

BETWEEN NANO CLOSED SETS AND NANO GENERALIZED CLOSED SETS IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

S. M. SANDHYA, S. JEYASHRI, S. GANESAN¹, AND C. ALEXANDER

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper, we offer a new class of sets called $N\ddot{g}$ -closed sets in Nano topological spaces and we study some of its basic properties. It turns out that this class lies between the class of Nano closed sets and the class of Nano generalized closed sets. As applications of $N\ddot{g}$ -closed sets, we introduce $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces and ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces. Moreover, we obtain certain new characterizations for the $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces and ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard [6] introduced and studied Nano semi-open, Nano α -open, Nano-preopen and Nano regular open respectively. Revathy and Illango [7] introduced and studied Nano β -open sets. Bhuvaneshwari and Mythili Gnanapriya [1] introduced Nano generalised closed sets. Lalitha and Francina Shalini [5] introduced Nano generalized \wedge -closed and open sets in Nano topological spaces. Bhuvaneshwari and Ezhilarasi [3], Thanga Nachiyar and Bhunaneswari [9] defined Nano semi generalized closed sets and Nano generalized semi closed sets, Nano α \ddot{g} -closed sets respectively.

S.Ganesan et al [4] studied Nano $*g$ -closed sets. The aim of this paper, we introduce and study some basic properties of Nano \ddot{g} -closed sets. It turns out that

¹corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C10, 54A05, 54D15, 54D30.

Key words and phrases. $N\ddot{g}$ -closed sets, $N\ddot{g}$ -open sets, $T_{N1/2}$ -space, $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -spaces.

this class lies between the class of Nano closed sets and the class of Nano generalized closed sets. As applications of $N\ddot{o}$ -closed sets, we introduce and study three new spaces, namely $T_{N\ddot{o}}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N\ddot{o}}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N\ddot{o}}$ -spaces. Moreover, we obtain their properties and characterizations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ (or U) represent Nano topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$, $\text{clo}(M)$ & $\text{inte}(M)$ denote the closure of M & the interior of M respectively.

Definition 2.1. [6] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

- (1) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$. That is, $L_R(X) = \bigcup x \in U \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$ where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by x .
- (2) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$. That is, $U_R(X) = \bigcup x \in U \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$.
- (3) The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be neither in nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. That is, $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. [6] If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

- (1) $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$.
- (2) $L_R(\emptyset) = U_R(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$.
- (3) $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$.
- (4) $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$.
- (5) $L_R(X \cup Y) \subseteq L_R(X) \cup L_R(Y)$.
- (6) $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$.
- (7) $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$.
- (8) $U_R(X^c) = L_R(X^c)$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X^c)]$.

- (9) $U_R(U_R(X)) = L_R(U_R(X)) = U_R(X)$.
 (10) $L_R(L_R(X)) = U_R(L_R(X)) = L_R(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [6] Let U be an universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then by Proposition 2.1, $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $U, \phi \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (2) The union of the elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (3) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is called the Nano topology on U with respect to X .

The space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is the Nano topological space. The elements of are called Nano open sets.

Definition 2.3. [6] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is the Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $M \subseteq U$, then

- (1) The Nano interior of the set M is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets contained in M and it is denoted by $NInte(M)$. That is, $NInte(M)$ is the largest Nano open subset of M .
- (2) The Nano closure of the set M is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing M and it is denoted by $NClo(M)$. That is, $NClo(M)$ is the smallest Nano closed set containing M .

Definition 2.4. A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

- (1) Nano α -open set [6] if $M \subseteq NInte(Nclo(NInte(M)))$.
- (2) Nano semi-open set [6] if $M \subseteq Nclo(NInte(M))$.
- (3) Nano pre-open set [6] if $M \subseteq NInte(Nclo(M))$.
- (4) Nano β -open set [7] if $M \subseteq Nclo(NInte(Nclo(M)))$.
- (5) Nano regular-open set [6] if $M = NInte(Nclo(M))$.

The complements of the above mentioned Nano open sets are called their respective Nano closed sets.

The Nano α -closure (resp. Nano semi-closure, Nano pre-closure [2], Nano semi-pre-closure) of a subset M of U , denoted by $N\alpha clo(M)$ (resp. $Nsclo(M)$, $Npclo(M)$, $N\beta clo(M)$) is defined to be the intersection of all Nano α -closed (resp. Nano semi-closed, Nano pre-closed, Nano β -closed) sets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$

containing M .

The Nano α -interior (resp. Nano semi-interior, Nano pre-interior [2], Nano semi-pre-interior) of a subset M of U , denoted by $N\alpha\text{inte}(M)$ (resp. $N\text{sinte}(M)$, $N\text{pinte}(M)$, $N\beta\text{inte}(M)$) is defined to be the union of all Nano α -open (resp. Nano semi-open, Nano pre-open, Nano β -open) sets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ containing M .

Definition 2.5. A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

- (1) a Nano generalized closed (briefly Ng-closed) set [1] if $N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (2) a Nano generalized semi-closed (briefly Ngs-closed) set [3] if $N\text{sclo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (3) a Nano semi generalized closed (briefly Nsg-closed) set [3] if $N\text{sclo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano semi-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (4) an Nano α -generalized closed (briefly $N\alpha$ g-closed) set [9] if $N\alpha\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (5) a Nano \hat{g} -closed (briefly $N\hat{g}$ -closed) set [5] if $N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano semi-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (6) a Nano generalized semi pre-closed (briefly Ngsp-closed) set [8] $N\text{spcl}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

The complements of above Nano closed sets is called Nano open sets.

Remark 2.1. The collection of all Ng-closed (resp. Ngs-closed, Nsg-closed, $N\alpha$ g-closed, $N\hat{g}$ -closed, Ngsp-closed, Nano semi-closed, Nano pre-closed, Nano β -closed) sets is denoted by $\text{Ngc}(\tau_R(X))$ (resp. $\text{Ngsc}(\tau_R(X))$, $\text{Nsgc}(\tau_R(X))$, $N\alpha\text{gc}(\tau_R(X))$, $N\hat{g}\text{c}(\tau_R(X))$, $\text{Ngspc}(\tau_R(X))$, $\text{Nsc}(\tau_R(X))$, $\text{Npc}(\tau_R(X))$, $N\beta\text{c}(\tau_R(X))$).

We denote the power set of U by $P(U)$.

Definition 2.6. [4] A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

- (i) $T_{N1/2}$ -space if every Ng-closed set is Nano closed.
- (ii) T_{Nb} -space if every Ngs-closed set is Nano closed.
- (iii) $N\alpha T_b$ -space if every $N\alpha$ g-closed set is Nano closed.
- (iv) $T_N\hat{g}$ -space if every Nano semi-closed set is Nano closed.
- (v) $N\text{semi-}T_{1/2}$ -space if every Nsg-closed set is Nano semi closed.

3. $N\ddot{g}$ -CLOSED AND $N\ddot{g}$ -OPEN SETS

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called

- (i) Nano \ddot{g} -closed (briefly $N\ddot{g}$ -closed) set if $N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nsg-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is called $N\ddot{g}$ -open set.
- (ii) Nano \ddot{g}_α -closed (briefly $N\ddot{g}_\alpha$ -closed) set if $N_\alpha\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nsg-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of $N\ddot{g}_\alpha$ -closed set is called $N\ddot{g}_\alpha$ -open set.

The collection of all $N\ddot{g}$ -closed (resp. $N\ddot{g}_\alpha$ -closed) sets is denoted by $N\ddot{g}c((\tau_R(X)))$ (resp. $N\ddot{g}_\alpha c((\tau_R(X)))$).

Proposition 3.1. Every Nano closed set is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proof. Let M be a Nano closed set and T be any Nsg-open set containing M . Since M is nano closed, we have $N\text{clo}(M) = M \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 1. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$ with $U/R = \{\{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, p\}\}$ and $X = \{p, q\}$. The Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{p, q\}, U\}$. Then $N\ddot{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{p, r\}$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set but not Nano closed.

Proposition 3.2. Every $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is Ng-closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is Nsg-open, we have $N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ng-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2. Let $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$, with $U/R = \{\{p\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}$ and $X = \{p, q\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, s\}, U\}$. Then $N\ddot{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r, s\}, U\}$ and $\text{Ng}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, \{r, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{q, r\}$ is Ng-closed set but not $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proposition 3.3. Every $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is $\hat{N}\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nano semi-open set containing M . Since every Nano semi-open set is Nsg-open, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\hat{g}$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.3 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$, with $U/R = \{\{p\}, \{q, r\}\}$ and $X = \{p, q\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Then $N\ddot{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$ and $N\hat{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here, $H = \{p, q\}$ is $N\hat{g}$ -closed set but not $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proposition 3.4. Every $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is Ngs-closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nano-open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is Nsg-open, we have $Nsclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ngs-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 4. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3. Then $Ngsc(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here, $H = \{p, q\}$ is Ngs-closed set but not $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proposition 3.5. Every $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is Ngsp-closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nano-open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is Nsg-open, we have $Nspclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ngsp-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.5 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 5. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3. Then $Ngspc(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here, $H = \{r\}$ is Ngsp-closed set but not $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Proposition 3.6. Every $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set is $N\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nano-open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is Nsg-open, we have $N\alpha clo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\alpha g$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.6 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 6. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3. Then $N_\alpha gc(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here, $H = \{q\}$ is $N_\alpha g$ -closed set but not $N\tilde{g}$ -closed.

Proposition 3.7. Every $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set is $N\tilde{g}_\alpha$ -closed.

Proof. Let M be an $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set and T be any Nsg -open set containing M . We have $N_\alpha clo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\tilde{g}_\alpha$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.7 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 7. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$, with $U/R = \{\{p\}, \{q, r\}\}$ and $X = \{p\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{p\}, U\}$. Here $N\tilde{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q, r\}, U\}$, $N\tilde{g}_\alpha c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q\}, \{r\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{q\}$ is $N\tilde{g}_\alpha$ -closed but not $N\tilde{g}$ -closed.

Remark 3.1. we obtain the following diagram where $A \rightarrow B$ represents A implies B , but not conversely.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Nano closed set} & \longrightarrow & N\tilde{g}\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & N\hat{g}\text{-closed} & \longrightarrow & Ng\text{-closed} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & N_\alpha g\text{-closed} & & \end{array}$$

Remark 3.2. If P and Q are $N\tilde{g}$ -closed sets, then $P \cup Q$ is also a $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set.

Proof. It follows from the fact that $Nclo(P \cup Q) = Nclo(P) \cup Nclo(Q)$. \square

Example 8. Let $U = \{p, q, r, s, t\}$, with $U/R = \{\{s\}, \{p, q\}, \{r, t\}\}$ and $X = \{p, s\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{s\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, q, s\}, U\}$. Then $N\tilde{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{r\}, \{t\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, t\}, \{q, s\}, \{q, t\}, \{r, s\}, \{r, t\}, \{s, t\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, t\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{p, r, t\}, \{p, s, t\}, \{q, r, s\}, \{q, r, t\}, \{q, s, t\}, \{r, s, t\}, \{p, q, r, s\}, \{p, q, r, t\}, \{p, q, s, t\}, \{p, r, s, t\}, \{q, r, s, t\}, U\}$. Here, $P = \{r\}$ and $Q = \{t\}$ are $N\tilde{g}$ -closed sets but $P \cup Q = \{r, t\}$ is also a $N\tilde{g}$ -closed sets.

Remark 3.3. If K and L are $N\tilde{g}$ -closed sets, then $K \cap L$ is a $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set.

Example 9. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 1. Here, $K = \{p, r\}$ and $L = \{q, r\}$ are $N\tilde{g}$ -closed sets but $K \cap L = \{r\}$ is a $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set.

Proposition 3.8. *If a subset M of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $N\ddot{g}$ -closed if and only if $Nclo(A) - M$ does not contain any nonempty Nsg-closed set.*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that M is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. Let S be a Nsg-closed subset of $Nclo(M) - M$. Then $M \subseteq S^c$. Since M is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq S^c$. Consequently, $S \subseteq (Nclo(M))^c$. Hence, $S \subseteq Nclo(M) \cap (Nclo(M))^c = \phi$. Therefore S is empty.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $Nclo(M) - M$ contains no nonempty Nsg-closed set. Let $M \subseteq G$ and G be Nsg-closed. If $Nclo(M) \neq G$, then $Nclo(M) \subseteq G^c \neq \phi$. Since $Nclo(M)$ is a Nano closed set and G^c is a Nsg-closed set, $Nclo(M) \cap G^c$ is a nonempty Nsg-closed subset of $Nclo(M) - M$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $Nclo(M) \subseteq G$ and hence M is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Nclo(A)$, then B is also a $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$.*

Proof. Let W be a Nsg-open set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $B \subseteq W$. Then $A \subseteq W$. Since A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed, we get, $Nclo(A) \subseteq W$. Now $Nclo(B) \subseteq Nclo(Nclo(A)) = Nclo(A) \subseteq W$. Therefore, B is also a $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

Definition 3.2. *The intersection of all Nsg-open subsets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ containing A is called the Nano sg-kernel of A and denoted by $Nsg\text{-ker}(A)$.*

Lemma 3.1. *A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed if and only if $Ncl(A) \subseteq Nsg\text{-ker}(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. Then $Ncl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is Nsg-open. Let $x \in Ncl(A)$. If $x \notin Nsg\text{-ker}(A)$, then there is a Nsg-open set U containing A such that $x \notin U$. Since U is a Nsg-open set containing A , we have $x \notin Ncl(A)$ and this is a contradiction.

Conversely, let $Ncl(A) \subseteq Nsg\text{-ker}(A)$. If U is any Nsg-open set containing A , then $Ncl(A) \subseteq Nsg\text{-ker}(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore, A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. \square

Definition 3.3. *A subset M of a space U is said to be $N\ddot{g}$ -open if M^C is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.*

The class of all $N\ddot{g}$ -open subsets of U is denoted by $N\ddot{g}o(\tau_R(X))$.

Proposition 3.10. (1) *Every Nano open set is $N\ddot{g}$ -open set but not conversely.*
 (2) *Every $N\ddot{g}$ -open set is Ng-open set but not conversely.*

- (3) Every $N\tilde{g}$ -open set is $N\hat{g}$ -open set but not conversely.
- (4) Every $N\tilde{g}$ -open set is $N\tilde{g}s$ -open set but not conversely.
- (5) Every $N\tilde{g}$ -open set is $N\alpha\tilde{g}$ -open set but not conversely.
- (6) Every $N\tilde{g}$ -open set is $N\tilde{g}_\alpha$ -open set but not conversely.

Proposition 3.11. A subset M of a Nano topological space U is said to $N\tilde{g}$ -open if and only if $P \subseteq N\text{into}(M)$ whenever $M \supseteq P$ and P is $N\tilde{g}s$ -closed in U .

Proof. Suppose that M is $N\tilde{g}$ -open in U and $M \supseteq P$, where P is $N\tilde{g}s$ -closed in U . Then $M^c \subseteq P^c$, where P^c is $N\tilde{g}s$ -open-open in U . Hence we get $N\text{clo}(M^c) \subseteq P^c$ implies $(N\text{into}(M))^c \subseteq P^c$. Thus, we have $N\text{into}(M) \supseteq P$.

Conversely, suppose that $M^c \subseteq T$ and T is $N\tilde{g}s$ -open-open in U then $M \supseteq T^c$ and T^c is $N\tilde{g}s$ -closed then by hypothesis $N\text{into}(M) \supseteq T^c$ implies $(N\text{into}(M))^c \subseteq T$. Hence $N\text{clo}(M^c) \subseteq T$ gives M^c is $N\tilde{g}$ -closed. \square

Proposition 3.12. In a Nano topological space U , for each $u \in U$, either $\{u\}$ is $N\tilde{g}s$ -closed or $N\tilde{g}$ -open in U .

Proof. Suppose that $\{u\}$ is not $N\tilde{g}s$ -closed in U . Then $\{u\}^c$ is not $N\tilde{g}s$ -open-open and the only $N\tilde{g}s$ -open set containing $\{u\}^c$ is the space U itself. Therefore, $N\text{clo}(\{u\}^c) \subseteq U$ and so $\{u\}^c$ is $N\tilde{g}$ -closed gives $\{u\}$ is $N\tilde{g}$ -open. \square

4. APPLICATION

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 4.1. A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $T_{N\tilde{g}}$ -space if every $N\tilde{g}$ -closed set in it is Nano closed.

Example 10. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$, with $U/R = \{\{q\}, \{p, r\}\}$ and $X = \{q\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{q\}, U\}$. Here $N\tilde{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\tilde{g}}$ -space.

Example 11. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$ with $U/R = \{\{q\}, \{p, r\}, \{r, p\}\}$ and $X = \{p, r\}$. The Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{p, r\}, U\}$. Then $N\tilde{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $T_{N\tilde{g}}$ -space.

Proposition 4.1. Every $T_{N1/2}$ -space is $T_{N\tilde{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.2. \square

The converse of Proposition 4.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 12. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 10. $\text{Ng}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $T_{N1/2}$ -space.

Proposition 4.2. Every $N_\alpha T_b$ -space is $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.6. □

The converse of Proposition 4.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 13. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 10. $N_\alpha \text{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $N_\alpha T_b$ -space.

Proposition 4.3. Every T_{Nb} -space is $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.4. □

The converse of Proposition 4.3 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 14. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 10. $\text{Ng}sc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a T_{Nb} -space.

Proposition 4.4. Every $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space is $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.3. □

The converse of Proposition 4.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 15. Let $U = \{p, q, r\}$, with $U/R = \{\{q\}, \{p, r\}$ and $X = \{q, r\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{q\}, \{p, r\}, U\}$. Then $N\hat{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q\}, \{p, r\}, U\}$ and $N\hat{g}c(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space but not a $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -space.

Definition 4.2. A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $T_{N\alpha}$ -space if every Nano α -closed set in it is Nano closed.

Remark 4.1. $T_{N\hat{g}}$ -spaces and $T_{N\alpha}$ -spaces are independent.

Example 16. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 10, $N_{\alpha c}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r\}, \{p, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not a $T_{N\alpha}$ -space.

Example 17. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 11, $N_{\alpha c}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\alpha}$ but not $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Theorem 4.1. For a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.
- (ii) Every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either Nsg-closed or Nano open.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii). Assume that for some $u \in U$, the set $\{u\}$ is not a Nsg-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then the only Nsg-open-open set containing $\{u\}^c$ is U and so $\{u\}^c$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. By assumption $\{u\}^c$ is Nano closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ or equivalently $\{u\}$ is Nano open.

(ii) \rightarrow (i). Let M be a $N\ddot{g}$ -closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and let $u \in N_{clo}(M)$. By assumption $\{u\}$ is either Nsg-closed or Nano open.

Case (a) Suppose that $\{u\}$ is Nsg-closed. If $u \notin M$, then $N_{clo}(M) - M$ contains a nonempty Nsg-closed set $\{u\}$, which is a contradiction to Theorem 3.8. Therefore $u \in M$.

Case (b) Suppose that $\{u\}$ is Nano open. Since $u \in N_{clo}(M)$, $\{u\} \cap M \neq \phi$ and so $u \in M$. Thus in both case, $u \in M$ and therefore $N_{clo}(M) \subseteq M$ or equivalently M is a Nano closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

5. ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -SPACES

Definition 5.1. A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space if every Ng-closed set in it is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.

Example 18. Let X and τ as in the Example 11, is a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 10, is not a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Proposition 5.1. Every $T_{N1/2}$ -space is ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

The converse of Proposition 5.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 19. Let X and τ as in the Example 11, is a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not a $T_{N1/2}$ -space.

Remark 5.1. $T_{\ddot{g}}$ -space and ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space are independent.

Example 20. The space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 11, is a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not a $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 10, is a $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Theorem 5.1. If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space, then every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either Ng-closed or $N\ddot{g}$ -open.

Proof. Assume that for some $x \in X$, the set $\{x\}$ is not a Ng-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $\{x\}$ is not a Nano closed set, since every Nano closed set is a Ng-closed set. So $\{x\}^c$ is not Nano open and the only Nano open set containing $\{x\}^c$ is X itself. Therefore $\{x\}^c$ is trivially a Ng-closed set and by assumption, $\{x\}^c$ is an $N\ddot{g}$ -closed set or equivalently $\{x\}$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -open. \square

The converse of Theorem 5.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 21. Let X and τ as in the Example 10. The sets $\{a\}$ and $\{c\}$ are Ng-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and the set $\{b\}$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -open. But the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Theorem 5.2. A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $T_{N1/2}$ if and only if it is both $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ and ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$.

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Propositions 4.1 and 5.1.

Sufficiency. Assume that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is both $T_{N\ddot{g}}$ and ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$. Let A be a Ng-closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed, since $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_gT_{N\ddot{g}}$. Again since $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\ddot{g}}$, A is a Nano closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and so $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{1/2}$. \square

6. ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -SPACES

Definition 6.1. A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called

- (1) a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space if every $N\alpha$ g-closed set in it is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed.
- (2) a $N_{\alpha}T_d$ -space if every $N\alpha$ g-closed set in it is Ng-closed.

Example 22. Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 11, is a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 10, is not a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Proposition 6.1. Every $N_{\alpha}T_b$ -space is ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

The converse of Proposition 6.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 23. Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ in the Example 11, is a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space but not a $N_{\alpha}T_b$ -space.

Proposition 6.2. Every ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space is a $N_{\alpha}T_d$ -space but not conversely.

Proof. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be an ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space and let A be an $N_{\alpha}g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is a $N\ddot{g}$ -closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and by Proposition 3.2, A is $N\ddot{g}$ -closed. Therefore $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is an $N_{\alpha}T_d$ -space. \square

The converse of Proposition 6.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 24. Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ in the Example 11, is a $N_{\alpha}T_d$ -space but not a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

Theorem 6.1. If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space, then every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either $N_{\alpha}g$ -closed or $N\ddot{g}$ -open.

Proof. Similar to Theorem 5.1. \square

The converse of Theorem 6.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 25. Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 10. The sets $\{a\}$ and $\{c\}$ are $N_{\alpha}g$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and the set $\{b\}$ is $N\ddot{g}$ -open. But the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a ${}_{\alpha}T_{N\ddot{g}}$ -space.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which have helped immensely in improving the quality of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. MYTHILI GNANAPRIYA: *Nano generalized closed sets in Nano topological spaces*, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, **4**(5) (2014), 2250-3153.
- [2] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. MYTHILI GNANAPRIYA: *On nano generalized pre closed sets and nano pre generalised closed sets in nano topological spaces*, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, **3**(10) (2014), 16825-16829.
- [3] K. BHUVANESHWARI, K. EZHILARASI: *On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi-closed sets*, IJMCAR, **4**(3)(2014), 117-124.
- [4] S. GANESAN, C. ALEXANDER, B. SARATHKUMAR, K. ANUSUYA: *N^*g -closed sets in nano topological spaces*, Journal of Applied Science and Computations, **6**(4) (2019), 1243-1252.
- [5] R. LALITHA, A. FRANCINA SHALINI: *On nano generalized \wedge -closed and open sets in nano topological spaces*, International Journal of Applied Research, **3**(5) (2017), 368–371.
- [6] M. LELLISTHIVAGAR, C. RICHARD: *On Nano forms of weakly open sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, **1**(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [7] A. REVARHY, G. ILLANGO: *On nano β -open sets*, International Journal of engineering Contemporary Mathematics and Sciences, **1**(2) (2015), 1-6.
- [8] P. SULOCHANA DEVI, K. BHUVANESHWARI: *On nano regular generalized and nano generalized regular closed Sets in nano topological Spaces*, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, **8**(13)(2014), 386-390.
- [9] R. THANGA NACHIYAR, K. BHUVANESHWARI: *On nano generalized A-closed sets and nano A-generalized closed sets in nano topological spaces*, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, **6**(13) (2014), 257-260.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MOTHER TERESA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
KODAIKANAL-624102, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
E-mail address: sandhyasmtvm@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MOTHER TERESA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
KODAIKANAL-624102, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
E-mail address: balasri127@gmail.com

PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
RAJA DORAISINGAM GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE
SIVAGANGAI-630561, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
E-mail address: sgsgsgsgsg77@gmail.com

PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
RAJA DORAISINGAM GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE
SIVAGANGAI-630561, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
E-mail address: alexchinna07@yahoo.com