

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.4, 1671–1678 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.4.23 Spec. Issue on NCFCTA-2020

# IDEMPOTENT INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRIX USING IMPLICATION OPERATOR

### K. LALITHA<sup>1</sup> AND T. MUTHURAJI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we discuss some properties of reflexive and transitive Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix using implication operator. Also we derive some results of an idempotent Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix with Min-Min operator. We illustrate the above results by an example.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

After introduction of fuzzy set theory by Zadeh [7] in 1965. As a result, a new concept namely intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) was introduced by Atanassov [1] in 1983 and represent it as  $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)/x \in X\}$ , where the functions  $\mu_A(x) : X \to [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_A(x) : X \to [0, 1]$  define the membership function and non-membership function of the element  $x \in X$  respectively and for every  $x \in X, 0 \le \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \le 1$ . Xu, Yager [6] represents  $\langle \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle$  as intuitionistic fuzzy values with  $\mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \le 1$ .

The notation Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix (IFM) was introduced by Atanassov [2] in 1987. Murugadas and Padder [4, 5] for Max-Max operation on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix and we obtain reduction of a nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrix using implication operator. Lalitha in [3] introduced Min-Min operation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E72.

*Key words and phrases.* Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS), Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix (IFM), Intuitionistic Fuzzy Idempotent Matrix.

#### K. LALITHA AND T. MUTHURAJI

for IFS as well as IFM. The purpose of this paper is to study the idempotent IFM by using implication operator.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

If  $\langle x, x' \rangle, \langle y, y' \rangle \in \text{IFS}$  then  $\langle x, x' \rangle \lor \langle y, y' \rangle = \langle \text{Max}\{x, y\}, \text{Min}\{x', y'\} \rangle$  and  $\langle x, x' \rangle \land \langle y, y' \rangle = \langle \text{Min}\{x, y\}, \text{Max}\{x', y'\} \rangle \langle x, x' \rangle \ge \langle y, y' \rangle \Rightarrow x \ge y \text{ and } x' \le y',$  therefore in this case we say  $\langle x, x' \rangle$  and  $\langle y, y' \rangle$  are comparable.

For any two comparable elements  $\langle x, x' \rangle \leftarrow \langle y, y' \rangle$  is defined as

$$\langle x, x' \rangle \leftarrow \langle y, y' \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \text{if } \langle x, x' \rangle \ge \langle y, y' \rangle \\ \langle x, x' \rangle & \text{if } \langle x, x' \rangle < \langle y, y' \rangle \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1.** For IFMs Q and R define, the Min-Min product of Q and R as

$$A \bullet R = \left(\bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle q_{iK} \wedge r_{Kj} \rangle, \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle q'_{iK} \vee r'_{Kj} \rangle\right)$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  be a set of alternatives and let  $y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  be the attribute set of each element of X. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix (IFM) is defined by

$$A = (\langle (x_i, y_i), \mu_A(x_i, y_i), \gamma_A(x_i, y_i) \rangle)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $\mu_A : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_A : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies the condition  $0 \le \mu_A(x_i, y_i) + \gamma_A(x_i, y_i) \le 1$ .

For simplifying we denote an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrix (IFM) is a matrix of pairs  $A = (\langle a_{ij}, a'_{ij} \rangle)$  of a non negative real numbers satisfying  $a_{ij} + a'_{ij} \leq 1$  for all i, j. We denote the set of all IFM of order  $m \times n$  by  $\Im_{mn}$ .

For  $n \times n$  IFMs  $Q = \langle q_{ij}, a'_{ij} \rangle$  and  $R = \langle r_{ij}, r'_{ij} \rangle$ . Some operations are defined as follows:

- $Q \lor R = (\langle q_{ij} \lor r_{ij}, q'_{ij} \land r'_{ij} \rangle)$
- $Q \wedge R = (\langle q_{ij} \wedge r_{ij}, q'_{ij} \vee r'_{ij} \rangle)$
- $Q \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} R = \langle q_{ij}, a'_{ij} \rangle \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} \langle r_{ij}, r'_{ij} \rangle$  (compound wise)
- $Q \times R = (\langle q_{i1} \wedge r_{1j}, q'_{i1} \vee r'_{1j} \rangle \vee \langle q_{i2} \wedge r_{2j}, q'_{i2} \vee r'_{2j} \rangle \vee \cdots \vee \langle q_{in} \wedge r_{nj}, q'_{in} \vee r'_{nj} \rangle)$
- $Q/R = Q \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} (Q \times R).$
- Q' = Q

If  $R \ge I_n$ , then R is reflexive. If  $R^2 \le R$ , then R is transitive. If  $R^2 = R$ , then R is idempotent.  $Q^{K+1} = Q^K \times Q, K = 1, 2, 3, \dots, Q^+, Q \le S(S \ge Q)$  if and only if  $\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \le \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle$ . A identity matrix is a matrix whose entries are  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . An IFM Q is called transitive if  $Q^2 \le Q$ . An IFM Q is said to be reflexive if all of its then Q is idempotent ( $Q^2 = Q$ ). If a matrix is reflexive and transitive the matrix is idempotent. From definition  $Q/Q = \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} (Q \times Q)$ , the (i, j) entry of Q/Q is either  $\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$  or  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$ .

## 3. IDEMPOTENT OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRIX

In this section, we examine the reflexive and transitive IFMs and obtain some theorems. Then we use Min-Min operator and derive some results.

**Theorem 3.1.** If Q is an  $n \times n$  reflexive and transitive IFM, then

(1)  $(Q/Q)^+ \neq Q$ (2)  $S^+ \neq Q$  for any  $n \times n$  IFMs.

Proof. Since  $S = \langle S_{ij}, S'_{ij} \rangle = Q/Q$ , clearly  $S^K = \langle S^{(K)}_{ij}, S^{'(K)}_{ij} \rangle = (Q/Q)^+, (Q/Q)^+ = (Q/Q) \lor, (Q/Q)^2 \lor \cdots \lor (Q/Q)^{n-1} \neq Q.$ 

We have to prove that

$$Q \neq (Q/Q) \lor (Q/Q)^2 \lor \cdots \lor (Q/Q)^{n-1}.$$

That is  $\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle = \langle S_{ij}^{(K)}, S_{ij}^{'(K)} \rangle$  for some  $K = (1 \le K \le n-1)$  and

$$\langle S_{ij}, S'_{ij} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \leftarrow \left\langle \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} (q_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj}), \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} (q'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj}) \right\rangle = \langle 1, 0 \rangle.$$

That is

$$\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle = \left\langle \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} (q_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj}), \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} (q'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj}) \right\rangle.$$

Thus, we get  $S^+ \neq Q$ .

**Example 1.** Let Q be the following reflexive and transitive intuitionistic fuzzy matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

K. LALITHA AND T. MUTHURAJI

$$Q \times Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = Q^2.$$

This implies  $Q = Q^2$  is idempotent. Now  $Q/Q = Q \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} (Q \times Q)$ ,

$$Q/Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

 $(Q/Q)^+ = (Q/Q) \vee (Q/Q)^2 \vee (Q/Q)^3$  and  $(Q/Q)^+$  is unit matrix. Therefore,

$$Q \neq (Q/Q)^+ = (Q/Q) \vee (Q/Q)^2 \vee (Q/Q)^3.$$

**Remark 3.1.** Let Q and S as Min-Min product then Q be an  $n \times n$  reflexive and transitive IFM then:

$$(1) \ (Q/Q)^{+} \neq Q;$$

$$(2) \ S^{+} \neq Q \text{ for any } n \times n \text{ IFMs.}$$
Example 2. Let  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix},$ 

$$Q \bullet Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \leq Q,$$

$$Q/Q = Q \leftarrow (Q \bullet Q),$$

$$Q/Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{C} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3,0.4 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(Q/Q)^+ = (Q/Q) \lor (Q/Q)^2 \lor (Q/Q)^3$$
. So,  $(Q/Q)^+$  is unit matrix. Therefore,  
 $Q \neq (Q/Q)^+ = (Q/Q) \lor (Q/Q)^2 \lor (Q/Q)^3$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let Q be an  $n \times n$  reflexive and transitive matrix. Then,

(1)  $Q \neq S = Q/Q$ . (2)  $S^+ \neq Q$  for any  $n \times n$  IFM Q/Q = S/Q.

Proof. Let  $F = \langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle = Q/Q$   $G = \langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle = S/Q$ . Then:

$$\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \leftarrow \left( \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle q_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle q'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \rangle \right),$$
$$\langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle \leftarrow \left( \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle s_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle s'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \rangle \right).$$

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $Q \neq S = Q/Q$ . So that  $\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \neq \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle = \langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle$ 

(a) First we show that  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle \leq \langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle$ . Let  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle < \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ , then  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle \neq \langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle < \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . So that  $\langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle \neq \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$  and

$$\left(\bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle q_{iK}, q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle q'_{iK}, q'_{Kj} \rangle\right) = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle.$$

Since  $\langle q_{iK}, q'_{iK} \rangle \geq \langle s_{iK}, s'_{iK} \rangle$  we have

$$\left(\bigvee_{K=1}^n \langle s_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^n \langle s'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \rangle\right) = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle \leftarrow \left( \bigvee_{K=1}^n s_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj}, \bigwedge_{K=1}^n s'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \right).$$

So that  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle \leq \langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle$ .

(b) Next we show that  $\langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle \leq \langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle$ . Let  $\langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ , then  $\langle g_{ij}, g'_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle < \langle 1, 0 \rangle$  and hence

$$\left(\bigvee_{K=1}^n \langle s_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^n \langle s'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \rangle\right) = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle.$$

Recall that

$$\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \leftarrow \left( \bigvee_{K=1}^{n} q_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj}, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} q'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \right)$$
$$= \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle \neq \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle.$$

Since  $\langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ , we have  $\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle < \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . We shall show that if  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle > \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$ . Suppose that  $\langle f_{ij}, f'_{ij} \rangle > \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$ . Then

$$\left(\bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle q_{iK} \wedge q_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle q'_{iK} \vee q'_{Kj} \rangle\right) = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle \le \langle 1, 0 \rangle$$

So that  $\langle q_{iK(1)}, q'_{iK(1)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$  and  $\langle q^{(2)}_{iK(1)}, q'^{(2)}_{iK(1)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$  for some K(1). Then, since F = S, we have

$$\langle f_{iK(1)}, f'_{iK(1)} \rangle \neq \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle.$$

Further more  $\langle f_{iK(1)}, f'_{iK(1)} \rangle = \langle q_{iK(1)}, q'_{iK(1)} \rangle$ , since  $\langle q_{iK(1)}, q'_{iK(1)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle$ . Thus,

$$\langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle = \left(\bigvee_{K=1}^n \langle q_{iK} \wedge q_{KK(1)} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^n \langle q'_{iK} \vee q'_{KK(1)} \rangle\right) \le \langle s_{iK(1)}, s'_{iK(1)} \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\langle q_{iK(2)}, q'_{iK(2)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle, \langle q_{K(2)K(1)}, q'_{K(2)K(1)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle,$$

 $\langle q_{ij}^{(3)}, q_{ij}^{\prime(3)} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q_{ij}^{\prime} \rangle \langle 1, 0 \rangle$  for some K(2). Since  $\langle q_{K(1)j}, q_{K(1)j}^{\prime} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q_{ij}^{\prime} \rangle$ and  $\langle q_{K(2)K(1)}, q_{K(2)K(1)}^{\prime} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q_{ij}^{\prime} \rangle$ , we have  $\langle q_{K(2)j}, q_{K(2)j}^{\prime} \rangle = \langle q_{ij}, q_{ij}^{\prime} \rangle$ , so that  $\langle s_{iK(2)}, s_{iK(2)}^{\prime} \rangle \rangle \langle q_{ij}, q_{ij}^{\prime} \rangle$ . Since

$$\left(\bigvee_{K=1}^{n} \langle s_{iK} \wedge r_{Kj} \rangle, \bigwedge_{K=1}^{n} \langle s'_{iK} \vee r'_{Kj} \rangle\right) = \langle q_{ij}, q'_{ij} \rangle < \langle s_{ij}, s'_{ij} \rangle.$$

By continuing the same process, we get  $\langle q_{ij}^{(n)}, q_{ij}^{1(n)} \rangle < \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . That is contradiction. Since Q is idempotent.  $\therefore Q/Q = S/Q$ .

**Example 3.**  $S/Q = S \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} (S \times Q)$ 

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

IDEMPOTENT INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY...

$$S = Q/Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle$$

**Remark 3.2.** Let Q and S as Min-Min product then Q be an  $n \times n$  reflexive and transitive IFM then

$$(1) \ Q \neq S = Q/Q.$$

$$(2) \ S^{+} \neq Q \text{ for any } n \times n \text{ IFM } Q/Q = S/Q.$$
Example 4.  $S/Q = S \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} (S \bullet Q).$ 

$$Let \ Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$

$$S = Q/Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S \bullet Q = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

K. LALITHA AND T. MUTHURAJI

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
  
So,  $S/Q = S \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} S \bullet Q$   

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore Q/Q = S/Q.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. ATANASSOV: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, 1983.
- [2] K. ATANASSOV: Generalized index matrices, C.R. Acad Bulgare Sci., 40(11) (1987), 15–18.
- [3] K. LALITHA: *Min-Min operation on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix*, J. Emerg. Tech. Innov. Res., 4(8) (2017), 382–385.
- [4] R. A. PADDER, P. MURUGADAS: Max-Max operation on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 12(6) (2016), 757–766.
- [5] R. A. PADDER, P. MURUGADAS: Reduction of a nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrix using implication operator, Appl. Appl. Math., **11**(2) (2016), 614–631.
- [6] Z. XU, R. R. YAGER: Some geometric operators based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Int. J. Gener. Syst., 35 (2006), 417–433.
- [7] L. A. ZADEH: Fuzzy sets, J. Inf. Cont., 8 (1965), 338-353.

PG AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS T.K.GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE VRIDHACHALAM-606001, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: sudhan\_17@yahoo.com

PG AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE CHIDAMBARAM-608102, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: tmuthuraji@gmail.com