Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.8, 6369–6376 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.8.104 Special Issue on ICMA-2020

# ON FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL BRK-SUBALGEBRAS

S. SIVAKUMAR, S. KOUSALYA, AND A. VADIVEL<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, fuzzy topological BRK-subalgebras of a BRK-algebras is introduced. Also, fuzzy topological BRK-ideals in BRK-algebras is also introduced and discussed some of their properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Imai and Iseki [4] subjected two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras in the year of 1996. In 1983, the notion of a BCH-algebra was introduced by Hu and Li [3], which is a generalization of BCK and BCIalgebras. In 2002, a new notion B-algebra was introduced by Neggers and Kim [9]. Also a BF-algebra and BG-algebra was introduced by Walendziak [13] in 2007 and C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim [6], which is a generalization of Balgebra. The concept of a fuzzy set was introduced in [14], provides a general topology called fuzzy topological spaces. The structure of a fuzzy topological spaces by D. H. Foster [2] combined with a fuzzy group. A. Rosenfeld [11] has formulate the elements of a theory of fuzzy topological groups. In 2012, R. K. Bandaru [10] introduced BRK-algebra, which is a generalization of BCK/BCI/BCH/Q/QS/BM-algebras [5,7,8]. In [1], El-Gendy introduced the notion of fuzzy BRK-ideal of BRK-algebra. S. Sivakumar et al. introduced a topology on BRK-algebra [12] and also studied several concepts. In the present paper,  $f \tau BRK SA$  is introduced in a BRK-algebras.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 94D05, 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases. BRK Alg, fBRK Cts,  $f\tau BRK SA$ ,  $f\tau BRKI$ .

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** [10] A BRK-algebra (briefly, BRK Alg)  $(I, \star, 0)$  is a non-empty set I with a constant 0 and a binary operation  $\star$  satisfying the following axioms:

$$(BRK_1) i_1 \star 0 = i_1,$$

$$(BRK_2) (i_1 \star i_2) \star i_1 = 0 \star i_2$$

for any  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . In a *BRK* Alg I,  $\leq$  a partially ordered relation can be defined by  $i_1 \leq i_2$  iff  $i_1 \star i_2 = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [10] Let I be a BRK Alg and  $a \in I$ . Define a right map  $R_a : I \rightarrow I$  by  $R_a(i) = i \star a \forall i \in I$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [12] Let  $(I, \star, 0)$  be a BRK Alg and  $\tau$  a topology on I. Then  $I = (I, \star, 0, \tau)$  is called a topological BRK Alg (briefly,  $\tau BRK$  Alg), if " $\star$ " is continuous or equivalently, for any  $m, n \in X$  and  $\forall O$  open set of  $m \star n$ ,  $\exists$  two open sets M&N respectively, such that  $M \star N$  is a subset of O.

**Definition 2.4.** [12] Let a non-empty subset M of a  $\tau BRK$  Alg I, then M is called  $\tau BRK$ -subalgebra (briefly,  $\tau BRK$  sub Alg) of I if

$$i_1 \star i_2 \in M \ \forall \ i_1, i_2 \in M.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [12] Let I be a  $\tau BRK$  Alg and D be a subset of I, then D is called a  $\tau BRK$ -ideal (briefly,  $\tau BRK$  I) of I, if for any  $i_{11}, i_{22} \in I$ :

- (*i*)  $0 \in D$ ,
- (*ii*)  $0 \star (i_{11} \star i_{22}) \in D$  and  $0 \star i_{22} \in D$  imply  $i_{11}, i_{22} \in I$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [1] Let I be a set. A function  $\mu_I : I \to [0, 1]$  where  $\mu_I$  a fuzzy set in I.

**Definition 2.7.** [2] A fuzzy topology (briefly, ft) on a set I is a family  $\tau$  of fuzzy subsets in I satisfies

- (i)  $\forall c \in [0,1], K_c \in \tau$ , where  $K_c$  have constant membership functions with the value c,
- (*ii*) If  $K, L \in \tau$ , then  $K \cap L \in \tau$ ,
- (*iii*) If  $K_j \in \tau \ \forall \ j \in J$ , then  $\bigcup_{j \in J} K_j \in \tau$ .

The pair  $(I, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy topological space (briefly, fts) and members of  $\tau$  are fuzzy open (briefly,  $\tau fo$ ) subsets.

**Definition 2.8.** [2] Let M be a fuzzy subset in I and  $\tau$  a ft on I. Then the induced ft on M is the family of fuzzy subsets of M which are the intersection with M of  $\tau$  fo subsets in I. The induced ft is denoted by  $\tau_M$  and the pair  $(M, \tau_M)$  is called a fuzzy subspace of  $(I, \tau)$ .

## **3.** FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL BRK-SUBALGEBRAS

**Definition 3.1.** The pair  $(I, \tau)$  is called a *fts*, then it satisfies a *BRK* Alg properties in  $(I, \star, 0, \tau)$  it is called a *fuzzy BRK* topological spaces (briefly, *fBRKts*) and members of  $\tau$  are *BRK*-open *fuzzy* (briefly, *BRK* of) sets.

**Definition 3.2.** A fuzzy subset K in a BRK Alg,  $(I, \star, 0)$  with membership function  $\mu_K$  is called a fuzzy BRK-subalgebra (briefly, fBRK SA) of I if

$$(\forall i_1, i_2 \in I) [\mu_K(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2)) \ge \min\{\mu_K(0 \star i_1), \mu_K(0 \star i_2)\}].$$

**Example 1.** Let  $(I = \{0, a_{a_1}, a_{a_2}, a_{a_3}\}, \star, 0)$  be a BRK Alg defined by

*	0	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$
0	0	0	$a_2$	$a_2$
$a_1$	$a_1$	0	$a_2$	$a_2$
$a_2$	$a_2$	$a_2$	0	0
$a_3$	$a_3$	$a_3$	$a_1$	0

A fuzzy subset K in I defined by  $\mu_K(a_3) = 0.4$  and  $\mu_K(a_x) = 0.8$  for all  $a_x \neq a_3$  is a fBRK SA of I.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(I, \tau)$  and  $(J, \tau')$  be two fts's. A mapping  $\psi$  of  $(I, \tau)$  into  $(J, \tau')$  is fuzzy BRK continuous (briefly, fBRK Cts) if for each fos U in  $\tau'$ , the inverse image  $\psi^{-1}(U)$  is fBRKo in  $\tau$ . Conversely,  $\psi$  is fuzzy BRK open (briefly, fBRKO) if for each fos V in  $\tau$ , the image  $\psi(V)$  is fBRKo in  $\tau'$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(A, \tau_A)$  and  $(B, \tau'_B)$  be fuzzy subspaces of fts's  $(I, \tau)$  and  $(J, \tau')$ respectively and let a map  $\psi : (I, \tau) \to (J, \tau')$ . Then a mapping  $\psi$  of  $(A, \tau_A)$  into  $(B, \tau'_B)$  if  $\psi(A) \subset B$ . Furthermore  $\psi$  is relatively fuzzy BRK continuous (briefly, rfBRK Cts) if for each fos V' in  $\tau'_B$ , the intersection  $\psi^{-1}(V') \cap A$  is fBRKo in  $\tau_A$ . Conversely,  $\psi$  is relatively fuzzy BRK open (briefly, rfBRKO) if for each fos U' in  $\tau_A$ , the image  $\psi(U')$  is fBRKo in  $\tau'_B$ . **Proposition 3.1.** Let  $(A, \tau_A), (B, \tau'_B)$  be fuzzy subspaces of fBRKts's I and J respectively. Let  $\psi$  be a fBRK Cts mapping of  $(I, \tau)$  into  $(J, \tau')$  such that  $\psi(A) \subset B$ . Then  $\psi$  is a rfBRK Cts mapping of  $(A, \tau_A)$  into  $(B, \tau'_B)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of a *BRK Alg's I* into *J* and *S* a *fBRK SA* of *J* with membership function  $\mu_S$ . Then the inverse image  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  of *S* is a *fBRK SA* of *I*.

*Proof.* Let  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Then

6372

$$\mu_{\psi^{-1}(S)}(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2)) = \mu_S(\psi(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2))) = \mu_S(\psi(0 \star i_1)\psi(0 \star i_2))$$
  

$$\geq \min\{\mu_S(\psi(0 \star i_1)), \mu_S(\psi(0 \star i_2))\}$$
  

$$= \min\{\mu_{\psi^{-1}(S)}(0 \star i_1), \mu_{\psi^{-1}(S)}(0 \star i_2)\}.$$

This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.5.** A fuzzy subset K in a BRK Alg I with  $\mu_K$  is said to be fuzzy BRK-sup property (briefly, fBRK SP) if for any subset  $P \subset I$ , there exists  $p_0 \in P$  such that

(3.1) 
$$\mu_K(0 \star p_0) = \sup_{p \in P} \mu_K(0 \star p).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of a *BRK Alg's I* onto *J* and let *S* be a *fBRK SA* of *I* that has the *fBRK SP*. Then the image  $\psi(K)$  of *K* is a *fBRK SA* of *J*.

*Proof.* For  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ , let  $x_0 \in \psi^{-1}(j_1)$ ;  $y_0 \in \psi^{-1}(j_2)$  such that

$$\mu_K(0 \star x_0) = \sup_{t \in \psi^{-1}(j_1)} \mu_K(0 \star t),$$
$$\mu_K(0 \star y_0) = \sup_{t \in \psi^{-1}(j_2)} \mu_K(0 \star t).$$

Then, by  $\mu_{\psi}(0 \star K)$ ,

$$\mu_{\psi(K)}(0 \star (j_1 \star j_2)) = \sup t \in \psi^{-1}(j_1 j_2) \mu_K(0 \star t) \ge \mu_K(0 \star (x_0 \star y_0))$$
  

$$\ge \min\{\mu_K(0 \star x_0), \mu_K(0 \star y_0)\}$$
  

$$= \min\{\sup_{t \in \psi^{-1}(j_1)} \mu_K(0 \star t), \sup_{t \in \psi^{-1}(j_2)} \mu_K(0 \star t)\}$$
  

$$= \min\{\mu_{\psi(K)}(0 \star j_1), \mu_{\psi(K)}(0 \star j_2)\},$$

ending the proof.

**Definition 3.6.** Let I be a BRK Alg and  $\tau$  a ft on I. Let S be a fBRK SA of I with induced topology  $\tau_S$ . Then S is called a fuzzy topological BRK-subalgebra (briefly,  $f\tau BRK$  SA) of I if  $\forall a \in I$  the mapping  $R_a : (0 \star i) \rightarrow (i \star a)$  of  $(S, \tau_S) \rightarrow (S, \tau_S)$  is rfBRK Cts.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let I, J be a BRK Alg's and a homomorphism  $\psi : I \to J$ . let  $\tau$ and  $\tau'$  be ft's on I & J respectively, such that  $\tau = \psi^{-1}(\tau')$ . Let S be a  $f\tau BRK SA$ of J with  $\mu_S$ . Then  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  is a  $f\tau BRK SA$  of I with  $\mu_{\psi^{-1}(S)}$ .

*Proof.* To show that,  $\forall a \in I$ , the mapping

(3.2) 
$$R_a: (0 \star i) \to (i \star a) \text{ of } (\psi^{-1}(S), \tau_{\psi^{-1}}(S)) \to (\psi^{-1}(S), T_{\psi^{-1}}(S))$$

is rfBRK Cts. Let  $U_0$  be an fBRKo set in  $\tau_{\psi^{-1}}(S)$  on  $\psi^{-1}(S)$ . Since  $\psi$  is a fBRK Cts mapping of  $(I, \tau)$  into  $(J, \tau')$ , it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $\psi$  is a rfBRK Cts mapping of  $(\psi^{-1}(S), \tau_{\psi^{-1}}(S))$  into  $(S, \tau'_S)$ .

Note that  $\exists fos V_0 \in \tau'_S \ni \psi^{-1}(V_0) = U_0$ . The membership function of  $R_a^{-1}(U_0)$  is given by

(3.3) 
$$\mu_{R_a^{-1}(U_0)}(0 \star i) = \mu_{U_0}(R_a(0 \star i)) = \mu_{U_0}(i \star a) = \mu_{\psi^{-1}(V_0)}(i \star a)$$
$$= \mu_{V_0}(\psi(i \star a)) = \mu_{V_0}(\psi(0 \star i)\psi(0 \star a)).$$

As S is a  $f \tau BRK SA$  of J, the mapping

(3.4) 
$$R_b: (0 \star j) \to (j \star b) \text{ of } (S, \tau'_S) \to (S, \tau'_S)$$

is rfBRK Cts for each  $b \in J$ . Hence

$$\mu_{R_a^{-1}(U_0)}(0 \star i) = \mu_{V_0}(\psi(0 \star i)\psi(0 \star R_a)) = \mu_{V_0}(\psi(0 \star R_a)(\psi(0 \star i)))$$
$$= \mu_{\psi(0 \star R_a)^{-1}(V_0)}(\psi(0 \star i)) = \mu_{\psi^{-1}(\psi(R_a)^{-1}(V_0))}(0 \star i),$$

which implies that  $R_a^{-1}(U_0) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(a)_r^{-1}(V_0))$  so that

(3.5) 
$$R_a^{-1}(U_0) \cap \psi^{-1}(S) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(R_a)^{-1}(V_0)) \cap \psi^{-1}(S)$$

is open in the induced ft on  $\psi^{-1}(S)$ . The proof is complete.

We say that  $\mu_S$  of a fBRK SA S of a BRK Alg I is  $\psi$ -invariant [11] if  $\forall i_1, i_2 \in I$ ,  $\psi(i_1) = \psi(i_2)$  implies  $\mu_S(0 \star i_1) = \mu_S(0 \star i_2)$ . Clearly,  $\psi(S)$  of S is a homomorphic image then a fBRK SA.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let I, J be a BRK Alg's and a homomorphism  $\psi$  of I onto J, let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be a ft's on  $I \& J \ni \psi(\tau) = \tau'$ . Let S be a  $f\tau BRK SA$  of I. If  $\mu_S$  of S is  $\psi$ -invariant, then  $\psi(S)$  is a  $f\tau BRK SA$  of J.

Proof. It is sufficient that,

(3.6) 
$$R_b: (0 \star j) \to (j \star b) \text{ of } (\psi(S), \tau'_{\psi(S)}) \to (\psi(S), \tau'_{\psi(S)})$$

is  $rfBRK \ Cts$  for each  $b \in J$ . Note that  $\psi$  is rfBRKO for if  $U_0' \in \tau_S$ , there exists  $U_0 \in \tau \ni U_0' = U_0 \cap S$  and by the  $\psi$ -invariance of  $\mu_S$ ,

(3.7) 
$$\psi(U_0) = \psi(U_0) \cap \psi(S) \in \tau'_{\psi(S)}$$

Let  $V_0'$  be a fos in  $\tau'_{\psi(S)}$ . Since  $\psi$  is onto,  $\forall b \in J \exists a \in I$  such that  $b = \psi(a)$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\psi^{-1}(R_b^{-1}(V_0'))}(0\star i) &= \mu_{\psi^{-1}(\psi(R_a)^{-1}(V_0'))}(0\star i) = \mu_{\psi(R_a)^{-1}(V_0')}(\psi(0\star i)) \\ &= \mu'_{V_0}(\psi(0\star R_a)(\psi(0\star i))) = \mu'_{V_0}(\psi(0\star i)\psi(0\star R_a)) \\ &= \mu'_{V_0}(\psi(0\star (i\star R_a))) = \mu_{\psi^{-1}(V_0')}(0\star (i\star R_a)) \\ &= \mu_{\psi^{-1}(V_0')}(R_a\star (0\star i)) = \mu_{R_a^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(V_0'))}(0\star i), \end{split}$$

which implies that  $\psi^{-1}(R_b^{-1}(V_0')) = R_a^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(V_0')).$ 

By hypothesis,  $R_a : (0 \star i) \to (0 \star (i \star a))$  is a rfBRK Cts mapping  $(S, \tau_S) \to (S, \tau_S)$  and  $\psi$  is a rfBRK Cts mapping  $(S, \tau_S) \to (\psi(S), \tau'_{\psi(S)})$ . Hence

(3.8) 
$$\psi^{-1}(R_b^{-1}(V_0')) \cap T = R_a^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(V_0')) \cap S$$

is open in  $\tau_S$ . Since  $\psi$  is rfBRKO,

(3.9) 
$$\psi(\psi^{-1}(R_b^{-1}(V_0')) \cap S) = R_b^{-1}(V_0') \cap \psi(S)$$

is fBRKo in  $\tau'_{\psi(S)}$ . The proof is complete.

# 4. Fuzzy topological BRK-ideals

**Definition 4.1.** A fuzzy subset K in I with  $\mu_K$  is called a fuzzy BRK-ideal (briefly, fBRKI) of I if

- (i)  $(\forall i_1 \in I), [\mu_K(0 \star 0) \ge \mu_K(0 \star i_1)],$
- (*ii*)  $(\forall i_1, i_2 \in I), [\mu_K(0 \star i_1) \ge \min\{\mu_K(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2)), (\mu_K(0 \star i_2))\}].$

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of a *BRK Alg's I* into *J* and *L* is a *fBRKI* of *J* with  $\mu_L$ . Then the inverse image  $\psi^{-1}(L)$  of *L* is a *fBRKI* of *I*.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of  $(I, \star, 0)$  into  $(J, \star', 0')$ , then  $\psi(0) = 0'$ . By assumption,

(4.1) 
$$\mu_L(\psi(0\star 0)) = \mu_L(0\star 0') \ge \mu_L(0\star j), \ \forall \ j \in J.$$

In particular,  $\mu_L(\psi(0 \star 0)) \ge \mu_L(\psi(0 \star i)) \forall i \in I$ . Thus

(4.2) 
$$\mu_{\psi^{-1}(L)}(0 \star 0) \ge \mu_{\psi^{-1}(L)}(0 \star i),$$

which proves (i).

Now, let  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Then by  $\mu_L$ ,

$$\mu_{\psi^{-1}(L)}(0 \star i_1) = \mu_L(\psi(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2))) = \mu_L(\psi(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2))\psi(0 \star i_2))$$
  

$$\geq \min\{\mu_L(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2)), \mu_L(0 \star i_2)\}$$

which proves (ii). The proof is complete.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $(I, \star, 0, \tau)$  be a  $\tau BRK$  Alg. A fuzzy set  $\mu_I$  in I is called an fuzzy topological BRK-ideal (briefly,  $f\tau BRKI$ ) of I if

(4.3) 
$$(BRKI_1) \mu_I(0) \ge \mu_I(i_0),$$

(4.4)  $(BRKI_2) \mu_I(0 \star i_1) \ge \min\{\mu_I(0 \star (i_1 \star i_2)), \mu_I(0 \star i_2)\}, \forall i_1, i_2 \in I.$ 

Since any fBRK I is a fBRK SA, then a  $f\tau BRK I$  is a  $f\tau BRK SA$  and from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1, we obtain a corollary 4.1.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let I, J be a *BRK* Alg's and a homomorphism  $\psi : I \to J$ , let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be ft's on I & J respectively, such that  $\tau = \psi^{-1}(\tau')$ . Let H be a  $f\tau BRKI$  of J with  $\mu_H$ . Then  $\psi^{-1}(H)$  is a  $f\tau BRKI$  of I with  $\mu_{\psi^{-1}}(H)$ .

If  $\mu_H$  of a *fBRKI H* of a *BRK Alg I* is  $\psi$ -invariant, then  $\psi(H)$  of *H* is a homomorphic image of a *fBRKI*. Thus Theorem 3.2 follows a Corollary 4.2.

**Corollary 4.2.** Given I, J be a BRK Alg's and a homomorphism  $\psi$  of I onto J, let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be a ft's on I and J such that  $\psi(\tau) = \tau'$ . Let H be a  $f\tau BRKI$  of I. If  $\mu_H$  of H is  $\psi$ -invariant, then  $\psi(H)$  is a  $f\tau BRKI$  of J.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions for improvement of the original manuscript.

#### S. SIVAKUMAR, S. KOUSALYA, AND A. VADIVEL

#### References

- [1] O. R. EL-GENDY: *Fuzzy BRK-ideal of BRK-algebra*, JP Journal of algebra, Number theory & appl, **36** (3) (2015), 231–240.
- [2] D. H. FOSTER: Fuzzy topological group, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 67 (1979), 549--564.
- [3] Q. P. HU AND X. LI: On BCH-algebras, Mathematics Seminar Notes, 11 (1983), 313– 320.
- [4] Y. IMAI AND K. ISEKI: On axiom systems of propositional calculi., XIV Proceedings of the Japan Academy, **42** (1966), 19–22.
- [5] Y. B. JUN, E. H. ROH, AND H. S. KIM: On *BH*-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonica, **1** (3) (1998), 347–354.
- [6] C. B. KIM AND H. S. KIM: On BG-algebras, Demonstratio Mathematica, 41 (3) (2008), 497–505.
- [7] C. B. KIM AND H. S. KIM: On BM-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 63 (3) (2006), 421–427.
- [8] J. NEGGERS, S. S. AHN, AND H. S. KIM: On Q-algebras, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 27 (12) (2001), 749–757.
- [9] J. NEGGERS AND H. S. KIM: On B-algebras, Mathematica Vensik, 54 (2002), 21–29.
- [10] RAVI KUMAR BANDARU: *On BRK-algebras*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, (2012), 1–12.
- [11] A. ROSENFELD: Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35 (1971), 512--517.
- [12] S. SIVAKUMAR, S. KOUSALYA, R. VIKRAMA PRASAD AND A. VADIVEL: *Topological structures on BRK-algebras*, Journal of Engineering Sciences, **10** (11) (2019), 459–471.
- [13] A.WALENDZIAK: On BF-algebras, Mathematica Slovaca, 57 (2) (2007), 119–128.
- [14] L. A. ZADEH: Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, 8 (1965), 338–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE, CHIDAMBARAM, TAMIL NADU - 608 102, INDIA.

Email address: ssk.2012@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIDYAA VIKAS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, TIRUCHENGODE, TAMIL NADU - 637 214, INDIA.

Email address: kousalyavaasan@gmail.com

PG AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS), KARUR - 639 005; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAI NA-GAR - 608 002, INDIA.

Email address: avmaths@gmail.com