  $\{U_{\alpha_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j - cl(U_{\alpha_n})$ . X is called pairwise almost Lindelöf if it is both (1, 2)-almost Lindelöf and (2, 1)-almost Lindelöf.

Note that every pairwise Lindelöf space is pairwise nearly Lindelöf and every pairwise nearly Lindelöf space is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

The following theorems are needed in our work.

**Theorem 4.1.** [7] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise semiregular and (j, i)-extremally disconnected bitopological space. Then X is (i, j)-almost Lindelöf if and only if X is i-Lindelöf.

- **Theorem 4.2.** a) [7] A pairwise almost regular bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise almost Lindelöf if and only if it is pairwise nearly Lindelöf.
  - b) [7] An (i, j)-regular space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- almost Lindelöf if and only if it is i-Lindelöf.
  - c) [7] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise almost Lindelöf if and only if every (i, j)-regular open cover  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X has a countable subfamily  $\{U_{\alpha_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j cl(U_{\alpha_n})$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)- $\theta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. For each  $x \in X$  let  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $f(x) \in V_{\alpha_x}$ . Since f is (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_j - cl(U_x)) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_x})$ , so  $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X, hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\{U_{x_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_{x_n})$ , so  $Y = f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_{x_n}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(\tau_j - cl(U_{x_n})) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_{x_n}})$ . Therefore, Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

From Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.3 we have:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)-almost continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be a pairwise almost continuous surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

Note that every (i,j)-almost Lindelöf and (i,j)-extremally disconnected is (i,j)-nearly Lindelöf, so we have

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)-e-continuous (or (i, j)-almost continuous) surjection from X onto an  $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)$ -extremally disconnected space Y. Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous (or pairwise almost continuous) surjection from X onto a pairwise extremally disconnected space Y. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise nearly Lindelöf.

From Theorem 4.1 Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 we have:

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous (or (i,j)-almost continuous) surjection from X onto a pairwise semiregular and  $(\sigma_j,\sigma_i)$ -extremally disconnected space Y. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous (or pairwise almost continuous) surjection from X onto a pairwise semiregular and pairwise extremally disconnected space Y. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise Lindelöf.

Also, from Theorem 2.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 we have:

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)- $\theta$ -continuous (or (i,j)-almost continuous) surjection. Then

- (1) If X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf and Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost regular then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf and  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -nearly paracompact.
- (2) If X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf and Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular then Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be a pairwise  $\theta$ -continuous (or pairwise almost continuous) surjection. Then

- (1) If X is pairwise almost Lindelöf and Y is pairwise almost regular then Y is pairwise nearly Lindelöf and pairwise nearly paracompact.
- (2) If X is pairwise almost Lindelöf and Y is pairwise regular then Y is pairwise Lindelöf.

Moreover, from Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 4.4 we have:

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)- $\delta$ -continuous (or (i,j)-R-map)surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an pairwise  $\delta$ -continuous (or pairwise R-map) surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)-strong  $\theta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. For each  $x \in X$  let  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $f(x) \in V_{\alpha_x}$ . Since f is (i,j)-strong  $\theta$ -continuous then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  in X containing x such that  $f(\tau_j - cl(U_x)) \subseteq V_{\alpha_x}$ , so  $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X, hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\{U_{x_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_{x_n})$ , so  $Y = f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_{x_n}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(\tau_j - cl(U_{x_n})) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha_{x_n}}$ . Therefore, Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be a pairwise strongly  $\theta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)-weakly quasicontiuous and (i, j)-precontinuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. Then  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of X. Since f is (i,j)-precontinuous then  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$  is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -preopen in X, so  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \subseteq \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})))$  for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , hence  $\{\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}))) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -regular open cover of X, hence there exists a countable subset  $\{\alpha_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n}))) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_n}))$  because f is (i,j)-weakly quasicontinuous. Thus  $Y = f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_n}))) = f(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_n}))) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_n})$ . Therefore, Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise weakly quasicontiuous and pairwise precontinuous surjection. Then if X is pairwise nearly Lindelöf then Y is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. For each  $x \in X$  let  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $f(x) \in V_{\alpha_x} \subseteq \sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_x}))$ . Since f is (i,j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous and  $\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_x}))$  is a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open subset of Y then there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset  $U_x$  of X such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(\sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_x}))) \subseteq \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_x})$ , so  $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -regular open cover of X, hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\{U_{x_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x_n}$ . Thus  $Y = f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x_n}) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(U_{x_n}) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_{\alpha_{x_n}})$ . Therefore, Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.9.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise almost  $\delta$ -continuous surjection. Then if X is pairwise nearly Lindelöf then Y is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

From Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.11 we have:

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)-almost  $\delta$ -continuous surjection from a bitopological space X onto a pairwise almost regular space Y. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)$ -nearly Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous(or (i, j)-almost precontinuous) and (i, j)-contra-continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_i)$ -nearly Lindelöf.

*Proof.* we prove the case of (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ -continuous and the case of (i, j)-almost precontinuous is similar.

Let  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open cover of Y. Then,  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$  is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open subset of X, so  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \subseteq \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}))))$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , hence  $\{\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})))) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X, so there exists a countable subset  $\{\alpha_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n}))))) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n}))) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n}))$ . Since f is (i, j)-contra-continuous then  $f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n})$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n})$ , hence  $Y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n})$ , hence  $Y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n})$ 

 $f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_n})) = f(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha_n})) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha_n})$ . Therefore, Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_i)$ -nearly Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise almost  $\alpha$ -continuous (or pairwise almost precontinuous) surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise nearly Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be an (i,j)- $\alpha$ -continuous(or (i,j)-precontinuous) and (i,j)-subcontra-continuous surjection. Then if X is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -almost Lindelöf then Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

*Proof.* we prove the case of (i, j)-precontinuous and the case of (i, j)-almost  $\alpha$ is similar.

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open base for  $\sigma_i$  such that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X for every  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. For each  $x \in X$  let  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $f(x) \in U_{\alpha_x}$ , so there exists  $V_x \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $f(x) \in V_x \subseteq U_{\alpha_x}$ , Since f is (i,j)-precontinuous then  $f^{-1}(V_x)$  is  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)$ -peropen in X for all  $x \in X$ , so  $f^{-1}(V_x) \subseteq \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_x)))$ . Since  $f^{-1}(V_x$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X then  $f^{-1}(V_x) \subseteq \tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_x))$ , so  $f^{-1}(V_x) = \tau_i - int(f^{-1}(V_x))$ , hence  $f^{-1}(V_x)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in X, so  $\{f^{-1}(V_x) \mid x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X, so there exists a countable subset  $\{\alpha_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_{x_n})) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V_{x_n}) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x_n})$ . Thus  $Y = f(X) = f(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x_n})) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x_n}$ . Therefore, Y is  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$  be a pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous (or pairwise precontinuous) surjection. Then if X is pairwise almost Lindelöf then Y is pairwise Lindelöf.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. CAMAROTO, G. SANTORO: Some Counterexamples and Properties on Generalizations of Lindelof Spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci., 19(4) (1996), 737–746.
- [2] I. E. COOKE, I. L. REILLY: On bitopological compactness, J. London Math. Soc., 9(2) (1975), 518–522.
- [3] M. C. Datta: Projective bitopological spaces, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 13 (1972), 327–334.
- [4] A. FORA, H. Z. HDEIB: On pairwise Lindelöf spaces, Rev. Colombiana Math., 17(1-2) (1983), 37–57.
- [5] J. C. Kelly: Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math.Soc., 13(3)(1963), 71–98.

- [6] F. H. KHEDR, A. M. ALSHIBANI: *On pairwise super continuous mappings in bitopological spaces*, Inter. J. of Math. and Math. Sci., **14** (4)(1991), 715–722.
- [7] A. KILICMAN, Z. SALLEH: *Pairwise almost Lindelöf bitopological spaces*, Journal of Malaysian Math. Sci., **1**(2) (2007), 227–238.
- [8] A. KILICMAN, Z. SALLEH: Mappings and decompositions of pairwise continuity on pairwise nearly Lindelöf spaces, Albanian Journal of Math., 4(2) (2001), 31–47.
- [9] A. KILICMAN, Z. SALLEH: Mappings and pairwise continuity on pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, Albanian Journal of Math., 1(2) (2007), 115–120.
- [10] A. KILICMAN, Z. SALLEH: On pairwise Lindelöf spaces, Topology Apll., 154(8) (2007), 1600–1607.
- [11] A. KILICMAN, Z. SALLEH: *On pairwise weakly Lindelöf spaces*, Bul. of the Iranian Math. Soc., **39**(3) (2013), 469–486.
- [12] Y. W. KIM: Pairwise compactness, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 15 (1968), 87–90.
- [13] Z. SALLEH, A. KILICMAN: *Pairwise nearly Lindelöf spaces*, Proc. of the  $5^{th}$  Asian Math. conferense, Malaysia, 1 (2009), 190–197.
- [14] A. R. SINGAL, S. P. ARYA: On pairwise almost regular spaces, Glasnik Math., **26**(6) (1971), 335–343.
- [15] S. WILLARD, U. N. B. DISSANAYAKE: *The almost Lindelof Degree*, Canad. Math. Bull., **27**(4) (1984), 452–455.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCES

AJLOUN COLLEGE

AL-BALQA'A UNIVERSITY

JORDAN

E-mail address: abm11168@yahoo.com, abm11168@bau.edu.jo.