

ON WEAKLY G'' -CONTINUOUS MAPPING AND WEAKLY G'' -IRRESOLUTE MAPPING IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

A. KALAMANI¹ AND K. NIRMALADEVI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, I introduce the notions of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -continuous mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -irresolute mappings, separation Axioms and study some of its properties in intuitionistic fuzzy topological space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by Atanassov [1]. In 1997, Coker [3] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Continuity is a property of transformation one space to another. It is a natural curiosity to study how does the fuzziness of continuity passes the information of spatial characteristic under transformation. In 1997, Gurcay, Coker and Haydar [4] have introduced the Continuous mapping. Here the intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -continuous mapping and intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -irresolute mapping and its properties are introduced.

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E72, 54E99.

Key words and phrases. Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -closed sets, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -Continuous and intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -irresolute mapping and Separation Axioms.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here (W, τ) or W denoted as the IF-topological space. The closure, the interior and the complement of a subset P of W are denoted by $c(P)$, $i(P)$ and P^c respectively.

Definition 2.1. [1] Consider W is a non empty set and IF-set P in W is of the form $P = \{ \langle w, \mu_P(w), \nu_P(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$ where the functions $\mu_P(w) : W \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_P(w) : W \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denotes the degree of membership and the degree of non membership of the element $w \in W$ respectively and $0 \leq \mu_P(w) + \nu_P(w) \leq 1, w \in W$.

Definition 2.2. [1] Consider P and Q are the IFSets of the form $P = \{ \langle w, \mu_P(w), \nu_P(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$ and $Q = \{ \langle w, \mu_Q(w), \nu_Q(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$. Then:

- (i) $P \subseteq Q$ if and only if $\mu_P(w) \leq \mu_Q(w)$ and $\nu_P(w) \geq \nu_Q(w)$ for all $w \in W$,
- (ii) $P=Q$ if and only if $P \subseteq Q$ and $Q \subseteq P$,
- (iii) $P^c = \{ \langle w, \nu_P(w), \mu_P(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$,
- (iv) $P \cap Q = \{ \langle w, \mu_P(w) \wedge \mu_Q(w), \nu_P(w) \vee \nu_Q(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$,
- (v) $P \cup Q = \{ \langle w, \mu_P(w) \vee \mu_Q(w), \nu_P(w) \wedge \nu_Q(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$.

Definition 2.3. [2] Consider IF-topology τ is a family of IFSets satisfying:

- (i) $0, 1 \in \tau$,
- (ii) $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in \tau$, for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \tau$,
- (iii) $\cup Z_i \in \tau$, the family $\{Z_i / i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$.

The pair (W, τ) is said to be IF-topological space and every IFSet of τ is called as IF-open set in W .

Definition 2.4. [3] Consider (W, τ) is IF-topological space and $P = \langle w, \mu_P, \nu_P \rangle$ be an IFS in W . Then

- (i) $i(P) = \cup \{H / H \text{ is IFOS in } W \text{ and } H \subseteq P\}$,
- (ii) $c(P) = \cap \{B / B \text{ is IFCS in } W \text{ and } P \subseteq B\}$.

Note that for any IFS P in (W, τ) , $c(P^c) = (i(P))^c$ and $i(P^c) = (c(P))^c$.

Definition 2.5. [3] Consider the IFS $P = \{ \langle w, \mu_P(w), \nu_P(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$ in W is

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy alpha-open set if $P \subseteq i(c(i(P)))$,

- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy regular-open set if $P = i(c(P))$,
- (iii) intuitionistic fuzzy semi-open set if $P \subseteq c(i(P))$.

Definition 2.6. [4] An IFS $C = \{ \langle w, \mu_C(w), \nu_C(w) \rangle / w \in W \}$ in an IFTS (W, τ) is an

- (i) IF g'' CS if $c(C) \subseteq Y$ whenever $C \subseteq Y$ and Y is IFGSOS in W ,
- (ii) IFGSCS if $sc(C) \subseteq Y$ whenever $C \subseteq Y$ and Y is IFOS in W ,
- (iii) IFRWGCS if $c(i(C)) \subseteq Y$ whenever $C \subseteq Y$ and Y is IFROS in W ,
- (iv) IFWGCS if $c(i(C)) \subseteq Y$ whenever $C \subseteq Y$ and Y is IFOS in W .

Definition 2.7. The IFS P in (W, τ) is said to be IFW g'' CS if $c(i(P)) \subseteq Y$ whenever $P \subseteq Y$ and Y is an IFGSOS in (W, τ) .

Definition 2.8. Consider (W, τ) and (D, σ) are intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces and $h : W \rightarrow D$ is a function. Then h is said to be IF-continuity if the pre image of each intuitionistic fuzzy open set of D is intuitionistic open set in W .

Definition 2.9. [5] A mapping $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is said to be IF α -continuity if $h^{-1}(V) \in IF\alpha O(W)$ for every $V \in \sigma$.

Definition 2.10. A mapping $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is said to be IF g'' -continuity if $h^{-1}(V)$ is IF g'' CS in (W, τ) for every IFCS V of (D, σ) .

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY g'' -CONTINUOUS MAPPING

In this chapter intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -continuous mapping and its properties are introduced.

Definition 3.1. A mapping $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -continuous mapping if $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' CS in (W, τ) for every IFCS V of (D, σ) .

Theorem 3.1. Every IF-continuity is IFW g'' -continuity, but the converse is not true.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is IF-continuous mapping and the set V is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IF-closed in W . We know that every IF-closed set is IFW g'' -closed set, Therefore $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' -closed set of W . Hence h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping. \square

Example 1. Consider $W = \{e, f\}$, $D = \{g, h\}$ and $I = \langle w, (.2, .2), (.4, .5) \rangle$, $J = \langle d, (.5, .6), (.1, .1) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0, I, 1\}$, $\sigma = \{0, J, 1\}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy topologies of W and D respectively. Define a transformation $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ by $h(e)=g$ and $h(f)=h$. Then IF-set $V = \langle d, (.1, .1), (.5, .6) \rangle$ is IF-closed in D . Therefore $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' CS but not an IFCS in W . Therefore h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping but not IF-continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.2. Every IF α -continuity is IFW g'' -continuity, but the converse is not true.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is IF α -continuity and the set V is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IF α -closed of W . We know that every IF α -closed set is IFW g'' -closed set. Therefore $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' -closed in W . Hence h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping. \square

Example 2. Consider $W = \{e, f\}$, $D = \{g, h\}$ and $I = \langle w, (.2, .2), (.4, .5) \rangle$, $J = \langle d, (.5, .6), (.1, .1) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0, I, 1\}$, $\sigma = \{0, J, 1\}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy topologies of W and D respectively. Define a transformation $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ by $h(e)=g$ and $h(f)=h$. Then IF-set $V = \langle d, (.1, .1), (.5, .6) \rangle$ is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' CS but not an IF α CS in W . Therefore h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping but not IF α -continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.3. Every IF g'' -continuity is IFW g'' -continuity, but the converse is not true.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is IF g'' -continuous mapping and V is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IF g'' -closed in W . We know that every IF g'' -closed set is IFW g'' -closed set, Therefore $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' -closed set of W . Hence h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping. \square

Example 3. Consider $W = \{e, f\}$, $D = \{g, h\}$ and $I = \langle w, (.2, .7), (.8, .2) \rangle$, $J = \langle d, (.9, .3), (.1, .4) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0, I, 1\}$, $\sigma = \{0, J, 1\}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy topologies of W and D respectively. Define a transformation $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ by $h(e)=g$ and $h(f)=h$. Then IF-set $V = \langle d, (.1, .4), (.9, .3) \rangle$ is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFW g'' CS but not an IF g'' CS in W . Therefore h is IFW g'' -continuous mapping but not IF g'' -continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.4. Every IFW g'' -continuity is IFW g -continuity, but the converse is not true.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is $IFWg''$ -continuous mapping and V is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is $IFWg''$ -closed in W . We know that every $IFWg''$ -closed set is IFWg-closed set, Therefore $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFWg-closed set in W . Hence h is IFWg-continuous mapping. \square

Example 4. Consider $W = \{e, f\}, D = \{g, h\}$ and $I = \langle w, (.6, .5), (.4, .5) \rangle, J = \langle d, (.7, .6), (.3, .4) \rangle$. Then $\tau = \{0, I, 1\}, \sigma = \{0, J, 1\}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy topologies of W and D respectively. Define a transformation $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ by $h(e) = g$ and $h(f) = h$. Then IF-set $V = \langle d, (.3, .4), (.7, .6) \rangle$ is IF-closed in D . Hence $h^{-1}(V)$ is IFWgCS but not an $IFWg''$ CS in W . Therefore h is IFWg-continuous mapping but not $IFWg''$ -continuity.

Theorem 3.5. If $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is $IFWg''$ -continuity and $k : (D, \sigma) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ is IF-continuous, then $k \circ h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ is $IFWg''$ -continuous.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is $IFWg''$ continuous and $k : (D, \sigma) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ is IF-continuous. And V is IFCS in E . Thus $k^{-1}(V)$ is IFCS in D because D is IF-continuous and $h^{-1}(k^{-1}(V))$ is $IFWg''$ -closed set in W because h is $IFWg''$ continuous. Hence $(k \circ h)^{-1}(V) = h^{-1}(k^{-1}(V))$ in W . Therefore $k \circ h$ is $IFWg''$ -continuous. \square

4. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY g'' -IRRESOLUTE MAPPING

In this chapter intuitionistic fuzzy weakly mapping and its properties are introduced.

Definition 4.1. A mapping $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -irresolute mapping if $h^{-1}(V)$ is $IFWg''$ CS in (W, τ) for every $IFWg''$ CS V of (D, σ) .

Theorem 4.1. Let $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ be an $IFWg''$ -irresolute, then h is $IFWg''$ -continuous mapping.

Proof. Consider $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ is $IFWg''$ -irresolute mapping and V be an IFCS in D . We know that every IFCS is $IFWg''$ CS. Hence V is $IFWg''$ CS in D . By hypothesis $h^{-1}(V)$ is $IFWg''$ CS in W . Therefore h is $IFWg''$ -continuous mapping. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ and $k : (D, \sigma) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping, then $koh : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ is IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping.*

Proof. Consider V be IFW $_g''$ CS in E . Then $k^{-1}(V)$ is IFW $_g''$ CS in D . We know that h is IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping, $h^{-1}(k^{-1}(V))$ is IFW $_g''$ CS in W . Hence koh is IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping. \square

Theorem 4.3. *If $h : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma)$ be an IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping and $k : (D, \sigma) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ be an IFW $_g''$ -continuous mapping, then $koh : (W, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \delta)$ is an IFW $_g''$ -continuous mapping.*

Proof. Consider V be IFCS in E . Then $k^{-1}(V)$ is IFW $_g''$ CS in D . We know that h is IFW $_g''$ -irresolute mapping, $h^{-1}(k^{-1}(V))$ is IFW $_g''$ CS in W . Hence koh is IFW $_g''$ -continuous mapping. \square

5. SEPARATION AXIOMS

In this chapter, I provide some separation Axioms of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -closed sets.

Definition 5.1. *A space (W, τ) is called IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}$ sapce if every IFW $_g''$ CS is IFCS in W .*

Definition 5.2. *A space (W, τ) is called IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}^*$ sapce if every IFW $_g''$ CS is IFRCS in W .*

Theorem 5.1. *Every IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}^*$ space is an IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}$ space.*

Proof. Consider W be an IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}^*$ space and let V be an IFW $_g''$ CS in W . By hypothesis V is IFRCS in W . We know that every IFRCS is IFCS, V is IFCS in W . Hence W is IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *A space (W, τ) is IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}$ space iff IFOS(W) = IFW $_g''$ OS(W).*

Proof. Necessity: Consider V is IFW $_g''$ OS in W . Hence V^c is IFW $_g''$ CS in W . By our hypothesis V^c is IFCS in W . Thus V is IFOS. Therefore IFOS(W) = IFW $_g''$ OS(W).

Sufficiency: Consider V is IFW $_g''$ CS in W . Hence V^c is IFW $_g''$ OS. By our hypothesis V^c is IFOS in W . Therefore V is IFCS in W . Hence W is IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}$ sapce. \square

Theorem 5.3. *A space (W, τ) is IFW $_g'' T_{1/2}^*$ space iff IFROS(W) = IFW $_g''$ OS(W).*

Proof. Necessity: Consider V is $IFWg''OS$ in W . Hence V^c is $IFWg''CS$ in W . By our hypothesis V^c is $IFRCS$ in W . Hence V is an $IFROS$.

Therefore $IFROS(W) = IFWg''OS(W)$.

Sufficiency: Consider V is $IFWg''CS$ in W . Thus V^c is $IFWg''OS$. By our hypothesis V^c is $IFROS$ in W . Therefore V is $IFRCS$ in W . Hence W is $IFWg''T_{1/2}$ space. \square

6. CONCLUSION

The basic aim of this paper is to introduce Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -continuity, Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly g'' -irresolute and some of its properties.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. T. ATANASSOV: *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy sets and systems, **20** (1986), 87–96.
- [2] C. L. CHANG: *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J.Math. Anal. Appl., **24** (1986), 182–190.
- [3] D. COKER: *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **88** (1997), 81–89.
- [4] H. GURCAY, D. COKER, E. A. HAYDAR: *On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy Topological spaces*, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, **5** (1997), 365–378.
- [5] S. S. THAKUR, R. CHATURVEDI: *Generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topology*, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, **16**(3) (2008), 559–572.

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES (MATHEMATICS)

KARPAGAM COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

TAMIL NADU, INDIA

E-mail address: 20kalamani@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

SRI KRISHNA ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE

TAMIL NADU, INDIA

E-mail address: nirmalamaths8@gmail.com