Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.8, 5389–5400 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.8.10

## COMPARISON OF VARIOUS METHODS FOR SOLVING REAL LIFE PROBLEMS RELATED TRANSPORTATION SCHEDULING

#### S.K. SHARMA<sup>1</sup> AND H. NAZKI

ABSTRACT. Transportation problem is a special type of linear programming problem where the objective is to minimize the cost of distributing a product from a number of origins to a number of destinations. In this paper we find an optimal solution of transportation problem by using various methods and comparing these methods with each other.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation problem basically is a special case of linear programming in which we have some rows and some columns and a special kind of table formulation or we can say special kind of model. The main aim of transportation problem is to minimize the cost of distributing a product from number of sources to the number of destinations. The origin of transportation was first presented by F.L. Hitchcock [5] in 1941. Transportation network are large scale system and are in various forms such as rail, road, airways, ship, etc. In this paper we are comparing some new methods i.e. ASM Method, ATM Method and Stepping Stone Method with these methods in order to find the optimal solution of transportation problem. ASM Method gives an optimal solution directly, in few iteration it takes less time and is so easy to learn and apply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 90B06.

Key words and phrases. Transportation Problem, Optimal solution, Linear Programming, Feasible Solution.

#### 2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Let there are *m* sources and *n* destinations. Let  $a_i$  be the number of units at *i* sources where (i = 1, 2, ..., m) and  $b_j$  be the number of units at destination j(j = 1, 2, ..., n). Let  $c_{ij}$  be the unit transportation cost for transporting the units from source to destination *j*, so that the total transportation cost is minimum. If  $x_{ij} \ge 0$  is the number of units shipped from source *I* to destination *j*, then equivalent linear transportation problem is to find  $x_{ij}(i = 1, 2, ..., m, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$ .

In order to minimize  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} cijxij$ Subject to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} xij = ai, i = 1, 2, \dots m$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} = b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots n$$

and  $x_{ij} \ge 0$ , for all *i* and *j* 

The necessary and sufficient condition for transportation problem to have feasible solution is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} ai = \sum_{j=1}^{n} bj.$$

#### 3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

**Example 1.** Let us consider the following transportation problem having three sources and four destinations.

Table 1								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16	14	10	11	11			
$S_2$	13	9	15	14	8			
$S_3$	10	17	12	18	10			
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)			

Since the transportation problem is balanced, so we can solve it. Now we will use the following methods to solve this problem.

#### I. North West Corner Method (NWCM):

Table 2								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16(11)	14	10	11	11/0			
$S_2$	13(1)	9(7)	15	14	8/7/0			
$S_3$	10	17	12(4)	18(6)	10/6/0			
Demand	12/1/0	7/0	4/0	6/0	29(Total)			

 $z = 16^*11 + 13^*1 + 9^*7 + 12^*4 + 18^*6 = 408$ 

### II. Least Cost Method (LCM):

Table 3								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16(1)	14	10(4)	11(6)	11/7/1/0			
$S_2$	13(1)	9(7)	15	14	8/1/0			
$S_3$	10(10)	17	12	18	10/0			
Demand	12/2/1/0	7/0	4/0	6/0	29(Total)			

Thus,  $z = 16^{*}1 + 10^{*}4 + 11^{*}6 + 13^{*}1 + 9^{*}7 + 10^{*}10 = 298$ 

### III. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM):

Table 4								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16(1)	14	10(4)	11(6)	11/7/1/0			
$S_2$	13(1)	9(7)	15	14	8/1/0			
$S_3$	10(10)	17	12	18	10/0			
Demand	12/2/1/0	7/0	4/0	6/0	29(Total)			

 $Z = 16^*1 + 10^*4 + 11^*6 + 13^*1 + 9^*7 + 10^*10 = 298$ 

IV. Stepping Stone Method:

Table 5									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$S_1$	16(1)	14	10(4)	11(6)	11/7/1/0				
$S_2$	13(1)	9(7)	15	14	8/1/0				
$S_3$	10(10)	17	12	18	10/0				
Demand	12/2/1/0	7/0	4/0	6/0	29(Total)				

Now, after applying VAM method we will find the value of the cells left without allocation, i.e. c(1,2), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,2), c(3,3), c(3,4).

Table 6									
16(1)	14	10(4)	11(6)						
13(1)	9(7)	15	14						
10(10)	17	12	18						

Cell Evaluation:

C(1,2) = 14-9+13-16 = 2 C(2,3) = 15-10+16-13 = 8 C(2,4) = 14-11+16-13 = 6 C(3,2) = 17-10+13-9 = 11 C(3,3) = 12-10+16-10 = 8C(3,4) = 18-11+16-10 = 13

Since, all the cell evaluations are positive. Therefore, the initial basic feasible solution is optimal solution also.

Hence,  $z = 16^{*}1 + 10^{*}4 + 11^{*}6 + 13^{*}1 + 9^{*}7 + 10^{*}10 = 298$ .

V. ASM -Method:

Table 7								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16	14	10	11	11			
$S_2$	13	9	15	14	8			
$S_3$	10	17	12	18	10			
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)			

Subtract each element of row from its row minima and after that subtract each element of column of the resulting transportation table from its column minima.

Table 8 (Reduced Row Matrix)

	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$S_1$	6	4	0	1	11
$S_2$	4	0	6	5	8
$S_3$	0	7	2	8	10
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)

Table 9 (Reduced Column Matrix)								
	$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
$S_1$	6	4	0	0	11			
$S_2$	4	0	6	4	8			
$S_3$	0	7	2	7	10			
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)			

Since, the above table has at least one zero in each row and each column. So we allocate the values to all zeros.

Table 10								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16	14	10(4)	11(6)	11			
$S_2$	13	9(7)	15	14	8			
$S_3$	10(10)	17	12	18	10			
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)			

Now,  $z = 10^{*}4 + 11^{*}6 + 9^{*}7 + 10^{*}10 = 269$ 

### VI. ATM - Method:

Table 11								
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply			
$S_1$	16	14	10	11	11			
$S_2$	13	9	15	14	8			
$S_3$	10	17	12	18	10			
Demand	12	7	4	6	29(Total)			

Select least odd cost from all cost cells of transportation table (TT), subtracts chosen least cost only from every odd cost esteemed cells of the transportation problem and then start allocating the values from least supply or demand to the resulting TT.

Table 12									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$S_1$	16(1)	14	10(4)	2(6)	11/5/1/0				
$S_2$	4(1)	9(7)	6	14	8/1/0				
$S_3$	10(10)	8	12	18	10/0				
Demand	12/11/1/0	7/0	4/0	6/0	29				

Since the number of allocations are 6 that is (= m+n-1), where m is number of rows and n is number of columns, hence initial basic feasible solution.

Table 13									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$S_1$	16(1)	14	10(4)	11(6)	11				
$S_2$	13(1)	9(7)	15	14	8				
$S_3$	10(10)	17	12	18	10				
Demand	12	7	4	6	29				

Thus, we have  $z = 16^{*}1 + 10^{*}4 + 11^{*}6 + 13^{*}1 + 9^{*}7 + 10^{*}10 = 298$ 

**Example 2.** A company makes trucks and it has four factories  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  whose weekly manufacturing quantities are 8, 5, 12 and 6 numbers of trucks respectively. The company supplies trucks to its four showrooms positioned at  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  to whom weekly requirements are 5, 6, 11 and 9 no. of trucks respectively. The transportation cost for each truck is given in transportation table 14. Find the schedule of transferring trucks from factories to showrooms with least cost.

Table 14									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6	8				
$F_2$	12	9	30	13	5				
$F_3$	10	22	17	21	12				
$F_4$	25	16	8	11	6				
Demand	5	6	11	9	31(Total)				

I. North West Corner Method	I.	North	West	Corner	Method	
-----------------------------	----	-------	------	--------	--------	--

Table 15									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8(5)	14(3)	15	6	8/3/0				
$F_2$	12	9(3)	30(2)	13	5/2/0				
$F_3$	10	22	17(9)	21(3)	12/3/0				
$F_4$	25	16	8	11(6)	6/0				
Demand	5/0	6/3/0	11/9/0	9/6/0	31(Total)				

Hence the total cost = 8\*5 + 14\*3 + 9\*3 + 30\*2 + 17\*9 + 21\*3 + 11\*6 = 451

#### II. Least Cost Method (LCM):

Table 16									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6(8)	8/0				
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	13	5/0				
$F_3$	10(5)	22(1)	17(5)	21(1)	12/7/2/1/0				
$F_4$	25	16	8(6)	11	6/0				
Demand	5/0	6/1/0	11/5/0	9/1/0	31				
L									

 $Z = 6^*8 + 9^*5 + 10^*5 + 22^*1 + 17^*5 + 21^*1 + 8^*6 = 3194$ 

### III. Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM):

Table 17									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6(8)	8/0				
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	13	5/0				
$F_3$	10(5)	22(1)	17(5)	21(1)	12/7/6/5/0				
$F_4$	25	16	8(6)	11	6/0				
Demand	5/0	6/1/0	11/5/0	9/1/0	31				

Therefore,  $z = 6^{*}8 + 9^{*}5 + 10^{*}5 + 22^{*}1 + 17^{*}5 + 21^{*}1 + 8^{*}6 = 319$ 

IV. Stepping Stone Method:

Table 18									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6(8)	8/0				
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	13	5/0				
$F_3$	10(5)	22(1)	17(5)	21(1)	12/7/6/5/0				
$F_4$	25	16	8(6)	11	6/0				
Demand	5/0	6/1/0	11/5/0	9/1/0	31				

Cell Evaluation: Now we will find the values of the cell without allocation.

C(1,1) = 8-10+21-6 = 13 C(1,2) = 14-22+21-6 = 7 C(1,3) = 15-17+21-6 = 13 C(2,1) = 12-10+22-9 = 15 C(2,3) = 30-17+22-9 = 26C(2,4) = 13-21+22-9 = 5

$$C(4,1) = 25 \cdot 10 + 17 \cdot 8 = 24$$
  
 $C(4,2) = 16 \cdot 8 + 17 \cdot 22 = 3$   
 $C(4,4) = 11 \cdot 21 + 17 \cdot 8 = -1$ 

Since there is a negative value in C(4,4), therefore we can further improve the solution by adding and subtracting this negative value to all those cell allocations that gives the value of C(4,4).

Table 19									
8	14	15	6(8)						
12	9(5)	30	13						
10(5)	22(1)	17(6)	21						
25	16	8(5)	11(1)						

Minimum cost, z = 6\*8 + 9\*5 + 10\*5 + 22\*1 + 17\*6 + 8\*5 + 11\*1 = 318

Again cell evaluation is given as:

C(1,1) = 8-6+11-8+17-10=12 C(1,2) = 14-6+11-8+17-22=6 C(1,3) = 15-6+11-8=12 C(2,1) = 12-9+22-10=15 C(2,3) = 30-17+22-9=26 C(2,4) = 13-9+22-17+8-11=6 C(3,4) = 21-11+8-17=1 C(4,1) = 25-8+17-10=24 C(4,2) = 16-8+17-22=3

Since all the cell evaluations are positive, therefore optimal solution is obtained.

Hence minimum cost = 318

V. ASM - Method:

Table 20									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6	8				
$F_2$	12	9	30	13	5				
$F_3$	10	22	17	21	12				
$F_4$	25	16	8	11	6				
Demand	5	6	11	9	31				

Subtract all elements of row from its least row cost and then subtract all elements of the resulting TT from its column minima.

	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$F_1$	2	8	9	0	8
$F_2$	3	0	21	4	5
$F_3$	0	12	7	11	12
$F_4$	17	8	0	3	6
Demand	5	7	11	9	31(Total)

# Table 21 Reduced Row Matrix

# Table 22 Reduced Column Matrix

	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$F_1$	2	8	9	0	8
$F_2$	3	0	21	4	5
$F_3$	0	12	7	11	12
$F_4$	17	8	0	3	6
Demand	5	7	11	9	31(Total)

Since each row and column has at least one zero, therefore allocate the values to all zeros.

Table 23									
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply				
$F_1$	8	14	15	6(8)	8				
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	13	5				
$F_3$	10(5)	22	17	21	12				
$F_4$	25	16	8(6)	11	6				
Demand	5	7	11	9	31(Total)				

Hence the total cost correlated with these allocations is

 $Z = 6^*8 + 9^*5 + 10^*5 + 8^*6 = 191.$ 

### VI. ATM - Method:

Table 24					
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$F_1$	8	14	15	6	8
$F_2$	12	9	30	13	5
$F_3$	10	22	17	21	12
$F_4$	25	16	8 11	6	
Demand	5	6	11	9	31

Table 25					
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$F_1$	8	14	6(5)	6(3)	8/5/0
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	4 5/0	
$F_3$	10(5)	22(1)	8(6)	12	12/6/1/0
$F_4$	16	16	8	2(6)	6/0
Demand	5/0	6/1/0	11/6/0	9/3/0	

Allocation Table

	Table 26				
	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$	Supply
$F_1$	8	14	15(5)	6(3)	8
$F_2$	12	9(5)	30	13	5
$F_3$	10(5)	22(1)	17(6)	21	12
$F_4$	25	16	8	11(6)	6
Demand	5	6	11	9	

Thus,  $z = 15^{*}5 + 6^{*}3 + 9^{*}5 + 10^{*}5 + 22^{*}1 + 17^{*}6 + 11^{*}6 = 378$ 

# 4. RESULT ANALYSIS

After comparing all these methods, the solutions we obtained are given in table 27.

Methods	Example 1	Example 2
North West Corner Method	408	451
Least Cost Method	298	319
Vogel's Approximation Method	298	319
Stepping Stone Method	298	318
ASM Method	269	191
ATM Method	298	378
Optimal Solution	269	191

Table 27: Comparison of the results obtained by using various methods.

Since from table 27, we can say that ASM Method gives better result as compared to other methods. This method gives ideal arrangement directly, in fewer emphases. As this strategy devours less time and is straightforward and easy to apply, so it will be useful for those who are managing calculated and store network issues [2].

#### 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper six methods are compared to each other to find an optimal solution of a transportation problem and it is observed that from table 27, ASM method provides comparatively better result than the results obtained by other methods, because ASM method provides an optimal solution directly, in fewer iterations. Also this method consumes less time and is very easy even for a layman to understand and apply.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. CHARNES, W.W. COOPER, A. HENDERSON: An Introduction to Linear Programming, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [2] A. QUDDOOS, S. JAVAID, M.M. KHALID: A New Method for finding an Optimal Solution for Transportation Problems, International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, 4(7) (2012), 1271-1274.
- [3] B. S. KUMAR, R. NANDHINI, T. NANDHINI: A Comparative Study of ASM and NWCR method in transportation problem, An International Journal of Mathematical Sciences with Computer Applications, 5 (2017), 321-327.
- [4] F. J. VASKO AND N. STOROZHYSHINA: Balancing a transportation problem: Is it really that simple, Operational Research Society, **24**(3) (2011), 205-214.

- [5] F. L. HITCHCOCK: *The distribution of a product from several sources to numerous localities*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **20** (2006), 224-230.
- [6] G.B, DANTZIG: Application of the Simplex Method to a Transportation Problem, Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation. In: Koopmans, T.C., Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1951, 359-373.
- [7] H. ARSHAM: Post optimality Analyses of the Transportation Problem, Journal of the Operational Research Society, 43 (1992), 121-139.
- [8] M. HANIF AND F.S. RAFI: A New Method for Optimal Solution of Transportation Problems in LPP, Journel of Mathematical Research, **10**(5) (2018), 60-75.
- [9] M. M. AHMED ET AL.: A New Approach to Solve Transportation Problems, Open Journal of Optimization, 5 (2016), 22-30.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali Punjab, India

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali Punjab, India