

**GENERALIZED FUZZY  $\mathcal{Z}$  CLOSED SETS  
IN DOUBLE FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES**SHIVENTHIRA DEVI SATHAANANTHAN, A. VADIVEL<sup>1</sup>, S. TAMILSELVAN,  
AND G. SARAVANAKUMAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce  $(l, k)$ -generalized fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$ -closed respective border, exterior & frontier in double fuzzy topological spaces. Some characterizations of these notions are presented.

**1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES**

In 1986, Atanassov [1] started 'Intuitionistic fuzzy sets' and Coker [2] in 1997, initiated Intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. The term 'double' instead of 'intuitionistic' coined by Garcia and Rodabaugh [3] in 2005. In the previous two decades many analysts accomplishing more applications on double fuzzy topological spaces. From 2011,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -open sets and maps were introduced in topological spaces by El-Maghrabi and Mubarki [4].

In [6]  $(l, k)$ -fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$ -closed sets and study some of their properties were studied in double fuzzy topological spaces.

$X$  denotes a non-empty set,  $I_1 = [0, 1)$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $0 = \underline{0}(X)$ ,  $1 = \underline{1}(X)$ ,  $r \in I_0$  and  $\kappa \in I_1$  and always  $1 \geq r + \kappa$ .  $I^X$  is a family of all fuzzy sets on  $X$ . In 2002, Double fuzzy topological spaces (briefly, dfts),  $(X, \eta, \eta^*)$ ,  $(r, \kappa)$ -fuzzy open (resp.  $(r, \kappa)$ -fuzzy closed) (briefly  $(r, \kappa)$ -fo (resp.  $(r, \kappa)$ -fc)) set were given by Samanta and Mondal [5].

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A40, 45D05, 03E72.

Key words and phrases.  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}c$ ,  $GZB_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ ,  $GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  and  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .

All other undefined notions are from [4–6] and cited therein.

## 2. AN $(l, k)$ -GENERALIZED FUZZY $\mathcal{Z}$ CLOSED SETS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1 \ni l + k \leq 1$  the fs  $\lambda$  is called an  $(l, k)$ -generalized fuzzy

- (i)  $\mathcal{Z}$  closed (briefly  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}c$ ) set if  $\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \mu$  whenever  $\lambda \leq \mu$ ,  $\gamma(\mu) \geq l \ni \gamma^*(\mu) \leq k$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{Z}$  open (briefly  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}o$ ) set if  $\underline{1} - \lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}c$  set.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{u, v, w\}$  and let the fs's  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  are defined as  $\alpha_1(u) = 0.3, \alpha_2(v) = 0.4, \alpha_1(w) = 0.5, \alpha_2(u) = 0.6, \alpha_2(v) = 0.9, \alpha_2(w) = 0.5$  and  $\alpha_3(u) = 0.4, \alpha_3(v) = 0.0, \alpha_3(w) = 0.5$ . Consider the dfts's  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  with

$$\gamma(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \mu \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}, \quad \gamma^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \mu \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \\ 1, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}.$$

Then the fs  $\alpha_3$  is an  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}o$  set.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1, \lambda \in I^X$  is  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}o$  set iff  $\xi \leq \mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  whenever  $\xi \leq \lambda, \gamma(\underline{1} - \xi) \geq l$  and  $\gamma^*(\underline{1} - \xi) \leq k$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\forall \lambda, \xi \in I^X, l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1 \ni l + k \leq 1$  we define an  $(l, k)$ -generalized fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$  closure operator  $(l, k)$ - $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*} : I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$  as  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \bigwedge \{\xi \in I^X | \lambda \leq \xi \text{ and } \xi \text{ is } (l, k)\text{-gf}\mathcal{Z}c\}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Every  $(l, k)$ -f $\mathcal{Z}c$  set is an  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}c$  set. But not conversely.

**Example 2.** In Example 1, the fs  $\alpha_3$  is an  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}o$  set but not an  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -f $\mathcal{Z}o$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\forall \lambda, \xi \in I^X, l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1 \ni l + k \leq 1$ , then the operator  $(l, k)$ - $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}$  satisfies the statements

- (i)  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{0}, l, k) = \underline{0}$  and  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1}, l, k) = \underline{1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda \leq G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ ;
- (iii)  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \vee \xi, l, k) \geq G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \vee G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ ;
- (iv)  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) = G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ ;
- (v) If  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ -gf $\mathcal{Z}c$  set then  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \lambda$ ;
- (vi)  $G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\forall \lambda, \xi \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1 \ni l + k \leq 1$  we define an  $(l, k)$ -generalized fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$  interior operator  $(l, k)$ - $G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*} : I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$  as  $G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \bigvee \{ \xi \in I^X \mid \lambda \geq \xi \text{ and } \xi \text{ is } (l, k)\text{-}gf\mathcal{Z}o \}$ , then  $G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k) = \underline{1} - G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\lambda \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ .

- (i) If  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $gf\mathcal{Z}c$  set and an  $(l, k)$ - $f\mathcal{Z}o$  set then  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $f\mathcal{Z}c$  set.
- (ii) If  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $gf\mathcal{Z}c$  set and an  $(l, k)$ - $f\mathcal{Z}o$  set then  $\lambda \wedge \xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $f\mathcal{Z}c$  set whenever  $\xi \leq \mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\forall \lambda \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ . A fs  $\lambda$  is called as  $(l, k)$ -generalized\* fuzzy

- (i)  $\mathcal{Z}$  closed (briefly  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$ ) set if  $\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \xi$  whenever  $\lambda \leq \xi$  and  $\xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $gfo$  set in  $I^X$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{Z}$  open (briefly  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}o$ ) set if  $\underline{1} - \lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set.

**Example 3.** In Example 1, the fs  $\alpha_3$  is an  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}o$  set.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\lambda \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ , then  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}o$  set iff  $\xi \leq \mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  whenever  $\xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $gfc$  set.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts. For each  $\lambda$  and  $\xi \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ ,

- (i) If  $\lambda$  and  $\xi$  are  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  sets then  $\lambda \wedge \xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set,
- (ii) If  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set and  $\gamma(\xi) \geq l$ ,  $\gamma^*(\xi) \leq k$  then  $\lambda \wedge \xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts.  $\forall \lambda$  and  $\xi \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ .

- (i) If  $\lambda$  is both  $(l, k)$ - $gfo$  and  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set then  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $f\mathcal{Z}c$  set.
- (ii) If  $\lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set and  $\lambda \leq \xi \leq \mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  then  $\xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_1^*)$  and  $(Y, \gamma_2, \gamma_2^*)$  be dfts's. If  $\lambda \leq \underline{1}_Y \leq \underline{1}_X$ ,  $\ni \lambda$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set in  $I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ , then  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set relative to  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a dfts,  $\forall \lambda, \xi \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ , with  $\xi \leq \lambda$ . If  $\xi$  is  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set relative to  $\lambda \ni \lambda$  is both  $(l, k)$ - $gfo$  and  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set of  $I^X$  then  $\xi$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $g^*f\mathcal{Z}c$  set relative to  $X$ .

3. PROPERTIES OF  $(l, k)$ -GENERALIZED FUZZY  $\mathcal{Z}$  OPEN SETS

**Proposition 3.1.** For any  $\lambda, \xi$  in a  $dfts (X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ ,

- (i)  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  is the largest  $(l, k)$ - $gf\mathcal{Z}o$  set  $\ni GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \lambda$ .
- (ii)  $\lambda = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  iff  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ - $gf\mathcal{Z}o$  set.
- (iii)  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (iv)  $\underline{1} - GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k)$ .
- (v)  $\underline{1} - GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k)$ .
- (vi) If  $\lambda \leq \xi$ , then  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (vii) If  $\lambda \leq \xi$ , then  $GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (viii)  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \wedge GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k) = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \wedge \xi, l, k)$ .
- (ix)  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \vee GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k) = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \vee \xi, l, k)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** In any  $dfts (X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ , the  $(l, k)$ -fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$  border (resp. frontier and exterior) of a  $fs \lambda \in I^X$  (briefly  $\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  and  $\mathcal{ZE}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ )) is given by  $\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \lambda - \mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) - \mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  and  $\mathcal{ZE}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k)$ ).

**Definition 3.2.** In any  $dfts (X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ , the  $(l, k)$ -generalized fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$  border (resp. frontier and exterior) of a  $fs \lambda \in I^X$  (briefly  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  (resp.  $G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  and  $G\mathcal{ZE}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ )) is given by  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \lambda - G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  (resp.  $G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) - G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$  and  $G\mathcal{ZE}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k)$ ).

**Proposition 3.2.** For any  $\lambda, \xi$  in a  $dfts (X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ ,

- (i)  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k) - gf\mathcal{Z}o$ , then  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \underline{0}$ .
- (iii)  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq G\mathcal{Z}C_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k)$ .
- (iv)  $G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) \leq \lambda$ .
- (v)  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \vee \xi) \leq G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \vee G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (vi)  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \wedge \xi) \geq G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \wedge G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** For any  $\lambda, \xi$  in a  $dfts (X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ ,

- (i)  $G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq \mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (ii)  $G\mathcal{ZB}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (iii)  $G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, l, k) = G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (iv)  $G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(G\mathcal{Z}I_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) \leq G\mathcal{ZF}_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .

- (v)  $GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) \leq GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (vi)  $\lambda - GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (vii)  $GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \vee \xi, l, k) \leq GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \vee GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (viii)  $GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \wedge \xi, l, k) \geq GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \wedge GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** For any dfts  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in I^X$ ,  $l \in I_0$  and  $k \in I_1$ , we have:

- (i)  $ZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (ii)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \underline{1} - GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (iii)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k) = GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(GZC_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k)$ .
- (iv) If  $\lambda \leq \xi$ , then  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \geq GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (v)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{1}, l, k) = \underline{0}$ .
- (vi)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\underline{0}, l, k) = \underline{1}$ .
- (vii)  $GZI_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \leq GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k), l, k)$ .
- (viii)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \vee \xi, l, k) = GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \wedge GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .
- (ix)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda \wedge \xi, l, k) = GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) \vee GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\xi, l, k)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** If  $\lambda$  is an  $(l, k)$ -gf  $\mathcal{Z}c$  set in a dfts  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  then

- (i)  $GZB_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = GZF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ .
- (ii)  $GZE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \underline{1} - \lambda$ .

**Definition 3.3.** A dfts  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  is said to be a generalized\* double fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$ -( $\gamma, \gamma^*$ ) $_{1/2}$  space (briefly,  $g^*df\mathcal{Z}$ -( $\gamma, \gamma^*$ ) $_{1/2}$ ), if each  $(l, k)$ -gf  $\mathcal{Z}c$  set in  $X$  is an  $(l, k)$ -gf  $c$  set.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $(X, \gamma, \gamma^*)$  be a  $g^*df\mathcal{Z}$ -( $\gamma, \gamma^*$ ) $_{1/2}$  space and  $\lambda$  be an  $(l, k)$ -gf  $\mathcal{Z}c$  set in  $X$ . Then the statements

- (i)  $GB_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = GF_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k)$ ,
- (ii)  $GE_{\gamma, \gamma^*}(\lambda, l, k) = \underline{1} - \lambda$ . are hold.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. ATANASSOV: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and system, **20**(1) (1986), 84–96.
- [2] D. COKER: An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **88** (1997), 81–89.
- [3] J. G. GARCIA, S. E. RODABAUGH: Order-theoretic, topological, categorical redundancies of interval-valued sets, grey sets, vague sets, interval-valued intuitionistic sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and topologies, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **156** (2005), 445–484.

- [4] A. I. EL-MAGHARABI, A. M. MUBARKI: *Z-open sets and Z-continuity in topological spaces*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, **2**(10) (2011), 1819–1827.
- [5] S. K. SAMANTA, T. K. MONDAL: *On intuitionistic gradation of openness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **131** (2002), 323–336.
- [6] S. DEVI SATHAANANTHAN, S. TAMILSELVAN, A. VADIVEL, G. SARAVANAKUMAR: *Fuzzy  $\mathcal{Z}$  closed sets in double fuzzy topological spaces*, submitted.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY, VANTHARUMOLAI  
CHENKALADY, SRI LANKA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)  
KARUR-639005, TAMIL NADU, INDIA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAINAGAR-608002, TAMIL NADU, INDIA  
*Email address:* avmaths@gmail.com

MATHEMATICS SECTION (FEAT)  
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY  
ANNAMALAINAGAR-608002, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
M.KUMARASAMY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING(AUTONOMOUS)  
KARUR-639113, INDIA  
*Email address:* saravananguru2612@gmail.com