

OPERATIONS ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY L -RING IDEALSK. R. SASIREKA¹ AND K. E. SATHAPPAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, some notions meet in intuitionistic fuzzy L -ring ideals are introduced and their propositionerties are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Intuitionistic fuzzy set is an buliding of fuzzy set, done by K. T. Atanassov, [2] a bulgarian engineer. He introduce a new element which determine the degree of non-membership in essential intuitionistic fuzzy subset. The lattice of fuzzy ideals of a ring is discussed in [1]. Fuzzy set to Lattice ordered ring is developed in [4]. Now operations on Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ring ideals are established.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Let μ be any fuzzy subset of a set X and $t \in \text{Im}\mu$. After that the set $\mu_t = \{x \in X / \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called the level subset of μ .

Obviously $\mu_t \subseteq \mu_s$ whenever $t > s$.

Definition 2.2. Let μ be any fuzzy subset of a set X and $\mu = (x_i, t_i) / i=1$ to n and $t_i \in [0, 1]$. Then $\{t_i = i / i = 1$ to $n\}$ is called the image set of μ and is denoted by $\text{Im } \mu$.

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06D72.

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy set, Intuitionistic fuzzy set, Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ring ideal.

Definition 2.3. [5] An intuitionistic fuzzy subset A of an L -ring R is called a intuitionistic fuzzy L -ring ideal or intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R if for all $x, y \in R$.

- (i) $\mu(x \vee y) \geq \min \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$.
- (ii) $\mu(x \wedge y) \geq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$.
- (iii) $\mu(x - y) \geq \min \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$.
- (iv) $\mu(xy) \geq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$.
- (v) $\nu(x \vee y) \leq \max \{ \nu(x), \nu(y) \}$.
- (vi) $\nu(x \wedge y) \leq \min \{ \nu(x), \nu(y) \}$.
- (vii) $\nu(x - y) \leq \max \{ \nu(x), \nu(y) \}$.
- (viii) $\nu(xy) \leq \min \{ \nu(x), \nu(y) \}$.

Definition 2.4. Let A be an Intuitionistic fuzzy set on a set X .

The (α, β) -cut of A is a crisp subset. $A_{\alpha, \beta} = \{ x \in X / \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \nu_A(x) \leq \beta \}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

Definition 2.5. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set on a set X . The Support of A is the crisp subset on X given by:

$$\text{Supp}(A) = \{ x \in X / \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \nu_A(x) \text{ is less between } 0 \text{ and } 1 \}.$$

3. OPERATIONS ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY L -RING IDEALS

Definition 3.1. Let M, N be any two intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideals of an L -ring R .

$M = \{ r, \mu_M(r), \nu_M(r) / r \in R \}$ and $N = \{ r, \mu_N(r), \nu_N(r) / r \in R \}$.

- (i) Sum $(\mu_M + \mu_N)$ of μ_M, μ_N and ν_M, ν_N is defined by

$$(\mu_M + \mu_N)(r) = \sup_{r=p+q} \{ \min \{ \mu_M(p), \mu_N(q) \} \}$$

$$(\nu_M + \nu_N)(r) = \inf_{r=p+q} \{ \max \{ \nu_M(p), \nu_N(q) \} \}, \text{ where } p, q, r \in R.$$
- (ii) Product $(\mu_M \cdot \mu_N)$ of μ_M, μ_N and ν_M, ν_N is defined by

$$(\mu_M \cdot \mu_N)(r) = \sup_{r=pq} \{ \min \{ \mu_A(p), \mu_B(q) \} \}$$

$$(\nu_M \cdot \nu_N)(r) = \inf_{r=pq} \{ \max \{ \nu_A(p), \nu_B(q) \} \}, \text{ where } p, q, r \in R.$$
- (iii) Join $(\mu_M \vee \mu_N)$ of μ_M, μ_N and ν_M, ν_N is defined by

$$(\mu_M \vee \mu_N)(r) = \sup_{r=p \vee q} \{ \min \{ \mu_M(p), \mu_N(q) \} \}$$

$$(\nu_M \vee \nu_N)(r) = \inf_{r=p \vee q} \{ \max \{ \nu_M(p), \nu_N(q) \} \}, \text{ where } p, q, r \in R.$$

(iv) *Meet*($\mu_M \wedge \mu_N$) of μ_M, μ_N and ν_M, ν_N is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M \wedge \mu_N)(x) &= \sup_{r=p \wedge q} \{\min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_N(q)\}\} \\ (\nu_M \wedge \nu_N)(r) &= \inf_{r=p \wedge q} \{\max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\}\}, \text{ where } p, q, r \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *If M and N are any two Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideals of an L-ring R, then $M + N$ is also an Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideal of R.*

Proof. Assume that M and N are any two Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideals of an L-ring R. Now consider the Intuitionistic fuzzy subset $(\mu_M + \mu_N)$ of R defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M + \mu_N)(x) &= \sup_{r=p+q} \{\min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_N(r)\}\} \\ (\nu_M + \nu_N)(r) &= \inf_{r=p+q} \{\max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\}\}, \text{ where } p, q, r \in R. \end{aligned}$$

We have to prove that $M + N$ is an Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideal of R. This means that we have to prove: $(\mu_M + \mu_N), (\nu_M + \nu_N)$ is an Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideal of R.

According to [3], it is enough to prove that:

$(\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$ is an L-ideal of R, for all $t \in \text{Im}(\mu_M + \mu_N)$

$(\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$ is an L-ideal of R, for all $n \in \text{Im}(\nu_M + \nu_N)$.

Let $t \in \text{Im}(\mu_M + \mu_N)$ be arbitrary. Then either $t \in \text{Im } \mu_M$ or $t \in \text{Im } \mu_N$ or both. Suppose $t \in \text{Im } \mu_M$. Then, μ_M and μ_N are Intuitionistic fuzzy L-ideals of R, μ_{M_t} be an L-ideal of R then there exists $s \in \text{Im } \mu_N$ such that $t < s$ and μ_{N_s} is an L-ideal of R such $\mu_{N_s} = \mu_{M_t}$. $\mu_M(0) \geq t$ and $\mu_N(0) \geq s > t$ for all t .

Similarly, we can prove $\min\{\mu_M(0), \mu_N(0)\} \geq t$ for $t \in \text{Im } \mu_N$ and for both. Now consider level subset $(\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$ of R. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M + \mu_N)(0) &= \sup_{0=u+v} \{\min\{\mu_M(u), \mu_N(v)\}\} \text{ where } u, v \in R. \\ &\geq t. \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$.

Let $x, y \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$ be arbitrary. Now, it is enough to prove

- (i) $r, p \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t \implies r \vee p, r - p \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$.
- (ii) $r \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t, r \in R$ such that $x \leq r \implies x \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$
- (iii) $r \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t, r \in R \implies rx, xr \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$.

Here $r, p \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$, and now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M + \mu_N)(r) &= \sup_{r=u+v} \{\min\{\mu_M(u), \mu_N(v)\}\} \text{ where } u, v \in R. \\ &\geq t. \\ &\implies \mu_M(r_1) \geq t \text{ and } \mu_N(r_2) \geq t. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_M + \mu_N)(p) &= \sup_{p=a+b} \{ \min \{ \mu_M(a), \mu_N(b) \} \} \text{ where } a, b \in R. \\ &\geq t.\end{aligned}$$

Let $n \in \text{Im}(\nu_M + \nu_N)$ be arbitrary. Suppose $n \in \text{Im } \nu_M$. As ν_M and ν_N are Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideals of R , ν_{M_n} is an L -ideal of R then there exists $m \in \text{Im } \nu_N$ such that $m < n$ and ν_{N_m} is an L -ideal of R such that $\nu_{N_m} = \nu_{M_n}$.
 $\implies \nu_M(1) \leq n$ and $\nu_N(1) \leq m < n$ for all n .

Similarly, we can prove $\max \{ \nu_M(1), \nu_N(1) \} < n$ for $n \in \text{Im } \nu_N$ and for both. Now consider the level subset $(\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$ of R . Clearly,

$$1 \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n.$$

Let $r, p \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$ be arbitrary. Now, to prove:

- (i) $r, p \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n \implies r \vee p, r - p \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$.
- (ii) $r \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n, x \in R$ such that $x \leq r \implies x \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$
- (iii) $r \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n, x \in R \implies rx, xr \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$.

Here $r, p \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$, hence $\nu_M(p_1) \leq n$ and $\nu_N(p_2) \leq n$.

- (i) Here $r_1, p_1 \in \mu_{M_t}$ and μ_M is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R .
 $\implies r_1 - p_1, r_1 \vee p_1 \in \mu_{M_t}$.
 $\implies \mu_M(r_1 - p_1) \geq t$ and $\mu_M(r_1 \vee p_1) \geq t$. And

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_M(r_1 \vee p_2) &\geq t \\ \mu_M(r_1 \vee p) &= \mu_M(r_1 \vee (p_1 + p_2)) \\ &\geq t.\end{aligned}$$

Again $r_2, p_2 \in \mu_{N_t}$ and μ_N is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R .

$$\begin{aligned}\implies r_2 - p_2, r_2 \vee p_2 &\in \mu_{N_t}. \\ \implies \mu_N(r_2 - p_2) &\geq t \text{ and } \mu_N(r_2 \vee p_2) \geq t.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_N(r_2 \vee p) \geq t$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}r - p &= (r_1 + r_2) - (p_1 + p_2) = (r_1 - p_1) + (r_2 - p_2). \\ r \vee p &= (r_1 + r_2) \vee p = (r_1 \vee p) + (r_2 \vee p).\end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}R_1 - P_1 &\in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t \\ R_1 \vee P_1 &\in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t.\end{aligned}$$

Here $r_1, p_1 \in \nu_{M_n}$ and ν_M is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R .
 Again $r_2, p_2 \in \nu_{N_n}$ and ν_N is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R .

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_M(r_1 \vee p) &< n \\ \nu_N(r_2 \vee p) &< n \\ \text{Finally } R_1 - P_1 &\in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n \\ R_1 \vee P_1 &\in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume that $x \in R$ such that $x \leq r$. Here $x = r \wedge x$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_M + \mu_N)(x) &= \sup_{x=c+d} \{\min\{\mu_M(c), \mu_N(d)\}\} \text{ where } c, d \in R \\ &\geq t,\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_M(r_1 \wedge x) \geq t$.

and $\mu_N(r_2 \wedge x) \geq t$.

Hence $x \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$.

Also, assume that $x \in R$ such that $x \leq r$. Here $x = r \wedge x$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu_M + \nu_N)(x) &\leq n, \\ \text{Since } \nu_M(r_1 \wedge x) &\leq n. \\ \text{Hence } x &\in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Assume that $r \in R$.

Here $xr = x(r_1 + r_2) = xr_1 + xr_2$ and then: $(\mu_M + \mu_N)(xr) \geq t$. Since $\mu_M(xr_1) \geq t$, and $\mu_N(xr_2) \geq t$, $xr \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$.

Similarly, $rx \in (\mu_M + \mu_N)_t$. Also, assume that $x \in R$. Here $xr = x(r_1 + r_2) = xr_1 + xr_2$ and then $(\nu_M + \nu_N)(xr) < n$. Since $\nu_M(xr_1) \leq n$, and $\nu_N(xr_2) < n \implies xr \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$. Also $rx \in (\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$.

Hence $(\nu_M + \nu_N)_n$ is an L -ideal of R . Thus $(\mu_M + \mu_N)$, $(\nu_M + \nu_N)$ are an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R .

Hence $M + N$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of R . □

Proposition 3.1. *If M is an intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideal of an L -ring R , then $\mu_M + \mu_M = \mu_M$ and $\nu_M + \nu_M = \nu_M$.*

Proof. Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M + \mu_M)(r) &= \sup_{r=p+q} \{\min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_M(q)\}\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q, r \in R \\ (3.1) \qquad \qquad &= \mu_M(r). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if $r = c + d$, $c, d \in R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_M(r) &= \mu_M(c + d) \\ (3.2) \qquad \qquad &= (\mu_M + \mu_N)(r). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) combined it follows $\mu_M + \mu_M = \mu_M$.

Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_M + \nu_M)(r) &= \inf_{r=p+q} \{\max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_M(q)\}\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q, r \in R \\ (3.3) \qquad \qquad &= \nu_M(r). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $r = c + d$, $c, d \in R$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_M(r) &= \nu_M(c + d) \\ (3.4) \qquad \qquad &= (\nu_M + \nu_M)(r). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) combined it follows $\nu_M + \nu_M = \nu_M$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let M and N be any two Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideals of an L -ring R . If $\mu_M \subseteq \mu_N$, $\nu_M \supseteq \nu_N$, then*

- (i) $\mu_M \subseteq \mu_M + \mu_N$,
- (ii) $\mu_M \subseteq \mu_M \vee \mu_N$,
- (iii) $\mu_M \subseteq \mu_N \wedge \mu_N$.

Proof. Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary. Assume that $\mu_M \subseteq \mu_N$. Then,

$\implies \mu_M(r) \leq \mu_N(r)$, for all $r \in R$. By proposition 3.4 in [5], $\mu_M(1) \leq \mu_M(r) \leq \mu_M(0)$. We have $\mu_N(r) \leq \mu_N(0)$.

(i) Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M + \mu_M)(r) &= \sup_{r=p+q} \{\min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_M(q)\}\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q, r \in R \\ \mu_M &\subseteq (\mu_M + \mu_N). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary. Assume that $\nu_M \supseteq \mu_N \implies \nu_M(r) \leq \nu_N(r)$, for all $r \in R$. By proposition 3.4 in [3], $\nu_M(1) \geq \nu_M(r) \geq \nu_M(0)$. We have $\nu_N(r) \geq \nu_N(0)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_M + \nu_N)(x) &= \inf_{r=p+q} \{ \max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q, r \in R \\ \nu_M &\subseteq (\nu_M + \nu_N). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M \vee \mu_N)(r) &= \sup_{r=p \vee q} \{ \min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_N(q)\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R \\ \mu_M &\subseteq (\mu_M \vee \mu_N). \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_M \vee \nu_N)(r) &= \inf_{r=p \vee q} \{ \max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R \\ (\nu_M \vee \nu_N) &\subseteq \nu_M. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_M \wedge \mu_N)(x) &= \sup_{r=p \wedge q} \{ \min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_N(q)\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R \\ \mu_M &\subseteq (\mu_M \wedge \mu_N). \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_M \wedge \nu_N)(r) &= \inf_{r=p \wedge q} \{ \max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\} \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R \\ (\nu_M \wedge \nu_N) &\subseteq \nu_M. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let M and N be any two Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideals of an L -ring R . Then $\mu_M \mu_N \subseteq \mu_M \cap \mu_N$ and $\nu_M \nu_N \subseteq \nu_M \cap \nu_N$.*

Proof. Let M and N be any two Intuitionistic fuzzy L -ideals of R .
Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_M \mu_N)(r) &= \sup_{r=kl} \{\min\{\mu_M(k), \mu_N(l)\}\} \\ &\quad \text{where } k, l \in R \\ &= \min\{\mu_M(p), \mu_N(q)\} \text{ for some } r = pq \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}(3.5) \quad \mu_N(r) &= \mu_N(pq) \geq \max\{\mu_N(p), \mu_N(q)\} \\ &\geq \mu_N(q).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(3.6) \quad \mu_M(r) &= \mu_M(pq) \geq \max\{\mu_M(p), \mu_M(q)\} \\ &\geq \mu_M(p).\end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\implies \mu_M \mu_N \subseteq \mu_M \cap \mu_N.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu_M \nu_N)(r) &= \inf_{r=kl} \{\max\{\nu_M(k), \nu_N(l)\}\} \\ &\quad \text{where } k, l \in R \\ &= \max\{\nu_M(p), \nu_N(q)\} \text{ for some } r = pq \\ &\quad \text{where } p, q \in R.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}(3.7) \quad \nu_N(r) &= \nu_N(pq) \leq \min\{\nu_N(p), \nu_N(q)\} \\ &\leq \nu_N(q).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(3.8) \quad \text{Again } \nu_M(r) &= \nu_M(pq) \leq \min\{\nu_M(p), \nu_M(q)\} \\ &\leq \nu_M(p).\end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) combined, we have

$$\implies (\nu_M \nu_N) \supseteq \mu_M \cap \mu_N.$$

□

Remark 3.1. Let M be an intuitionistic L -ideal of R , then $\mu_M \mu_M \subseteq \mu_M$ and $\nu_M \nu_M \supseteq \nu_M$.

4. CONCLUSION

It is probable to apply this manner of extension to further types of lattices.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. AJMAL, K. V. THOMAS: *The Lattice of Fuzzy ideals of a ring*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **3** (1995), 371-379.
- [2] K. T. ATANASSOV: *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **20**(1) (1986), 87–96.
- [3] S. MOHANAVALLI: *Lattice of fuzzy filters in a lattice*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, **8**(3) (2019), 586–593.
- [4] R. NATRAJAN, S. MOHANAVALLI: *Fuzzy Sublattice Ordered Rings*, International journals of contemporary Mathematical Sciences, **7**(13) (2012), 625–630.
- [5] K. R. SASIREKA, K. E. SATHAPPAN: *Intuitionistic Fuzzy L -ring Ideals*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, Kamaraj University, Madurai- 625021, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY

ALAGAPPA GOVT. ARTS COLLEGE, KARAİKUDI, TAMILNADU, INDIA

E-mail address: kr.sasireka@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY

ALAGAPPA GOVT. ARTS COLLEGE, KARAİKUDI, TAMILNADU, INDIA

E-mail address: ke.sathappan@gmail.com