## ADV MATH SCI JOURNAL

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.4, 2273–2277 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.4.88 Spec. Issue on NCFCTA-2020

# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SUBMACHINE OF INTERVAL NEUTROSOPHIC AUTOMATA

## V. KARTHIKEYAN<sup>1</sup> AND R. KARUPPAIYA

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to study various characterizations of interval neutrosophic automaton through submachines. We introduce immediate successor, successor, submachine, submachine generated by states set, using interval neutrosophic automaton and discuss their properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [5] as a generalizations of crisp sets. After these fuzzy sets, Attanasov introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in 1986 [1] which is an extension of fuzzy set. In intuitionistic fuzzy set, each element of the set representing by a membership grade and non-membership grade.

The neutrosophic set(NS) was introduced by Florentin Smarandache [3]. A neutrosophic set N is classified by a  $T_N$ ,  $I_N$ , and  $F_N$  where it means Truth, Indeterminancy and Falsity membership function.  $T_N$ ,  $I_N$ , and  $F_N$  are real standard and non-standard subsets of  $]0^-$ ,  $1^+$ [.

Wang et al. [4] introduced the notion of interval-valued neutrosophic sets. The concept of interval neutrosophic finite state machine was introduced by Tahir Mahmood [2].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 03D05,20M35,18B20, 68Q45,68Q70,94A45.

Key words and phrases. Interval neutrosophic set, interval neutrosophic automaton, free, basis.

#### V. KARTHIKEYAN AND R. KARUPPAIYA

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** [3] Let U be the universe of discourse. A neutrosophic set (NS) N in U is characterized by a truth membership function  $T_N$ , an indeterminacy membership function  $I_N$  and a falsity membership function  $F_N$ , where  $T_N$ ,  $I_N$ , and  $F_N$  are real standard or non-standard subsets of  $]0^-, 1^+[$ . That is

$$N = \{ \langle x, (T_N(x), I_N(x), F_N(x)) \rangle, x \in U, T_A, I_A, F_A \in ]0^-, 1^+[ \}$$

and with the condition  $0^- \leq \sup T_N(x) + \sup I_N(x) + \sup F_N(x) \leq 3^+$ . We need to take the interval [0, 1] for technical applications instead of  $]0^-, 1^+[$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4] Let U be a universal set. An interval neutrosophic set (INS for short) is of the form

$$N = \{ \langle \alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \rangle | x \in U \} = \{ \langle x, [\inf \alpha_N(x), \sup \alpha_N(x)], [\inf \beta_N(x), \sup \beta_N(x)], [\inf \gamma_N(x), \sup \gamma_N(x)] \rangle | x \in U \},$$

where  $\alpha_N(x)$ ,  $\beta_N(x)$ ,  $\gamma_N(x) \subseteq [0,1]$  and the condition that

$$0 \le \sup \alpha_N(x) + \sup \beta_N(x) + \sup \gamma_N(x) \le 3.$$

## 3. INTERVAL NEUTROSOPHIC AUTOMATA

**Definition 3.1.** [2]  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  is called interval neutrosophic automaton (*INAforshort*), where Q and  $\Sigma$  are non-empty finite sets called the set of states and input symbols respectively, and  $N = \{\langle \alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \rangle\}$  is an *INS* in  $Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ . The set of all words of finite length of  $\Sigma$  is denoted by  $\Sigma^*$ . The empty word is denoted by  $\epsilon$ , and the length of each  $x \in \Sigma^*$  is denoted by |x|.

**Definition 3.2.** [2]  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an INA. Define an INS,

$$N^* = \{ \langle \alpha_{N^*}(x), \beta_{N^*}(x), \gamma_{N^*}(x) \rangle \}$$

in  $Q \times \Sigma^* \times Q$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, \ \epsilon, \ q_j) &= \begin{cases} [1,1] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [0,0] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}, \qquad \beta_{N^*}(q_i, \ \epsilon, \ q_j) &= \begin{cases} [0,0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1,1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}, \\ \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \ \epsilon, \ q_j) &= \begin{cases} [0,0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1,1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

2274

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) &= \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \vee_{q_r \in Q} [\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \cup \alpha_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)], \\ \beta_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) &= \beta_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \vee_{q_r \in Q} [\beta_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \cup \beta_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)], \\ \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) &= \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \vee_{q_r \in Q} [\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \cup \gamma_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)], \\ \forall q_i, q_j \in Q, \ w = xy, x \in \Sigma^* \ \text{and} \ y \in \Sigma. \end{aligned}$ 

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton and let  $q_i, q_j \in Q$ . Then  $q_i$  is called a immediate successor of  $q_j$  if the following condition holds.  $\exists x \in \Sigma$  such that  $\alpha_{N^*}(q_j, x, q_i) > [0, 0], \ \beta_{N^*}(q_j, x, q_i) < [1, 1],$  and  $\gamma_{N^*}(q_j, x, q_i) < [1, 1].$ 

We say that  $q_i$  is a successor of  $q_j$  if the following condition holds.  $\exists w \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $\alpha_{N^*}(q_j, w, q_i) > [0, 0], \ \beta_{N^*}(q_j, w, q_i) < [1, 1], \ and \ \gamma_{N^*}(q_j, w, q_i) < [1, 1].$ 

We denote by  $S(q_j)$  the set of all successors of  $q_j$ . For any subset Q' of Q, the set of all successors of Q' denoted by S(Q') is defined to be the set  $S(Q') = \bigcup \{S(q_j) \mid q_j \in Q'\}$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton. Let  $Q' \subseteq Q$ . Let  $N_{Q'}$  be an interval neutrosophic subset of  $Q' \times \Sigma \times Q$  and let  $M' = (Q', \Sigma, N')$ . The interval neutrosophic automaton M' is called submachine of M if

(i)  $\alpha_N|_{(Q' \times \Sigma \times Q')} = \alpha'_N.$ (ii)  $\beta_N|_{(Q' \times \Sigma \times Q')} = \beta'_N.$ (iii)  $\gamma_N|_{(Q' \times \Sigma \times Q')} = \gamma'_N,$  and (iv)  $S(Q') \subseteq Q'.$ 

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton. Let  $M' = (Q', \Sigma, N') \neq \phi$  be a submachine of M. Then M' is separated if  $S(Q \setminus Q') = (Q \setminus Q')$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton. Then M is called strongly connected if  $\forall q_i, q_j \in Q, q_i \in S(q_j)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton and let  $M' = (Q', \Sigma, N')$  be a submachine of M. M' is called proper if  $Q' \neq Q$  and  $Q' \neq \phi$ . If M is strongly connected then M has no proper submachine.

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton and M having the exchange property if  $q_i, q_j \in Q$  and let  $Q' \subseteq Q$ . If  $q_i \in S(Q' \cup \{q_j\})$ ,  $q_i \notin S(Q')$ , then  $q_j \in S(Q' \cup \{q_i\})$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton. Let  $Q' \subseteq Q$ . If  $M = \langle Q' \rangle$  then M is generated by Q'.

# 4. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SUBMACHINE OF INTERVAL NEUTROSOPHIC AUTOMATA

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automata. Let  $q_i, q_j, q_k \in Q$ . Then subsequent statements hold.

(i)  $q_i$  is a successor of  $q_i$ .

(ii) if  $q_j$  is a successor of  $q_j$  and  $q_k$  is a successor of  $q_i$ , then  $q_k$  is a successor of  $q_i$ .

Proof.

(i) Since  $\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_i) = [1, 1] > [0, 0], \quad \beta_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_i) = [0, 0] < [1, 1], \text{ and } \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_i) = [0, 0] < [1, 1], q_i \text{ is a successor of } q_i.$ 

(ii) Now  $\exists x, y \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) > [0, 0], \ \beta_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) < [1, 1]$ and  $\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) < [1, 1]$ . Then  $\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_k) \ge \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) \land \alpha_{N^*}(q_j, y, q_k) > [0, 0], \ \beta_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_k) \le \beta_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) \lor \beta_{N^*}(q_j, y, q_k) < [1, 1], \text{ and } \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_k) \le \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_j) \lor \gamma_{N^*}(q_j, y, q_k) < [1, 1].$  Hence,  $q_k$  is a successor of  $q_i$  by Lemma 3.4 from [2].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automata. Then subsequent statements are equivalent.

(i) *M* assure the exchange property.

(ii)  $q_i, q_j \in Q, q_j \in S(q_i)$  iff  $q_i \in S(q_j)$ .

## Proof.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Let  $q_i, q_j \in Q$  and  $q_i \in S(q_j)$ . Now  $q_i \notin S(\phi)$ . Hence  $q_j \in S(q_i)$ . Similarly if  $q_j \in S(q_i)$  then  $q_i \in S(q_j)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $Q' \subseteq Q$ ,  $q_i, q_j \in Q$ . Suppose  $q_i \in S(Q' \cup q_j), q_i \notin S(Q')$ . Then  $q_i \in S(q_j)$ . Hence  $q_j \in S(q_i) \subseteq S(Q' \cup q_i)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$  be an interval neutrosophic automaton and  $Q' \subseteq Q$ . Then  $M' = (S(Q'), \Sigma, N_{Q'})$  is a submachine of M where

$$N_{Q'} = \left\{ \left\langle \alpha_{Q'}, \beta_{Q'}, \gamma_{Q'} \right\rangle \right\},\,$$

 $\alpha_{Q'} = \alpha|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}, \beta_{Q'} = \beta|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}, and \gamma_{Q'} = \gamma|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}.$ 

2276

Proof. It is obvious that  $\alpha_{Q'} = \alpha|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}, \ \beta_{Q'} = \beta|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}, \ \text{and} \ \gamma_{Q'} = \gamma|_{S(Q') \times \Sigma \times S(Q')}.$  Clearly,  $S(Q') \subseteq S(S(Q'))$ . Let  $q_j \in S(S(Q'))$ . Then  $q_j \in S(Q')$  for some  $q_i \in S(Q')$ . Thus  $q_i \in S(q_k)$  for some  $q_k \in Q'$ . Now,  $q_j$  is a successor of  $q_i$  and  $q_i$  is a successor of  $q_k$ . Hence,  $q_j$  is a successor of  $q_k$ . Thus,  $q_j \in S(q_k) \subseteq S(Q')$ . Hence S(S(Q')) = S(Q'). Thus  $M' = (S(Q'), \Sigma, N_{Q'})$  is a submachine of M.  $\Box$ 

# 5. CONCLUSION

The main aim of the paper is to study the various characterizations of an interval neutrosophic automaton. For Instance, we discuss immediate successor, successor, submachine, submachine generated by states set, using interval neutrosophic automaton.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. ATANASSOV: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [2] T. MAHMOOD, Q. KHAN: Interval neutrosophic finite switchboard state machine, Afr. Mat., 20(2) (2016), 191–210.
- [3] F. SMARANDACHE: A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, set and Logic, Rehoboth: American Research Press, 1999.
- [4] H. WANG, F. SMARANDACHE, Y.Q. ZHANG, R. SUNDERRAMAN: *Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Logic*:, Theory and Applications in Computing, Hexis, Phoenix, AZ **5** 2005.
- [5] L. A. ZADEH: Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8(3) (1965), 338–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING DHARMAPURI-636704, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: vkarthikau@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY CHIDAMBARAM-608002, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: rajaanju.40@gmail.com