Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.4, 2093–2098 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.4.68 Spec. Issue on NCFCTA-2020

SOME NEW PROPERTIES OF E^* -OPEN SETS IN FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

B. VIJAYALAKSHMI¹ AND A. VADIVEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce fuzzy e^* -open and closed sets, fuzzy e^* -interior and respective closure operators and investigate some of their properties. Also we discuss the relationship between the existing sets.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concepts of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topology were firstly given by Zadeh in [18] and Chang in [4], and after then there have been numerous improvements on characterizing dubious circumstances and relations in progressively reasonable manner. The fuzzy topology theory has quickly started to assume a significant job in a wide range of logical territories, for example, financial matters, quantum material science and geographic data framework. For instance, Wenzheng Shi and Kimfung Liu referenced that the fuzzy topology hypothesis can conceivably give a progressively sensible depiction of unsure spatial items and questionable relations in [17]. Furthermore, the ideas of fuzzyy topology and fuzzy sets have significant applications on molecule physic regarding string hypothesis and ϵ^{∞} theory studied by El-Naschie [10–12]. In 2008 (resp. 2014), Ekici (resp. Seenivasan) [5, 6] (resp. [14]) initiated *e*open sets and *e**open (resp. *e*open sets and maps) sets in general topological (resp. fuzzy topological) spaces.

¹corresponding author

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A40, 54C05, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. fe^*o , fe^*c , fuzzy e^* -interior and fuzzy e^* -closure.

X, Y etc. represents nonempty sets, I = [0, 1] and $I_0 = (0, 1]$ and the undefined fuzzy notions are from [1]- [18].

2. Fuzzy e^* -open sets

Definition 2.1. In a fts (X, τ) , $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$,

- (i) γ is called a fuzzy e^* -open (resp. fuzzy e^* -closed) (briefly, fe^*o (resp. fe^*c)) set if $\gamma \leq Cl(Int(\delta Cl(\gamma)))$ (resp. $Int(Cl(\delta Int(\gamma))) \leq \gamma$).
- (ii) $e^*Int(\gamma) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu \leq \gamma, \ \mu \text{ (resp. } e^*Cl(\gamma) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu \geq \gamma, \ \mu \text{ is a } fe^*c \text{ set } \} \text{ is a } fe^*o \text{ set } \} \text{ is called the fuzzy } e^*\text{-interior (resp. } e^*\text{-closure) of } \gamma.$

Obviously, $e^*Int(\gamma)$ is the largest fe^*o set which is contained in γ and $e^*Cl(\gamma)$ is the smallest fe^*c set which contains γ . Also $e^*Cl(\gamma) = \gamma$ for any fe^*c set γ and $e^*Int(\gamma) = \gamma$ for any fe^*o set γ .

Hence, we have

$$Int(\gamma) \le \delta sInt(\gamma) \le eInt(\gamma) \le \beta Int(\gamma) \le e^*Int(\gamma) \le \gamma.$$

$$\gamma \le e^*Cl(\gamma) \le \beta Cl(\gamma) \le eCl(\gamma) \le \delta sCl(\gamma) \le Cl(\gamma).$$

and

$$Int(\gamma) \le \delta pInt(\gamma) \le eInt(\gamma) \le \beta Int(\gamma) \le e^*Int(\gamma) \le \gamma.$$

$$\gamma \le e^*Cl(\gamma) \le \beta Cl(\gamma) \le eCl(\gamma) \le \delta pCl(\gamma) \le Cl(\gamma).$$

Lemma 2.1. A subset γ of a fts X. Then

(i) e*Cl(γ) is fe*c
(ii) 1 - e*Cl(γ)=e*Int (1 - γ)

are hold.

Theorem 2.1. A subset γ of a fts X. Then

(i) γ is $fe^*o \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \gamma \land Cl(Int(\delta Cl(\gamma)))$ (ii) γ is $fe^*c \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \gamma \lor Int(Cl(\delta Int(\gamma)))$ (iii) $e^*Cl(\gamma) = \gamma \lor Int(Cl(\delta Int(\gamma)))$ (iv) $e^*Int(\gamma) = \gamma \land Cl(Int(\delta Cl(\gamma)))$

are hold.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\gamma \in I^X$. Then the following hold.

(i) $e^*Cl(\delta Int(\gamma)) = Int(Cl(\delta Int(\gamma))).$

SOME NEW PROPERTIES OF ...

(ii)
$$\delta Int(e^*Cl(\gamma)) = Int(Cl(\delta Int(\gamma))).$$

(iii) $e^*Int(\delta Cl(\gamma)) = \delta Cl(e^*Int(\gamma)) = Cl(Int(\delta Cl(\gamma))).$
(iv) $e^*Int(eCl(\gamma)) = \delta sI(\delta sCl(\gamma)) \wedge \delta pCl(\gamma).$
(v) $e^*Cl(eInt(\gamma)) = \delta sCl(\delta sInt(\gamma)) \vee \delta pInt(\gamma).$
(vi) $eCl(e^*Int(\gamma)) = \delta sInt(\delta sCl(\gamma)) \wedge \delta pCl(\gamma).$
(vii) $eInt(e^*Cl(\gamma)) = \delta sCl(\delta sInt(\gamma)) \vee \delta pInt(\gamma). e^*Int(\gamma) = \gamma \wedge Cl(Int(\delta Cl(\gamma)))$

Remark 2.1. From the above definitions, obviously, the accompanying ramifications are valid.



FIGURE 1

Clearly (i) every $f\delta po$ is feo, (ii) every $f\delta so$ is feo, (iii) every feo set is $f\beta o$ set and fe^*o set, (iv) every $f\beta o$ set is fe^*o set. The converse need not be valid in all.

3. EXAMPLES

Example 1. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \& \gamma_4$ be fuzzy subsets of $X = \{a, b\}$ defined as $\gamma_1(a) = 0.2, \gamma_1(b) = 0.1; \gamma_2(a) = 0.3, \gamma_2(b) = 0.5; \gamma_3(a) = 0.7, \gamma_3(b) = 0.7; \gamma_4(a) = 0.2, \gamma_4(b) = 0.8$. Then $\tau : I^X \to I$ defined as $\tau = \{0, 1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$. Clearly, τ is a ft. Then γ_4 is $f\beta o$ but it is not feo set.

Example 2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \gamma, \mu \in I^X$ be defined as $\gamma_1(a) = 0.4, \gamma_1(b) = 0.5, \gamma_1(c) = 0.5; \gamma_2(a) = 0.4, \gamma_2(b) = 0.5, \gamma_2(c) = 0.4; \gamma_3(a) = 0.5, \gamma_3(b) = 0.3, \gamma_3(c) = 0.2; \gamma_4(a) = 0.5, \gamma_4(b) = 0.4, \gamma_5(c) = 0.4. \gamma_5(a) = 0.3, \gamma_5(b) = 0.5, \gamma_5(c) = 0.2; \gamma_6(a) = 0.7, \gamma_6(b) = 0.4, \gamma_6(c) = 0.8. \gamma_7(a) = 0.4, \gamma_7(b) = 0.5, \gamma_7(c) = 0.2; \gamma_8(a) = 0.5, \gamma_8(b) = 0.4, \gamma_8(c) = 0.7.$ Then $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 : I^X \to I$ defined as $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \gamma_1\}, \tau_2 = \{0, 1, \gamma_3\}, \tau_3 = \{0, 1, \gamma_5, \gamma_1\}, \tau_4 = \{0, 1, \gamma_7\}$. Clearly, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$ are a ft. Then γ_2 is feo set but it is not $f \delta so$ set; γ_4 is feo set but it is not feo set. Also δ_8 is not fo set.

Theorem 3.1. Any union (resp. intersection) of fe^*o (resp. fe^*c) sets is an fe^*o (resp. fe^*c) set.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, τ) be a fts & $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$, then, If μ (resp. $1 - \mu$) is a fo set of X, where μ is a crisp subset and γ is an fe^*o (resp. fe^*c) set, then $\gamma \wedge \mu$ is an fe^*o (resp. fe^*c) set.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, τ) be a fts & $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$.

- (i) If γ is fe^*o with 1γ is fo, then γ is $f\delta po$.
- (ii) If γ is fe^*c with γ is fo then $f\delta pc$.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, τ) be a fts & $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$.

- (i) γ is fe^*o iff 1γ is fe^*c .
- (ii) If γ is fo set, then γ is fe^*o set.
- (iii) $Int(\gamma)$ is an fe^*o set.
- (iv) $Cl(\gamma)$ is an fe^*c set.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\gamma \in I^X$.

(i) γ is fe*o iff γ = e*Int(γ).
 (ii) γ is fe*c iff γ = e*Cl(γ).

Theorem 3.6. Let $\gamma \in I^X$, then

(i) $e^*Cl(0) = 0$ and $e^*Int(1) = 1$. (ii) $Int(\gamma) \le e^*Int(\gamma) \le \gamma \le e^*Cl(\gamma) \le Cl(\gamma)$. (iii) $\gamma \le \mu \Rightarrow e^*Int(\gamma) \le e^*Int(\mu)$ and $e^*Cl(\gamma) \le e^*Cl(\mu)$. (iv) $e^*Cl(\gamma) \lor e^*Cl(\mu) \le e^*Cl(\gamma \lor \mu)$. (v) $e^*Cl(e^*Cl(\gamma)) = e^*Cl(\gamma)$ and $e^*Int(e^*Int(\gamma)) = e^*Int(\gamma)$. (vi) $Cl(e^*Cl(\gamma)) = e^*Cl(Cl(\gamma)) = Cl(\gamma)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\gamma \in I^X$, we have

(i) e*Int(1 - γ) = 1 - e*Cl(γ).
(ii) e*Cl(1 - γ) = 1 - e*Int(γ).

Theorem 3.8. Let γ , $\mu \in I^X$.

(i) If γ is $f\beta o$ set, $1 - \gamma$ is fo and γ is $f\delta c$ then γ is feo.

(ii) If γ is $f\beta c$ set, γ is fo and γ is $f\delta o$ then γ is fec.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$.

(i) If γ is fe^*o with $1 - \gamma$ is fo, then γ is feo set.

(ii) If γ is fe^*c with γ is fo, then γ is fec set.

Theorem 3.10. Let $\gamma, \mu \in I^X$.

- (i) If γ is fe^*o , 1γ is fo and γ is $f\delta c$, then γ is $f\beta o$ set.
- (ii) If γ is fe^*c , γ is fo and γ is $f\delta o$, then γ is $f\beta c$ set.

REFERENCES

- K. K. AZAD: On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 82 (1981), 14–32.
- [2] A. BHATTACHARYYA, M. N. MUKHERJEE: On fuzzy δ -almost continuous and δ^* -almost continuous functions, J. Tripura Math. Soc., **2** (2000), 45–57.
- [3] A. S. BIN SHAHNA: On fuzzy strong semi-continuity and fuzzy precontinuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 44 (1991), 303–308.
- [4] C. L. CHANG: Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24 (1968), 182–189.
- [5] E. EKICI: A Note on a-open sets and e*-open sets, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics University of Nis, Serbia, Filomat, 22(1) (2008), 89–96.
- [6] E. EKICI: On e-open sets, DP*-sets and DPE*-sets and decomposition of continuity, Arabian J. Sci., 33(2) (2008), 269–282.
- [7] S. GANGULY, S. SAHA: A note on δ-continuity and δ-connected sets in fuzzy set theory, Simon Stevin, 62 (2) (1988), 127-141.
- [8] I. M. HANAFY: Fuzzy γ -open sets and fuzzy γ -continuity, Journal of Fuzzy Math., 7 (1997), 419–430.
- [9] A. MUKHERJEE, S. DEBNATH: δ-semi open sets in fuzzy setting, Journal Tri. Math. Soc., 8 (2006), 51–54.
- [10] M. S. EL NASCHIE: On the uncertainity of cantorian geometry and the two-slit experiment, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 9(3) (1998), 517–529.
- [11] M. S. EL NASCHIE: On the unification of heterotic strings, M theory and e^{∞} theory, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **11**(14) (2000), 2397–2408.
- [12] M. S. EL NASCHIE: On a fuzzy Kahler-like manifold which is consistent with the two slit experiment, Int journal of Non-linear Sci Numer Simul., 6(2) (2005), 94–97.
- [13] P. M. PU, Y. M. LIU: Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith Convergence*., J. Math. Anal., 76 (1980), 571–599.
- [14] V. SEENIVASAN, K. KAMALA: Fuzzy e-continuity and fuzzy e-open sets, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 8(1) (2014), 141–148.
- [15] S. S. THAKUR, S. SINGH: On fuzzy semi-preopen sets and fuzzy semi-precontinuity, Fuzzy sets and systems, 98 (1998), 383–391.

B. VIJAYALAKSHMI AND A. VADIVEL

- [16] A. VADIVEL, B. VIJAYALAKSHMI: Mixed e-fuzzy topological spaces, International J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 113(12) (2017), 115–122.
- [17] S. WENZHONG, L. KIMFUNG: A fuzzy topology for computing the interior, boundary and exterior of spatial objects quantitatively in GIS, Comput Geosci., **33** (2007), 898–915.
- [18] L. A. ZADEH: Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 8 (1965), 338–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE CHIDAMBARAM-608002, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: mathvijaya2006au@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) KARUR-639005, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: avmaths@gmail.com