

SUBTRACTIVE PARTIAL Γ -SUBSEMIMODULES OF PARTIAL Γ -SEMIMODULES

P. V. SRINIVASA RAO¹, M. SIVA MALA, AND K. KIRAN KUMAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the concepts of subtractive partial Γ -subsemimodules of partial Γ -semimodules. Also, we introduce the notion of left austere partial Γ -semimodule and study its characterization.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1995, M Murali Krishna Rao [3] developed the theory of Γ -semirings and showed that this class is the common extension of semirings and Γ -rings. In 2014, M. Siva Mala [4] defined the concept of partial Γ -semiring by replacing the binary addition in Γ -semirings to infinitary partial addition and showed that this class is a common extension of partial semirings introduced by Arbib, Manes [1] and Benson [2] and M. Murali Krishna Rao [3] Γ -semirings. Also M. Siva Mala [5], [6] and [7] studied theory of ideals for the Γ -semirings. In [8], we introduced the concepts of left (right) partial Γ -semimodules over partial Γ -semirings.

In this paper, we study the concepts of subtractive partial Γ -subsemimodules of partial Γ -semimodules. Also, we introduce the notion of left austere partial Γ -semimodule and study its characterization.

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y60.

Key words and phrases. Left partial Γ -semimodule, subtractive partial Γ -subsemimodule and left austere partial Γ -semimodule.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the preliminaries, we recollect the necessary concepts from the literature. Throughout this paper, we use the following notations.

- (1) **PM** stands for partial monoid.
- (2) **P Γ SR** stands for partial Γ -semiring.
- (3) **L(R)P Γ I** stands for left (right) partial Γ -ideal.
- (4) **SL(R)P Γ I** stands for subtractive left (right) partial Γ -ideal.
- (5) **L(R)P Γ SM** stands for left (right) partial Γ -semimodule.
- (6) **P Γ SSM** stands for partial Γ -subsemimodule.
- (7) **SP Γ SSM** stands for subtractive partial Γ -subsemimodule.
- (8) **LA** stands for left austere.

A mapping $a : \Delta \rightarrow G$ from a set Δ to a nonempty set G is called a Δ -family in G . It is denoted by $(a_l : l \in \Delta)$, where $a_l = la, \forall l \in \Delta$. A *sub family* of $(a_l : l \in \Delta)$ is a family $(a_k : k \in K)$ where $K \subseteq \Delta$. The family $(a_l : l \in \emptyset)$ is called an *empty family*. Since $\Sigma(a_l : l \in \Delta) \notin G$ for all $(a_l : l \in \Delta)$ in G , Σ is called an *infinitary partial addition*. If $\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} a_l \in G$ then $(a_l : l \in \Delta)$ is called a *summable family*.

Definition 2.1. [2] Let G be a nonempty set and Σ be an infinitary partial addition on G . Then the structure (G, Σ) is called a **PM** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (M1) If $(g_l : l \in \Delta)$ is in G and $\Delta = \{k\}$, then $\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} g_l = g_k \in G$.
- (M2) If $(g_l : l \in \Delta)$ is in G and $(\Delta_k : k \in K)$ is a partition of Δ , then $\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} g_l \in G \iff \Sigma_{l \in \Delta_k} g_l \in G \forall k \in K$ and $\Sigma_{k \in K} (\Sigma_{l \in \Delta_k} g_l) \in G$, and $\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} g_l = \Sigma_{k \in K} (\Sigma_{l \in \Delta_k} g_l)$.

Example 1. [2] Let $Pfn(A, B)$ be the set of all partial functions from a set A to a set B . Define Σ on $Pfn(A, B)$ as follows: Let $(f_l : l \in \Delta)$ be a family in $Pfn(A, B)$. Then $\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} f_l \in Pfn(A, B) \iff$ for l, k in Δ such that $l \neq k$, $dom(f_l) \cap dom(f_k) = \emptyset$ and for any $a \in A$,

$$a(\Sigma_l f_l) = \begin{cases} af_l, & \text{if } a \in dom(f_l) \text{ for some } l \in \Delta; \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(Pfn(A, B), \Sigma)$ is a **PM**.

Definition 2.2. [4] Let (S, Σ) and (Γ, Σ^*) be two **PMs**. Then S is called a **P Γ SR** if there is an operation $S \times \Gamma \times S \longrightarrow S : (a, \mu, b) \mapsto a\mu b \forall a, b \in S$ and $\mu \in \Gamma$ subject to the following conditions $\forall a, b, c, (a_l : l \in \Delta) \in S$ and $\mu, \gamma, (\mu_l : l \in \Delta) \in \Gamma$

$$(S1) \quad a\mu(b\gamma c) = (a\mu b)\gamma c,$$

$$(S2) \quad \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} a_l \in S \text{ implies that } \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} (a\mu a_l) \in S \text{ and } a\mu[\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} a_l] = \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} (a\mu a_l), \\ [\Sigma_{l \in \Delta} a_l]\mu a = \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} (a_l\mu a),$$

$$(S3) \quad \Sigma_{l \in \Delta}^* \mu_l \in \Gamma \text{ implies that } \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} (a\mu_l b) \in S \text{ and } a(\Sigma_{l \in \Delta}^* \mu_l)b = \Sigma_{l \in \Delta} (a\mu_l b).$$

Example 2. [4] Consider the **PMs** $(Pfn(A, B), \Sigma)$ and $(Pfn(B, A), \Sigma^*)$ as defined in the Example 1. Now define an operation $Pfn(A, B) \times Pfn(B, A) \times Pfn(A, B) \longrightarrow Pfn(A, B) : (g, \mu, h) \mapsto g\mu h$ where $a(g\mu h) = (((ag)\mu)h)$, for any $a \in A$. Then $Pfn(A, B)$ is a **P Γ SR** where $\Gamma = Pfn(B, A)$.

In general $Pfn(A, B)$ need not be a Γ -semiring, because an arbitrary family in the **P Γ SR** $Pfn(A, B)$ need not be summable. Here $\Gamma = Pfn(B, A)$.

Definition 2.3. [5] Let S be a **P Γ SR**, $K \subseteq S$ ($K \neq \emptyset$) and $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ ($\Omega \neq \emptyset$). Then (K, Ω) of (S, Γ) is called a **L(R)P Γ I** of S if the following conditions hold in K :

$$(I1) \quad \Sigma_l a_l \in S \text{ and } a_l \in K \forall l \in \Delta \text{ implies } \Sigma_l a_l \in K,$$

$$(I2) \quad \Sigma_l^* \mu_l \in \Gamma \text{ and } \mu_l \in \Omega \forall l \in \Delta \text{ implies } \Sigma_l^* \mu_l \in \Omega, \text{ and}$$

$$(I3) \quad s\mu a \in K \ (a\mu s \in K) \ \forall s \in S, a \in K \text{ and } \mu \in \Omega.$$

Definition 2.4. [8] Let S be a **P Γ SR** and (N, Σ') be a **PM**. Then N is called a **L(R)P Γ SM** over S if \exists an operation $S \times \Gamma \times N \rightarrow N : (s, \mu, n) \mapsto s\mu n$ ($N \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow N : (n, \mu, s) \mapsto n\mu s$) which satisfies the following axioms:

$$(SM1) \text{ if } \Sigma'_l n_l \in N \text{ then } \Sigma'_l (s\mu n_l) \in N \text{ and } s\mu(\Sigma'_l n_l) = \Sigma'_l (s\mu n_l), b$$

$$(SM2) \text{ if } \Sigma_l^* \mu_l \in \Gamma \text{ then } \Sigma'_l (s\mu_l n) \in N \text{ and } s(\Sigma_l^* \mu_l)n = \Sigma'_l (s\mu_l n)$$

(where Σ^* is the partial addition in Γ), b

$$(SM3) \text{ if } \Sigma_l s_l \in S \text{ then } \Sigma'_l (s_l \mu n) \in N \text{ and } (\Sigma_l s_l)\mu n = \Sigma'_l (s_l \mu n)$$

(where Σ is the partial addition in S), b

$$(SM4) \quad (s\mu t)\alpha n = s\mu(t\alpha n),$$

$$(SM5) \quad 0_S \mu n = s 0_\Gamma n = s\mu 0_N = 0_N \text{ for every } n, n_i \in N, \mu, \mu_i, \alpha \in \Gamma, s, s_i, t \in S.$$

For the convenience of study the symbol Σ is used hereafter instead of the partial additions Σ in S , Σ^* in Γ and Σ' in N irrespective of the context.

Definition 2.5. [8] Let S be a **P Γ SR**, N be a **L(R)P Γ SM** over S and $K \subseteq N$ ($K \neq \emptyset$). Then K is called a **P Γ SSM** of N if the following holds in K :

(SSM1) if $\Sigma_l a_l \in N$ and $a_l \in K \forall l \in \Delta$ then $\Sigma_l a_l \in K$, and

(SSM2) if $s \in S$, $\mu \in \Gamma$, $a \in K$ then $s\mu a \in K$ ($a\mu s \in K$).

Definition 2.6. [8] Let N be a **L Γ SM** over a **P Γ SR** S , K be a **P Γ SSM** of N and $n^* \in N$. Then $(K : n^*) = \{a \in S \mid a\mu n^* \in K \forall \mu \in \Gamma\}$.

Theorem 2.1. [8] Let N be a **L Γ SM** over a **P Γ SR** S , K be a **P Γ SSM** of N and $n^* \in N$. Then $(K : n^*)$ is a **L Γ I** of S .

Definition 2.7. [8] If K is a **P Γ SSM** of N and $C \subseteq K$ ($C \neq \emptyset$) then $(K : C) = \bigcap \{(K : c) \mid c \in C\}$.

3. SUBTRACTIVE PARTIAL Γ -SUBSEMIMODULES

In this section we define **SP Γ SSM** and **LAP Γ SM**.

Definition 3.1. Let N be a **L Γ SM** over a **P Γ SR** S and $C \subseteq N$ ($C \neq \emptyset$). Then C is called subtractive subset of N if for any $p, q \in N$, $p \in C$ and $p + q \in C$ implies $q \in C$.

Example 3. Let $S = \{0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5\}$. Define Σ on S as

$$\Sigma_l x_l = \begin{cases} x_k, & \text{if } x_l = 0 \forall l \neq k, \text{ for some } k, \\ c_4, & \text{if } (x_j = c_1, x_k = c_2 \text{ or } x_j = c_2, x_k = c_3 \text{ for some } j, k) \text{ and } x_l = 0 \forall l \neq j, k, \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then S is a **PM**.

Let $\Gamma = \{0^*, 1^*\}$. Define Σ^* on Γ as

$$\Sigma_l^* \mu_l = \begin{cases} 0^*, & \text{if } \mu_l = 0^* \forall l \in \Delta \\ 1^*, & \text{if } \mu_l = 0^* \forall l \neq k \text{ for some } k \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Γ is a **PM**. Define an operation $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$ as: $x0^*y = 0 \forall x, y \in S$, $x1^*y = 0 \forall x, y \in S \setminus \{c_5\}$ and $c_51^*y = y1^*c_5 = y \forall y \in S$. Then S is a **P Γ SR**. Take $N := S$. Then N is a **L Γ SM** over S . Now $C = \{0, c_1, c_2\}$ is a subtractive subset of N whereas $D = \{0, c_1, c_2, c_4\}$ is not a subtractive subset of N (since $c_2 \in D$ and $c_2 + c_3 = c_4 \in D$ but $c_3 \notin D$).

Theorem 3.1. Let N be a $\mathbf{LP}\Gamma\mathbf{SM}$ over a $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SR}$ S , K be a $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N and $C \subseteq N$ ($C \neq \emptyset$). Then $(K : C)$ is a $\mathbf{SLP}\Gamma\mathbf{I}$ of S .

Proof. Note that $(K : C) = \bigcap_{c \in C} (K : c) = \bigcap_{c \in C} \{s \in S \mid s\mu c \in K \forall \mu \in \Gamma\}$. By the Theorem 2.1, $(K : C)$ is a $\mathbf{LP}\Gamma\mathbf{I}$ of S . So it is enough to prove that $(K : C)$ is subtractive. Let $p, q \in N \ni p \in (K : C)$ and $p + q \in (K : C)$. Then $p \in (K : c)$ and $p + q \in (K : c) \forall c \in C$. $\Rightarrow p\mu c \in K$ and $(p + q)\mu c \in K \forall \mu \in \Gamma, c \in C$. $\Rightarrow p\mu c \in K$ and $p\mu c + q\mu c \in K \forall \mu \in \Gamma, c \in C$. Since K is a $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N , $q\mu c \in K \forall \mu \in \Gamma, c \in C$. $\Rightarrow q \in (K : c) \forall c \in C$. $\Rightarrow q \in (K : C)$. Hence $(K : C)$ is a $\mathbf{SLP}\Gamma\mathbf{I}$ of S . \square

Theorem 3.2. Let N be a $\mathbf{LP}\Gamma\mathbf{SM}$ over a $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SR}$ S . If L, L^*, L^{**} are $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ s of N $\ni L$ is subtractive and $L^* \subseteq L$. Then $L \cap (L^* + L^{**}) = L^* + (L \cap L^{**})$.

Proof. Since $L^* \subseteq L$, it is trivial to prove that $L \cap (L^* + L^{**}) \supseteq L^* + (L \cap L^{**})$. Now take $p \in L \cap (L^* + L^{**})$. Then $p \in L$ and $p \in (L^* + L^{**})$. $\Rightarrow p \in L$ and $p = q + r$ where $q \in L^*, r \in L^{**}$. $\Rightarrow p = q + r \in L$ where $q \in L^*, r \in L^{**}$. Since $L^* \subseteq L$, $q \in L$ and $q + r \in L$. Since L is a $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N , $r \in L$. $\Rightarrow p = q + r$ where $q \in L^*$ and $r \in L \cap L^{**}$. $\Rightarrow p \in L^* + (L \cap L^{**})$. Hence $L \cap (L^* + L^{**}) \subseteq L^* + (L \cap L^{**})$. Hence $L \cap (L^* + L^{**}) = L^* + (L \cap L^{**})$. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let N be a $\mathbf{LP}\Gamma\mathbf{SM}$ over a $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SR}$ S and Q be a $\mathbf{L(R)}\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{I}$ of S . Then the set $L = \{n \in N \mid Q\Gamma n = 0\}$ is a $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N .

Proof. Let $\sum_l n_l \in N \ni n_l \in L, l \in \Delta$. Then $Q\Gamma n_l = 0, l \in \Delta$. $\Rightarrow Q\Gamma(\sum_l n_l) = \sum_l(Q\Gamma n_l) = 0$ and so $\sum_l n_l \in L$. Let $s \in S, \mu \in \Gamma$ and $n \in L$. Then $Q\Gamma n = 0$. Let $m \in Q\Gamma(s\mu n)$. Then $m = \sum_l m_l \mu_l(s\mu n), m_l \in Q$ and $\mu_l \in \Gamma$. $\Rightarrow m = \sum_l(m_l \mu_l s)\mu n$. Since $m_l \in Q, m_l \mu_l s \in Q, l \in \Delta$ (Since Q is $\mathbf{L(R)}\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{I}$). $\Rightarrow \sum_l(m_l \mu_l s)\mu n \in Q\Gamma n = 0, l \in \Delta$. $\Rightarrow m = \sum_l m_l \mu_l(s\mu n) = 0$. $\Rightarrow Q\Gamma(s\mu n) = 0$ and so $s\mu n \in L$. Hence L is a $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N .

To prove L is subtractive, let $p, p^* \in N$ such that $p \in L$ and $p + p^* \in L$. Then $Q\Gamma p = 0$ and $Q\Gamma(p + p^*) = 0$. $\Rightarrow Q\Gamma p^* = 0$ and so $p^* \in L$. Hence L is a $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ of N . \square

Definition 3.2. A $\mathbf{LP}\Gamma\mathbf{SM}$ U over a $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SR}$ S is called **LA** if $\{0\}$ and U are the only $\mathbf{SP}\Gamma\mathbf{SSM}$ s of N .

Theorem 3.4. Let S be a commutative $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathbf{SR}$. If U is a $\mathbf{LAP}\Gamma\mathbf{SM}$ over S then $(0 : U) = (0 : u) \forall 0 \neq u \in U$.

Proof. Since $(0 : U) = \bigcap_{u \in U} (0 : u)$, we have $(0 : U) \subseteq (0 : u) \forall 0 \neq u \in U$. Suppose if $(0 : u) \not\subseteq (0 : U)$ for some $0 \neq u \in U$. Then $(0 : u) \not\subseteq (0 : u^*)$ for some $0 \neq u^* \in U$. Take $V = \{z \in U \mid (0 : u) \subseteq (0 : z)\}$. Clearly $0 \neq u \in V$ and $0 \neq u^* \notin V$. Therefore $\{0\} \subset V \subset U$. Now we claim that V is a **SP Γ SSM** of U : Let $\Sigma_l z_l \in U \ni z_l \in V, l \in \Delta$. Then $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : z_l), l \in \Delta \Rightarrow (0 : u) \subseteq (0 : \Sigma_l z_l)$ and so $\Sigma_l z_l \in V$. Let $s \in S, \mu \in \Gamma$ and $z \in V$. Then $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : z)$. To prove $s\mu z \in V$ it is enough to prove that $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : s\mu z)$. For this, let $y \in (0 : u)$. Then $y \in (0 : z) \Rightarrow y\beta z = 0 \forall \beta \in \Gamma \Rightarrow y\mu z = 0$ (for $\beta = \mu$). $\Rightarrow s\alpha(y\mu z) = 0 \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow (s\alpha y)\mu z = 0 \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow (y\alpha s)\mu z = 0 \forall \alpha \in \Gamma$ (since S is commutative). $\Rightarrow y\alpha(s\mu z) = 0 \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow y \in (0 : s\mu z) \Rightarrow (0 : u) \subseteq (0 : s\mu z)$ and so $s\mu z \in V$. Hence V is a **PT Γ SSM** of U .

Let $p, q \in U \ni p \in V$ and $p + q \in V$. Then $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : p)$ and $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : p + q)$. Let $x \in (0 : u)$. Then $x \in (0 : p)$ and $x \in (0 : p + q) \Rightarrow x\mu p = 0$ and $x\mu(p + q) = 0 \forall \mu \in \Gamma \Rightarrow x\alpha q = 0 \forall \mu \in \Gamma \Rightarrow x \in (0 : q)$ and so $(0 : u) \subseteq (0 : q) \Rightarrow q \in V$. Hence V is a nontrivial **SP Γ SSM** of U , a contradiction to the fact that U is **LAP Γ SM**. Hence $(0 : U) = (0 : u) \forall 0 \neq u \in U$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. ARBIB, E. G. MANES: *Partially Additive Categories and Flow-diagram Semantics*, Journal of Algebra, **62** (1980), 203 – 227.
- [2] E. G. MANES, D. B. BENSON: *The Inverse Semigroup of a Sum-Ordered Partial Semiring*, Semigroup Forum, **31** (1985), 129 – 152.
- [3] M. MURALI KRISHNA RAO: Γ -semirings-I, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, **19**(1) (1995), 49 – 54.
- [4] M. SIVA MALA, K. SIVA PRASAD: *Partial Γ -Semirings*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, **38** (2014), 873 – 885.
- [5] M. SIVA MALA, K. SIVA PRASAD: *Ideals of Sum-Ordered partial Γ -Semirings*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, **40** (2016), 413 – 426.
- [6] M. SIVA MALA, K. SIVA PRASAD: *Prime Ideals of Γ -So-rings*, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics(IJAS), **3**(1) (2014), 1 – 8.
- [7] M. SIVA MALA, K. SIVA PRASAD: *Semiprime Ideals of Γ -So-rings*, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics(IJAS), **3**(1) (2014), 26 – 33.
- [8] M. SIVA MALA, P. V. SRINIVASA RAO, K. KIRAN KUMAR: *Partial Γ -Semimodules over Partial Γ -Semirings*, communicated to Punjab University Journal of Mathematics(PUJM).

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC ENGINEERING
DVR AND DR. HS MIC COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
KANCHIKACHERLA-521180, KRISHNA(D.T)
ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: srinu_fu2004@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
V. R. SIDDHARTHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
KANURU, VIJAYAWADA-520007
ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: sivamala_aug9@yahoo.co.in

FRESHMAN ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
P.V.P. SIDDHARTHA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
KANURU, VIJAYAWADA-520007
ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: kkumark_2005@yahoo.co.in