ADV MATH SCI JOURNAL Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.9, 7643–7662 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.9.109

# GENERALIZATION OF WEAKLY G-EXPANSIVE AND WEAKLY G-CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

# IBRAHIM OMER AHMED, AHMAD SAROSH, NAEEM AHMAD, AND SID AHMED OULD AHMED MAHMOUD<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. The manuscript is devoted to investigation of generalized contractive and expansive mappings in G-metric spaces. We define the (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces, which are extensions of (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-contractive mappings in metric spaces recently introduced by the forth named author in [16] and [17]. Some of basic properties of these classes of mappings are given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of a generalized metric (or G-metric) space is a generalization of usual metric spaces and it is introduced by Mustafa and Sims [7], [8] and [9] in the year 2004. For more results on G-metric spaces and fixed points results, we refer the interested reader to [4,9–12, 15, 18].

**Definition 1.1.** [8] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a non-empty set and let  $G : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z.
- (2) 0 < G(x, x, y) for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $x \neq y$ .
- (3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $y \neq z$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54E40, 47B99.

*Key words and phrases.* metric space, G-metric space, (m; p)-isometric, expansive map , hyperexpansive map.

(4) G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = · · · (symmetry in all three variables)
(5) G(x, y, z) ≤ G(x, a, a)+G(a, y, z) for all x, y, z, a ∈ X, (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a generalized metric or a G-metric on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  is called a G-metric space.

The study of expansive and contractive mappings in generalized metric space is a very interesting research area in fixed point theory.

Let S be a self mapping on a G-metric  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  space. Then S is called G-expansive if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$  such that for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ , we have

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \ge \alpha G(x, y, z)$$

(see [11]). S is said to be weakly G-expansive mapping if for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ ,

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \ge G(x, y, z).$$

A self mapping *S* of *G*-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  is said to be *G*-contractive if there exists a constant  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ , we have

 $G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \le \beta G(x, y, z).$ 

S is said to be weakly G-contractive if for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ ,

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \le G(x, y, z)$$

**Definition 1.2.** [6] Let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space. We say that  $(x_n)_n$  is

(i) a G-Cauchy sequence if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

 $\forall (n,m,l) \in \mathbb{N}^3: n,m,l \ge n_0 \Longrightarrow G(x_n,x_m,x_l) < \epsilon.$ 

(ii) a G-convergent sequence to  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  if, for an  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

 $\forall (n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : n,m \ge n_0 \implies G(x_n,x_m,x) < \epsilon.$ 

(iii)  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  is said to be complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  is G-convergent in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [7] Let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space. A mapping  $S : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  is said to be *G*-continuous if  $(Sx_n)_n$  is *G*-convergent to Sx whenever  $(x_n)_n$  is *G*-convergent to x.

In recent work T. Bermúdez et. al. introduced and studied the concept of (m, q)-isometric maps on metric spaces.

**Definition 1.4.** [5] Let (E, d) be a metric space. A map  $S : E \longrightarrow E$  is called an (m, q)-isometry, ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in (0, \infty)$ ) if, for all  $x, y \in E$ 

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d \left( S^{m-k} x, S^{m-k} y \right)^q = 0.$$

Very recently, in papers [16] and [17], the author introduced and studied a classes of mappings acting on a metric space, called (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-hyperexpansive. Given a map S on a metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  into itself, set

$$\Theta_m^{(p)}(d,S;x,y) := \sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} d\left(S^k x, S^k y\right)^p, \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . The map S is said to be (m, p)-expansive if

 $\Theta_m^{(p)}(d, S; x, y) \le 0.$ 

When

$$\Theta_k^{(p)}(d, S; x, y) \le 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \cdots, m\},\$$

we say that S is (m, p)-hyperexpansive. Moreover if  $\Theta_m^{(p)}(d, S; x, y) \ge 0$ , we say that S is (m, p)-contractive and if S is (k, p)-contractive for all positive integer  $k \le m$ , the map S is (m, p)-hypercontractive. If  $\Theta_m^{(p)}(d, S; x, y) = 0$  for all x, y, the map S is said to be an (m, p)-isometry.

## 2. (m, p)-expansive and (m, p)-contractive mappings in G- metric space

In the following, let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space,  $S : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . We define the quantity for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$  by

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) := \sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} G\left(S^k x, S^k y, S^k z\right)^p.$$

The concept of (m, p)-isometric mappings on *G*-metric spaces was introduced and studied by A.M.Ahmadi in [2].

**Definition 2.1.** [2]  $S : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is an (m, p)-G-isometric mapping if and only if S satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) = 0 \quad \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

**Remark 2.1.** Observe that if S is a self map of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  then

- (i) S is an (1, p)-G-isometric if  $G(Sx, Sy, Sz) = G(x, y, z) \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ .
- (ii) S is an (2, p)-G-isometric if

$$G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 2G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} + G(x, y, z)^{p} = 0 \quad \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^{3}.$$

Some properties of (2, 1)-G-isometric mappings have been proved in [13].

The following definition describes the families of maps we will study in this paper.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space and let  $S : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map. We say that:

- (i) S is (m, p)-G-expansive if  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0 \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3;$
- (ii) S is (m,p)-G-hyperexpansive if  $\mathcal{P}_k^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0 \ \forall \ k = 1, \cdots, m$  and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ ;
- (iii) S is completely p-G-hyperexpansive if  $\mathcal{P}_k^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0 \ \forall \ k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space and let  $S : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a map. We say that

- (i) S is (m, p)-G-contractive if  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \ge 0 \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3;$
- (ii) S is (m,p)-G-hypercontractive if  $\mathcal{P}_k^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ ;
- (iii) S is completely p-G-hypercontractive if S is (k, p)-G-contractive for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **Remark 2.2.** (i) For any  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , (1, p)-G-expansive mappings S coincides with weakly expansive; that is,

 $G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \ge G(x, y, z)$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ .

(ii) For any  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , (1, p)-G-contractive mappings coincide with weakly contractive; that is,

 $G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ .

(iii) The case of (m, p)-G-isometries is the intersection of the class of (m, p)-G-expansive maps and the class of (m, p)-G-contractive maps.

We consider the following examples of (m, p)-*G*-expansive mapping and (m, p)-*G*-contractive mapping which are not (m, p)-*G*-isometric mapping.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = [0, \infty)$  be equipped with the *G*-metric defined as follows:

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z| \quad \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

Define  $S : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  by Sx = 3x. Then by straightforward calculation, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} G(S^{k}x,S^{k}y,S^{k}x)^{p} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left( |S^{k}x - S^{k}y| + |S^{k}x - S^{k}z| + |S^{k}y - S^{k}z| \right)^{p} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (3^{p})^{k} \left( |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z| \right)^{p} \\ &= (1 - 3^{p})^{m} \left( |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z| \right)^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, S is a (m, p)-G-expansive map for positive odd integer m and a (m, p)-G-contractive map for positive even integer m.

**Example 2.**  $\mathcal{X} = [0,1]$  and G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x| be a *G*-metric on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Define the map *S* as follows  $Sx = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}x^2$ . Clearly  $S\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X}$ , and *S* is contractive on  $\mathcal{X}$ , since

$$\begin{split} & G(Sx, Sy, Sz) \\ = & |\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{4}y^2| + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{1}{4}z^2| + |\frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{4}z^2 - \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{4}y^2| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}|x - y| + \frac{1}{4}|x - y||x + y| + \frac{1}{2}|x - z| + \frac{1}{4}|x - z||x + z| + \frac{1}{2}|z - y| + \frac{1}{4}|z - y||z + y| \\ < & \frac{1}{2}|x - y| + \frac{1}{2}|x - y| + \frac{1}{2}|x - z| + \frac{1}{2}|x - z| + \frac{1}{2}|z - y| + \frac{1}{2}|z - y| \\ & = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z|. \end{split}$$

Thus S is a weakly contractive mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The following example shows that, in general, the *G*-expansiveness of a map *S* does not necessarily imply the (m, p)-*G*-expansiveness of *S* for  $m \ge 2$ .

**Example 3.** Consider the usual *G*-metric G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z| on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $S : (\mathbb{R}, G) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, G)$  defined by Sx = 3x + 2. Then by a straightforward

calculation, one can show that

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz) = |Sx - Sy| + |Sx - Sz| + |Sy - Sz|)$$
  
=  $3(|x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z|) \ge G(x, y, z)$ 

and

$$G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 2G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} + G(x, y, z)^{p}$$
  
=  $3^{2p}G(x, y, z)^{p} - 2.3^{p}G(x, y, z)^{p} + G(x, y, z)^{p} = (3^{p} - 1)^{2}G(x, y, z)^{p} \ge 0.$ 

Now we conclude that S is (1, p)-G-expansive but it fails to be an (2, p)-G-expansive. However, S is (2, p)-G-contractive but it is not (1, p)-G-contractive.

In same way, we have the similar example.

**Example 4.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  be a *G*-metric space. The map  $d_G : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$d_G(x,y) = \left( G(x,y,y)^p + G(y,y,x)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \ p > 0$$

is a metric on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let S is a self map of the G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X},G)$ ). If S is a (m,p)-G-expansive (resp. (m,p)-G-contractive), then S is a (m,p)-expansive (resp. (m,p)-contractive in  $(\mathcal{X},d_G)$ .)

## Remark 2.3.

 $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \le 0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z) \le 0, \ \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3, \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$ 

# Remark 2.4.

- (i) A self mapping S for a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  is (m, p)-G-hyperexpansive if S is (k, p)-G-expansive for all positive integers  $k \leq m$ , and S is completely p-G-hyperexpansive if it is (m, p)-G-expansive for all positive integers m.
- (ii) A self mapping S for a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  is (m, p)-G-hypercontractive if S is (k, p)-G-contractive for all positive integers  $k \leq m$ , and S is completely p-G-hypercontractive if it is (m, p)-G-contractive for all positive integers m.

We let the difference operator  $\Psi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by the formula

$$\nabla \Psi(t) = \Psi(t) - \Psi(t+1).$$

Observe that the relations

$$\nabla^0 \Psi = \Psi, \quad \nabla^n \Psi = \nabla \nabla^{n-1} \Psi$$

inductively define  $\nabla^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (1) A real map  $\Psi$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to be completely monotone if  $(\nabla^n \Psi)(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ .
- (2) A real map Ψ on N is said to be completely alternating if (∇<sup>n</sup>Ψ)(t) ≤ 0 for all t ≥ 0 and n ≥ 1.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let S be a self map of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  and  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . The following statements hold:

- (i) S is an completely- p-G-hyperexpansive if and only if, the map Ψ<sub>x, y, z</sub> :
   N → ℝ defined by Ψ<sub>x, y, z</sub>(n) = G(S<sup>n</sup>x, S<sup>n</sup>y, S<sup>n</sup>z)<sup>p</sup> for every (x, y, z) ∈ X<sup>3</sup>,
   is completely alternating.
- (ii) S is completely-p-G-hypercontractive if and only if, the map  $\Psi_{x, y, z} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Psi_{x, y, z}(n) = G(S^n x, S^n y, S^n z)^p$  for every  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ , is completely monotone.

Proof. By [3, Proposition 1.1, Proposition 1.2] we know that a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is completely alternating if and only if  $\sum_{0 \le k \le n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \Phi(m+k) \le 0 \quad \forall \ m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and it is completely monotone if and only if  $\sum_{0 \le k \le n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \Phi(m+k) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Choosing  $\Phi = \Psi_{x, \ y, \ z}$ , thus, the statements (i) and (ii) to be proved follow immediately.

**Proposition 2.2.** For a self map S of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ , and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ , the following identity holds:

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) = \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) - \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; Sx, Sy, Sz).$$

*Proof.* We can use the standard binomial formula  $\binom{m}{j} = \binom{m-1}{j} + \binom{m-1}{j-1}$  to prove the needed formula. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} (-1)^{k} \binom{m}{k} G(S^{k}x,S^{k}y,S^{k}z)^{p} \\ &= G(x,y,z)^{p} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} (-1)^{k} \binom{m}{k} G(S^{k}x,S^{k}y,S^{k}z)^{p} + (-1)^{m} G(S^{m}x,S^{m}y,S^{m}z)^{p} \\ &= G(x,y,z)^{p} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} (-1)^{k} \left\{ \binom{m-1}{k} + \binom{m-1}{k-1} \right\} G(S^{k}x,S^{k}y,S^{k}z)^{p} + \\ &+ (-1)^{m} G(S^{m}x,S^{m}y,S^{m}z)^{p} \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) - \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S;Sx,Sy,Sz). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let S be a self map of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . If S is (m, p)-G-expansive map for some  $m \ge 2$  and it is (2, p)-G-hyperexpansive, then S is (m-1, p)-G-expansive.

*Proof.* Since S is a (2,p)-G-hyperexpansive map it follows that for all  $(x,y,z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ 

$$G(x, y, z)^{p} - G(Sx, Sy Sz)^{p} \le 0$$

and

$$G(x, y, z)^{p} - 2G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} + G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} \le 0$$

or equivalently

$$G(S^2x, S^2y, S^2z)^p - G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p \le G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p - G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p.$$

Now, we prove that  $\left(G\left(S^{n+1}x, S^{n+1}y, S^{n+1}z\right)^p - G\left(S^nx, S^ny, S^nz\right)^p\right)_{n\geq 0}$  is convergent. In fact, observe that this real sequence is monotonically non-increasing and bounded, so that it is convergent. Then there exists a positive constant K such that

$$G(S^{n+1}x, S^{n+1}y, S^{n+1}z)^p - G(S^nx, S^ny, S^nz)^p \to K \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

7651

Under the assumption  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$  and  $m \geq 2$  it follows by using (2.1) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(x,y,z) \le \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S;Sx,Sy,Sz),$$

By repeating the process we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \le \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z), \ n \ge 1.$$

Now to prove this desired result, it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(T;T^nx,T^ny,T^nz)\to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Here we note that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) = \mathcal{P}_{m-2}^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) - \mathcal{P}_{m-2}^{(p)}(S;Sx,Sy,Sz),$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z) = \sum_{0 \le j \le m-2} (-1)^j \binom{m-2}{j} \left[ G\left(S^{n+j} x, S^{n+j} y, S^{n+j} z\right)^p - G\left(S^{n+1+j} x, S^{n+1+j} y, S^{n+1+j} z\right)^p \right]$$

Letting  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  in the preceding equality leads to

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S;S^nx,S^ny,S^nz)\longrightarrow \sum_{0\leq j\leq m-2}(-1)^j\binom{m-2}{j}K=0.$$

From which we deduce that  $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(T; x, y, z) \leq 0$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ . Consequently, S is an (m, p)-G-expansive map and the proof is complete.

The following example shows that Theorem 2.1 is not necessarily true if S is not (2, p)-hyperexpansive.

**Example 5.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$  (the real line) and define the map  $\tilde{G} : \mathcal{X}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  as follows:

$$\widetilde{G}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \frac{1}{4}|\alpha-\beta| + \frac{1}{4}|\alpha-\gamma| + \frac{1}{4}|\beta-\gamma| \ (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

Define  $S : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  by Sx = 3 + 2x. Then by a straightforward calculation, we show that S is a (5, p)-G-expansive but it fails to be a (4, p)-G-expansive.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let S be a self maps of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . Assume that S satisfies

$$G(S^2x, S^2y, S^2z) = G(Sx, Sy, Sz)$$
 for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ .

Then the following properties hold

- (i) S is (m, p)-G-expansive for positive integer m if and only if S is weakly expansive.
- (ii) S is (m, p)-G-contractive for positive integer m if and only if S is weakly contractive.

*Proof.* Under the assumption on S, it follows that  $G(S^kx, S^ky, S^kz) = G(Sx, Sy, Sz)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ . Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) = G(x, y, z)^p - G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p, \quad \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

It is clear from the foregoing that a sufficient and necessary condition for the sufficient condition for S to be (m, p)-G-expansive (resp. (m, p)-G-contractive) is that S is weakly expansive (resp. weakly contractive).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let S be a self map of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . The following properties hold

- (1) If S is weakly expansive map for which  $S^2 = 0$  then, S is (m, p)-G-expansive.
- (2) If S is (m,p)-G- contractive map for which  $S^2 = 0$  then , S is weakly contractive.

*Proof.* It we assume that  $S^2 = 0$  , we get for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ 

 $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S;x,y,z) = G(x,y,z)^p - mG(Sx,Sy,Sz)^p \le G(x,y,z)^p - G(Tx,Ty,Tz)^p.$ 

(1) If S is weakly expansive, then

$$G(x, y, z) - mG(Sx, Sy, Sz) \le 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \le 0.$$

Thus, we have S is (m, p)-G-expansion.

(2) If  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \ge 0$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(x,y,z) = G(x,y,z)^p - mG(Sx,Sy,Sz)^p \ge 0 \Rightarrow G(x,y,z)^p \ge G((Sx,Sy,Sz)^p.$$

Thus, we have S is weakly contractive.

In the following two theorems, we generalize [16, Proposition 2.8]

**Theorem 2.2.** Let S be a bijective self map of G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . If S is (m, p)-G-expansive map, then the following statements hold

- (i) If m is even, then  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-expansive (resp. G-contractive) map.
- (ii) If m is odd, then  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-contractive (resp. G-expansive) map.

*Proof.* Under the assumption that  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0 \pmod{(\text{resp.} \geq 0)} \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$  we have by a computation stemming essentially from the formula

$$\binom{m}{k} = \binom{m}{m-k}$$
; for  $k = 0, 1, \cdots, m$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S^{-1}; x, y, z) = (-1)^m \mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S; S^{-m}x, S^{-m}y, S^{-m}z).$$

Therefore for even integer m we have  $\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S^{-1}; x, y, z) \leq 0$  (resp.  $\geq 0$ ). Hence,  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-expansive (resp. G-contractive ), and for odd integer  $m \mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S^{-1}; x, y, z) \geq 0$  (resp.  $\geq 0$ ) for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ . Hence,  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-contractive (resp. G-contractive ).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let S be a bijective self map of G-metric space (X, G). If S is (m, p)-G-contractive map, then the following statements hold

- (i) If m is even, then  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-contractive map.
- (ii) If m is odd, then  $S^{-1}$  is (m, p)-G-expansive map.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of the theorem above, hence we omit it here.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.5.** Let S be a map of a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . If S is bijective (2, p)-G-expansive map, then S is (1, p)-G-isometric or G-isometric.

*Proof.* Since S is a (2, p)-G-expansive, we have

$$G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} \leq G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} - G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}.$$

So, it must be the case that

$$G(S^{k+2}x, S^{k+2}y, S^{k+2}z)^{p} - G(S^{k+1}x, S^{k+1}y, S^{k+1}z)^{p}$$
  

$$\leq G(S^{k+1}x, S^{k+1}y, S^{k+1}z)^{p} - G(S^{k}x, S^{k}y, S^{k}z)^{p}, \ k \geq 0.$$

Hence

7654

$$G(S^{n}x, S^{n}y, S^{n}z))^{p} \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left( G(S^{k}x, S^{k}y, S^{k}z)^{p} - G(S^{k-1}x, S^{k-1}y, S^{k-1}z)^{p} \right) + G(x, y, z)^{p} \\ \leq n \left( G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} - G(x, y, z)^{p} \right) + G(x, y, z)^{p} \\ \leq n G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} + (1 - n)G(x, y, z)^{p},$$

which gives,

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p \ge \frac{(1-n)}{n} G(x, y, z)^p \quad \forall \ (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we see that

(2.2) 
$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} \ge G(x, y, z)^{p}, \quad \forall x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^{3}$$

which implies that S is (1, p)-G-expansive or weakly expansive. Moreover, since S is a bijective (2, p)-G-expansive, then by application of Theorem 2.2,  $S^{-1}$  is (2, p)-G-expansive. Thus, we have

$$G\left(S^{-1}u, S^{-1}v, S^{-1}w\right)^p \ge G\left(u, v, w\right)^p \quad \forall \ (u, v, w) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

Thus, we deduce that,

(2.3)  

$$G(S^{-1}Sx, S^{-1}Sy, S^{-1}Sz)^{p} = G(x, y, z)^{p} \ge G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}, \quad \forall \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^{3}$$

Since S satisfies conditions (2.2) and (2.3), then we have

$$G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} = G(x, y, z)^{p} \quad \forall \quad (x, y, z), \in \mathcal{X}^{3}$$

This means that S is a (1, p)-G-isometric or equivalently an G-isometric map. This completes the proof of the proposition.

It is known (see [5]) that a self map S of a metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is power bounded map if

$$\sup\{d(T^nx,T^ny), n=1,2,...\}<\infty$$
 for all  $x,y\in\mathcal{X}$ .

In the following definition we extend this notion to a self map of a *G*-metric space as follows

**Definition 2.4.** Let S be a self map for a G-metric space (X,G). We say that S is G-power bounded if

$$\sup\{ G(S^n x, S^n y, S^n z), n = 1, 2, ... \} < \infty \text{ for all } (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3.$$

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for an (m, p)-G-expansive map (resp.(m, p)-G-contractive) to be (m, p)-G-hyperexpansive (resp. resp. (m, p)-G-hypercontractive).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let S be a self map of G metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . The following statements hold.

- (1) If S is (m, p)-G-expansive and G-power bounded, then S is (m, p)-G-hyperexpansive.
- (2) If S is (m, p)-G-contractive and G-power bounded, then S is (m, p)-G-hypercontractive.

Proof.

(1) It is obvious from the fact that S is (m, p)-G-expansive and (2.1) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \le \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; Sx, Sy, Sz) \le \dots \le \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(T; T^n x, T^n y, T^n z).$$

Using (2.1), we obtain we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^{n}x, S^{n}y, S^{n}z) = \sum_{0 \le k \le m-2} (-1)^{k} \binom{m-2}{k} \left[ \underbrace{G(S^{n+k}x, S^{n+k}y, S^{n+k}z)^{p} - G(S^{n+1+k}x, S^{n+1+k}y, S^{n+1+k}z)^{p}}_{=Q_{n}(x,y,z)} \right]$$

The condition that S is G-power bounded gives for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ the sequence  $(Q_n(x, y, z))_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded, therefore has convergent subsequence  $(Q_{n_k}(x, y, z))_{k\geq 0}$  whose limit is  $l \in \mathbb{R}$ . We conclude that

$$\left[G(S^{n_k+j}x, S^{n_k+j}y, S^{n_k+j}z)^p - G(S^{n_k+1+j}x, S^{n_k+1+j}y, S^{n_k+1+j}z)^p\right] \to l \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

So we get that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S,S^{n_k}x,S^{n_k}y,S^{n_k}z)\to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty.$$

This proves that  $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \leq 0$  and we can apply Definition 2.2 to obtain that S is (m - 1, p)-G-expansive map. Regarding that S is

(m-1,p)-G-expansive and G-power bounded, in similar way we can get  $\mathcal{P}_{m-2}^{(p)}(x,y,z) \leq 0$  for  $(x,y,z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$ . Analogously, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{P}_k^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) \le 0 \text{ for } 1 \le k \le m \text{ and } (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$$

Hence, S is (m, p)-G-hyperexpansive.

(2) Regarding that S is an (m, p)-contractive together (2.1), we observe that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; x, yz) \ge \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; Sx, Sy, Sz) \ge \dots \ge \mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z).$$

Tanking into account (2.1), we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n x) = \mathcal{P}_{m-2}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z) - \mathcal{P}_{m-2}^{(p)}(S; S^{n+1} x, S^{n+1} y, S^{n+1} z),$$

and by a routine calculation, one can verify that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^n x, S^n y, S^n z) = \sum_{0 \le j \le m-2} (-1)^j \binom{m-2}{j} \left[ G\left(S^{n+j} x, S^{n+j} y, S^{n+j} z\right)^p - G\left(S^{n+1+j} x, S^{n+1+j} y, S^{n+1+j} z\right)^p \right].$$

Following the line of the proof of the statement (1) one can easily get

$$\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(S; S^{n_k}x, S^{n_k}y, S^{n_k}z) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

This implies that,  $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}^{(p)}(x, y, z) \geq 0$  and so that, S is (m-1, p)-contractive. By repeating this process, we reach the following inequalities  $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{(p)}(S; x, y, x) \geq 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$  and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^{3}$  which shows that S is an (m, p)-G-hypercontractive.

The following theorem gives a characterization of (3, p)-G-isometric mappings. Our inspiration cames form [14].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let S be a self mapping for a G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . Then S is an (3, p)-G-isometric mapping if and only if S satisfies

(2.4) 
$$G(S^n x, S^n y, S^n z)^p = G(x, y, z)^p + nQ_1(x, y, z) + n^2 Q_2(x, y, z)$$

where

$$Q_2(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( G(S^2 x, S^2 y, S^2 z)^p - 2G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p + G(x, y, z)^p \right)$$

7656

and

$$Q_1(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \bigg( -G(S^2 x, S^2 y, S^2 z)^p + 4G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^p - 3G(x, y, z)^p \bigg).$$

*Proof.* We prove the if part of the theorem. Assume that S satisfies (2.4). For n = 3 we obtain

$$G(S^{3}x, S^{3}y, S^{3}z)^{p}$$

$$= G(x, y, z)^{p} + 3Q_{1}(x, y, z) + 9Q_{2}(x, y, z)$$

$$= G(x, y, z)^{p} + \frac{3}{2} \left( -G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} + 4G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} - 3G(x, y, z)^{p} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{9}{2} \left( G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 2G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} + G(x, y, z)^{p} \right)$$

$$= G(x, y, z)^{p} - 3G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 3G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}.$$

Hence, we have

$$G(S^{3}x, S^{3}y, S^{3}z)^{p} - 3G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} + 3G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} - G(x, y, z)^{p} = 0,$$

and so that, S is an (3, p)-G-isometry.

We prove the only if part. Assume that S is an (3, p)-G-isometry. We prove (2.4) by mathematical induction. For n = 1 it is true. Assume that (2.4) is true for n and prove it for n + 1. Indeed, for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{X}^3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G\left(S^{n+1}x, S^{n+1}y, S^{n+1}z\right)^{p} \\ &= G\left(S^{n}Sx, S^{n}Sy, S^{n}Sz\right)^{p} \\ &= G\left(Sx, Sy, Sz\right)^{p} + nQ_{1}(Sx, Sy, Sz) + n^{2}Q_{2}(Sx, Sy, Sz) \\ &= G\left(Sx, Sy, Sz\right)^{p} + \frac{n}{2} \left(-G(S^{3}x, S^{3}y, S^{3}z)^{p} + 4G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 3G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}\right) \\ &+ \frac{n^{2}}{2} \left(G(S^{3}x, S^{3}y, S^{3}z)^{p} - 2G(S^{2}x, S^{y}, S^{z})^{p} + G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n^{2} - n}{2}\right) G\left(S^{3}x, S^{3}y, S^{3}z\right)^{p} - (n^{2} - 2n) G\left(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z\right)^{p} \\ &+ \left(\frac{n^{2} - 3n + 2}{2}\right) G\left(Sx, Sy, Sz\right)^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact that S is an (3, p)-G-isometry we can obtained

$$\begin{aligned} &G(S^{n+1}x, S^{n+1}y, S^{n+1}z)^{p} \\ &= \left(\frac{n^{2}-n}{2}\right) \left(G(x, y, z)^{p} + 3G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} - 3G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p}\right) \\ &+ - (n^{2} - 2n)G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} \\ &+ \left(\frac{n^{2} - 3n + 2}{2}\right)G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} \\ &= \left(\frac{n^{2} + n}{2}\right)G(S^{2}x, S^{2}y, S^{2}z)^{p} + \left(\frac{-2n^{2} + 2}{2}\right)G(Sx, Sy, Sz)^{p} \\ &+ \left(\frac{n^{2} - n}{2}\right)G(x, y, z)^{p} \\ &= \left(\frac{n^{2} + n}{2}\right)\left(G(x, y, z)^{p} + 2Q_{1}(x, y, z) + 4Q_{2}(x, y, z)\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{-2n^{2} + 2}{2}\right)\left(G(x, y, z)^{p} + Q_{1}(x, y, z) + Q_{2}(x, y, z)\right) + \left(\frac{n^{2} - n}{2}\right)G(x, y, z)^{p} \\ &= G(x, y, z)^{p} + (n + 1)Q_{1}(x, y, z) + (n + 1)^{2}Q_{2}(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}_k, G_k)$  be a *G*-metric space for  $k = 1, 2, \dots n$  and let  $S_k$  be a self mapping for a the *G*-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}_k, G_k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be. Put  $\mathcal{X} = \prod_{1 \le k \le n} \mathcal{X}_k$ 

the product space endowed with the product G-metric defined by

$$G((x_k)_{1 \le n}, ((y_k)_{1 \le n}, z_k)_{1 \le n}) = \left(\sum_{1 \le k \le n} G_k(x_k, y_k, z_k)^p\right)^p, \ p > 0.$$

Define the map  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_d : (\mathcal{X}, G) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, G)$  as follows

$$Sx = (S_1x_1, S_2x_2, \cdots, S_nx_n). \ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n.$$

the following statements hold.

- (i) If each  $S_k$  is an (m, p)-G-isometric mapping, then S is an (m, p)-G-isometric mapping.
- (ii) If each  $S_k$  is an (m, p)-G-expansive mapping, then S is an (m, p)-G-expansive mapping.
- (iii) If each  $S_k$  is an (m, p)-G-contractive mapping, then S is an (m, p)-G-contractive.

7658

7659

- (iv) If  $S_k$  is  $(m_k, p)$ -G-hyperexpansive mapping for  $1 \le k \le n$ , then S is an (m, p)-G-expansive where  $m = \min(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ .
- (v) If  $T_k$  is  $(m_k, p)$ -G-hypercontractive mapping for  $1 \le k \le n$ , then S is an (m, p)-G-contractive where  $m = \min(m_1, \cdots, m_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k)_{1 \le k \le d}$ ,  $y = (y_k)_{1 \le k \le d}$  and  $z = (z_k)_{1 \le k \le d} \in \mathcal{X}$ . We have that

$$\mathcal{P}_{m}^{(p)}(S; x, y, z) = \sum_{0 \le j \le m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} G(S^{j}x, S^{j}y, S^{j}z)^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le j \le m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} \left( \sum_{1 \le k \le d} \left( G_{k}(S_{k}^{j}x_{k}, S_{k}^{j}y_{k}, S_{k}^{j}z_{k})^{p} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \left( \sum_{0 \le j \le m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} G(T_{k}^{j}x_{k}, T_{k}^{j}y_{k}, T_{k}^{j}z_{k})^{p} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{(p)}(S_{k}, x_{k}, y_{k}, z_{k})..$$

The statements (i),(ii) and (iii) follows immediately.

(iv) If  $S_k$  is  $(m_k, p)$ -*G*-hyperexpansive for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $S_k$  is (m, p)-*G*-expansive mapping and hence *S* is (m, p)-*G*-expansive by statement (ii).

(v) If  $S_k$  is  $(m_k, p)$ -G-hypercontractive for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $S_k$  is (m, p)-G-contractive mapping and hence S is (m, p)-G-contractive by statement (iii).

Recall that an bounded operator  $S : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  ( $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space) is called an *m*-isometric if S satisfies

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} S^{*k} S^k = 0.$$

In [1], it was proved that if S is an m-isometric operator, then S is injective ant its range is closed.

In the following theorem, we generalize the above-mentioned results according to (m, p)-G-isometric mapping in compete G-metric space.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let S be a self mapping of a complete G-metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . If S is G-continuous (m, p)-G-isometric mapping. Then S is injective and  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  (the range of S) is G-closed in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that S is injective. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that Sx = Sy. Assume that  $x \neq y$ . Since S is an (m, p)-G-isometric mapping and  $S^k x = S^k y$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  it follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{(p)}(S, x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow G(x, y, z)^p + \sum_{1 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} G(S^k x, S^k x, S^k z)^p = 0.$$

By taking x = z we obtain

$$G(x, y, x)^{p} + \sum_{1 \le k \le m} (-1)^{k} \binom{m}{k} \underbrace{G(S^{k}x, S^{k}x, S^{k}x)^{p}}_{=0} = 0.$$

So, it must be the case that G(x, y, x) = 0. By the second condition of *G*-metric, we get a contradiction. Hence, x = y and *S* is injective map.

We prove that  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  is *G*-closed. Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $Tx_n \longrightarrow y$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . Since *S* is *G*-continuous we have  $S^k x_n \longrightarrow S^k y$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Under the assumption that *S* is an (m, p)-*G*-isometric, we get

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_l)^p = -\sum_{1 \le k \le m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} G(S^k x_n, S^k x_m, S^k x_l) \text{ for all } n, m, l > 0.$$

It is well know that  $(S^k x_n)_n$  are Cauchy sequences in  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We obtain that  $(x_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ . Due to the completeness of  $(\mathcal{X}, G)$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $(x_n)_n$  is *G*-convergent to *x*. On the other hand, using the fact that *S* is *G*-continuous, which yields that  $Sx_n \longrightarrow Sx$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This implies that y = Sx, which yields that  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  is *G*-closed.  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. AGLER, M. STANKUS: *m-Isometric transformations of Hilbert space I*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, **21** (1995), 383-429.
- [2] A. M. AHMADI: Quaternion-valued generalized metric spaces and m-quaternion-valued G-isometric mappings, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 116(4) (2017), 875-897.
- [3] A. ATHAVALE: On completely hyperexpansive operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 3745–3752.
- [4] H. AYDI, M. POSTOLACHE, W. SHATANAWI: Coupled fixed point results for  $(\psi, \varphi)$  weakly contractive mappings in ordered *G*-metric spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, **63** (2012), 298-309.

- [5] T. BERMÚDEZ, A. MARTINÓN, V. MÜLLER: (*m*, *q*)-isometries on metric spaces, J. Operator Theory, **72**(2) (2014), 313–329.
- [6] M. JLELI, B. SAMET: *Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012, 2012:210.
- [7] Z. MUSTAFA, B. SIMS: A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 7 (2006), 289–297.
- [8] Z. MUSTAFA, B. SIMS: *Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces*, Proc. Int. Conf. on. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, July (2003), 189–198.
- [9] Z. MUSTAFA, B. SIMS: Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete *G* metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2009**, Article ID 917175, 10 pages.
- [10] Z. MUSTAFA, H. OBIEDAT, F. AWAWDEH: Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages.
- [11] Z. MUSTAFA, F. AWAWDEH, W. SHATANAWI: Fixed Point Theorem for Expansive Mappings in G-Metric Spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 5(50) (2010), 2463 2472.
- [12] Z. MUSTAFA, H. AYDI, E. KARAPINAR: On common fixed points in *G*-metric spaces using *(E.A)* property, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, **6**(6) (2012), 1944-1956.
- [13] I. OMAR AHMED: Some mappings on products of generalized G-metric spaces, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 6(4) (2016), 540–554.
- [14] M. SCOTT: 3-Isometries, Thesis, University of California, San Diego, 1987.
- [15] W. SHATANAWI: Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 40(3) (2011), 441-447.
- [16] O. A. M. SID AHMED: On (m, p)-hyperexpansive mappings in Metric spaces, Note. Mat., 35(2) (2015), 17-37.
- [17] O. A. M. SID AHMED: On (m, p)-(hyper)expansive and (m, p)-(hyper)contractive mappings on a metric space, Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions, 7(3) (2016), 73–87.
- [18] N. TAHAT, H. AYDI, E. KARAPINAR, W. SHATANAWI: Common fixed points for singlevalued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in *G*-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2012), Article number 48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF SCIENCE JOUF UNIVERSITY SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLLEGE OF EDUCATION WEST KORDOFAN UNIVERSITY, SUDAN *Email address*: iobudawe@ju.edu.sa , japa.omer@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF SCIENCE JOUF UNIVERSITY SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014, SAUDI ARABIA *Email address*: asarosh@ju.edu.sa, sarosh.jmi@gmail.com

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, JOUF UNIVERSITY SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA *Email address*: naataullah@ju.edu.sa, nahmadamu@gmail.com

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF SCIENCE JOUF UNIVERSITY SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA *Email address*: sidahmed@ju.edu.sa, sidahmed.sidha@gmail.com