

MINIMUM DOMINATING MODIFIED SCHULTZ ENERGY

M. R. RAJESH KANNA¹ AND S. ROOPA

ABSTRACT. In this article we have defined a new matrix called minimum dominating modified Schlutz matrix and hence minimum dominating modified Schlutz energy. Upper and lower bounds for minimum dominating modified Schlutz energy are presented. At the end of this article minimum dominating modified Schlutz energies for some standard graphs like star graph, complete graph, crown graph, cocktail graph, complete bipartite graph and friendship graphs are computed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Study on energy of graphs goes back to the year 1978, when I. Gutman [3] defined this while working with energies of conjugated hydrocarbon containing carbon atoms. All graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be simple without loops and multiple edges. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the adjacency matrix of the graph G with its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$. The sum of the absolute eigenvalues values of G is called the energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ of G , i.e., $\mathcal{E}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$.

1.1. Modified Schultz index. In 1997 S. Klavžar and I. Gutman introduced modified Schlutz index [5] which is defined by

$$S^*(G) = \sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \subseteq V(G)} d(v_i)d(v_j)d(v_i, v_j).$$

¹corresponding author

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50, 05C69.

Key words and phrases. Minimum dominating modified Schlutz matrix, minimum dominating modified Schlutz eigenvalues, minimum dominating modified Schlutz energy.

Rajesh Kanna et.al [7] defined minimum dominating energy of a graph. Motivated by this we now define minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of a graph.

1.2. Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy. Let G be a simple graph of order n with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set E . A subset D of V is called a dominating set of G if every vertex of $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . Any dominating set with minimum cardinality is called a minimum dominating set. Let D be a minimum dominating set of a graph G . The minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by $A_{S^*}^D(G) := (x_{ij})$,

$$\text{where } x_{ij} = \begin{cases} d(v_i)d(v_j)d(v_i, v_j) & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E(G) \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \in D \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ is denoted by $f_n(G, \lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A_{S^*}^D(G))$. The minimum dominating modified Schultz eigenvalues of the graph G are the eigenvalues of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$. Since $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real numbers and we label them in non-increasing order $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. The minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of G is defined as $E_{S^*}^D(G) := \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$.

Note that the trace of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ = Domination Number = k .

2. MAIN RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Properties of minimum dominating modified Schultz eigenvalues.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, edge set E . If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ then*

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = |D|$
- (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = |D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2$.

Proof. (i) We know that the sum of the eigenvalues of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ is the trace of

$$A_{S^*}^D(G) \quad \therefore \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ii} = |D|.$$

(ii) Similarly the sum of squares of the eigenvalues of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ is trace of $[A_{S^*}^D(G)]^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij}x_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ii})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} x_{ij}x_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ii})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} (x_{ij})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ii})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} d(v_i)^2 d(v_j)^2 d(v_i, v_j)^2 \\ &= |D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 = 2M, \text{ where } M = \frac{|D|}{2} + \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. Bounds for minimum dominating modified Schultz energy. McClelland's [8] gave upper and lower bounds for ordinary energy of a graph. Similar bounds for $\mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G)$ are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let G be a simple graph with n vertices and m edges and $P = |\det A_{S^*}^D(G)|$ then

$$\sqrt{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + n(n-1)P^{\frac{2}{n}}} \leq \mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) \leq \sqrt{n(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2)}.$$

Proof. Cauchy Schwarz inequality is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right).$$

If $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = |\lambda_i|$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right) \\ [\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G)]^2 &\leq n \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 \right) \quad [\text{From Theorem 2.1}] \\ \implies \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) &\leq \sqrt{n \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since arithmetic mean is greater than or equal to geometric mean we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i| |\lambda_j| &\geq \left[\prod_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i| |\lambda_j| \right]^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^{2(n-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \right]^{\frac{2}{n}} = \left| \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right|^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &= |det A_{S^*}^D(G)|^{\frac{2}{n}} = P^{\frac{2}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.1) \quad \therefore \sum_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i| |\lambda_j| \geq n(n-1)P^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G)]^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i| |\lambda_j| \\ \therefore [\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G)]^2 &\geq |D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + n(n-1)P^{\frac{2}{n}} \quad [\text{From Theorem 2.1 and Equation (2.1)}] \\ \text{i.e., } \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) &\geq \sqrt{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + n(n-1)P^{\frac{2}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. If $\lambda_1(G)$ is the largest minimum dominating modified Schlutz eigenvalue of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$, then $\lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i d_j d_{ij}}{n}$.

Proof. For any nonzero vector X , we have by [1], $\lambda_1(A) = \max_{X \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{X'AX}{X'X} \right\}$

$$\therefore \lambda_1(G) \geq \frac{J'AJ}{J'J} = \frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i d_j d_{ij}}{n} \text{ where } J \text{ is a unit column matrix.} \quad \square$$

Just like Koolen and Moulton's [4] upper bound for energy of a graph, an upper bound for $\mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G)$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. If G is a (n, m) graph with $|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 \geq n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) &\leq \frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n} + \\ &\sqrt{(n-1) \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 - \left(\frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n} \right)^2 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Cauchy-Schwartz inequality is: $(\sum_{i=2}^n a_i b_i)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n b_i^2 \right)$.

Put $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = |\lambda_i|$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 &\leq \sum_{i=2}^n 1 \sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \\ \Rightarrow [\mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) - \lambda_1]^2 &\leq (n-1) \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 - \lambda_1^2 \right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) &\leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 - \lambda_1^2 \right)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 - x^2 \right)}.$$

For decreasing function

$$f'(x) \leq 0 \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{x^{(n-1)}}{\sqrt{(n-1)\left(|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 - x^2\right)}} \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \geq \sqrt{\frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n}}.$$

Since $|D| + 2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 \geq n$, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n}} \leq \frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n} \leq \lambda_1$$

$$\therefore f(\lambda_1) \leq f\left(\frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) \leq f(\lambda_1) \leq f\left(\frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \mathcal{E}_{S^*}^D(G) \leq f\left(\frac{|D|+2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2}{n}\right).$$

The proof of the theorem follows. □

Milovanović [6] bounds for minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of a graph are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let*

$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$ be a non-increasing order of Schultz eigenvalues of $A_{S^}^D(G)$*

then $\mathcal{E}_{S^}^D(G) \geq \sqrt{n(|D| + 2\sum_{i<j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2)} - \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2$ where*

$\alpha(n) = n[\frac{n}{2}](1 - \frac{1}{n}[\frac{n}{2}])$ and $[x]$ denotes the integral part of a real number.

Proof. For real numbers $a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, A$ and $b, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, B$ with $a \leq a_i \leq A$ and $b \leq b_i \leq B \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the following inequality is valid.

$\left| n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right| \leq \alpha(n)(A-a)(B-b)$ where $\alpha(n) = n[\frac{n}{2}](1 - \frac{1}{n}[\frac{n}{2}])$ and equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n$.

If $a_i = |\lambda_i|$, $b_i = |\lambda_i|$, $a = b = |\lambda_n|$ and $A = B = |\lambda_1|$, then

$$\left| n \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 \right| \leq \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2.$$

But $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 = |D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) \leq \sqrt{n(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2)}$

then the above inequality becomes

$$n(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2) - (\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G))^2 \leq \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2$$

$$\text{i.e., } \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) \geq \sqrt{n(|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2) - \alpha(n)(|\lambda_1| - |\lambda_n|)^2}.$$

□

Theorem 2.6. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| > 0$ be a non-increasing order of eigenvalues of $A_{S^*}^D(G)$ then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) \geq \frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + n|\lambda_1||\lambda_n|}{(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_n|)}.$$

Proof. Let $a_i \neq 0$, b_i , r and R be real numbers satisfying $ra_i \leq b_i \leq Ra_i$, then the following inequality holds. [Theorem 2, [6]]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 + rR \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \leq (r+R) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i.$$

Put $b_i = |\lambda_i|$, $a_i = 1$, $r = |\lambda_n|$ and $R = |\lambda_1|$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_1||\lambda_n| \sum_{i=1}^n 1 &\leq (|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_n|) \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \\ \text{i.e., } |D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + |\lambda_1||\lambda_n|n &\leq (|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_n|) \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) \\ \therefore \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}_{S^*}(G) &\geq \frac{|D| + 2 \sum_{i < j} d_i^2 d_j^2 d_{ij}^2 + n|\lambda_1||\lambda_n|}{(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_n|)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The question of when does the graph energy becomes a rational number was answered by Bapat and S.Pati in their paper [2]. Similar result for minimum dominating modified Schlutz energy is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *If the minimum dominating modified Schlutz energy $\mathcal{E}^D_{S^*}(G)$ is a rational number, then $\mathcal{E}^D_{S^*}(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. Proof is similar to theorem 5.4 of [7]

□

2.3. Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of some standard graphs.

Theorem 2.8. *For $n \geq 2$, the Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of complete graph K_n is $(n^3 - n^2) + \sqrt{n^6 + 2n^5 + n^4 - 2n^3 + 2n^2 + 1}$.*

Proof. Let K_n be a complete graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$. Then the Minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix of complete graph is,

$$A_{S^*}^D(K_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 \\ (n-1)^2 & 0 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 \\ (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & 0 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 \\ (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & 0 & (n-1)^2 \\ (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(K_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} -n^2 & \frac{(n^3-n^2+1)+\sqrt{n^6+2n^5+n^4-2n^3+2n^2+1}}{2} & \frac{(n^3-n^2+1)-\sqrt{n^6+2n^5+n^4-2n^3+2n^2+1}}{2} \\ n-1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Minimum Dominating Modified Schultz energy is,

$$E_{S^*}^D(K_n) = n^3 - n^2 + \sqrt{n^6 + 2n^5 + n^4 - 2n^3 + 2n^2 + 1}.$$

□

Theorem 2.9. *The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of star graph $K_{1,n-1}$ is $2n - 4 + \sqrt{4n^3 - 8n^2 - 8n + 21}$.*

Proof. Let $K_{1,n-1}$ be a star graph with vertex set $V = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$.

The Minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix of star graph is,

$$A_{S^*}^D(K_{1,n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & n & n & \dots & n \\ n & 0 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ n & 2 & 0 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ n & 2 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n & 2 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(K_{1,n-1})) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & \frac{2n-3+\sqrt{4n^3-8n^2-8n+21}}{2} & \frac{2n-3-\sqrt{4n^3-8n^2-8n+21}}{2} \\ n-2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy is

$$E_{S^*}^D(K_{1,n-1}) = 2n - 4 + \sqrt{4n^3 - 8n^2 - 8n + 21}.$$

□

Theorem 2.10. *The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of cocktail party graph is $16n(n-1)^2$.*

Proof. For $n > 2$, consider cocktail party graph $K_{n \times 2}$ with vertex set $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{u_i, v_i\}$. Then the Minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix of cocktail party is $A_{S^*}^D(K_{n \times 2}) =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|cccccc} & u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_{n-1} & u_n & v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_{n-1} & v_n \\ u_1 & 1 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 8(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ u_2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 8(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ u_3 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{n-1} & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 0 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 8(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ u_n & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 0 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 8(n-1)^2 \\ \hline v_1 & 8(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 1 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ v_2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 8(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ v_3 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{n-1} & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 8(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 0 & 4(n-1)^2 \\ v_n & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 8(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & 4(n-1)^2 & \dots & 4(n-1)^2 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(K_{n \times 2})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(8n^2 - 16n + 7) & -(8n^2 - 16n + 8) & \frac{X+\sqrt{Y}}{2} & \frac{X-\sqrt{Y}}{2} \\ n-2 & 1 & n-1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where, $X = 8n^3 - 16n^2 + 8n + 1$ and

$Y = 64n^6 - 256n^5 + 384n^4 - 272n^3 + 128n^2 - 80n + 33$. The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy is,

$$E_{S^*}^D(K_{n \times 2}) = 16n(n-1)^2.$$

□

Theorem 2.11. For $n \geq 2$, the Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of the crown graph is

$$\begin{cases} 2\sqrt{5} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 7n^3 - 22n^2 + 23n - 7 + \sqrt{n^6 - 4n^5 + 6n^4 - 6n^3 + 9n^2 - 10n + 5} & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases} .$$

Proof. **Case: 1** If $n \geq 3$,

The Minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix of crown graph is $A_{S^*}^D(S_n^0) =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccccc|ccccc} & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \dots & v_n & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & \dots & u_n \\ \hline v_1 & 1 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 & 3(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 \\ v_2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 0 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & 3(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 \\ v_3 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & 3(n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_n & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 0 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & 3(n-1)^2 \\ \hline u_1 & 3(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & 1 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 \\ u_2 & (n-1)^2 & 3(n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 0 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 \\ u_3 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & 3(n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & 2(n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_n & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & 3(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & 2(n-1)^2 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(S_n^0)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(4n^2 - 8n + 4) & \frac{X+\sqrt{Y}}{2} & \frac{X-\sqrt{Y}}{2} & \frac{R+\sqrt{S}}{2} & \frac{R-\sqrt{S}}{2} \\ n-2 & n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$X = \frac{3n^3 - 6n^2 + 3n + 1 + \sqrt{9n^6 - 36n^5 + 54n^4 - 42n^2 + 33n^2 - 30n + 13}}{2}$$

$$Y = \frac{3n^3 - 6n^2 + 3n + 1 - \sqrt{9n^6 - 36n^5 + 54n^4 - 42n^2 + 33n^2 - 30n + 13}}{2}$$

$$R = \frac{n^3 - 10n^2 + 17n - 7 + \sqrt{n^6 - 4n^5 + 6n^4 - 6n^3 + 9n^2 - 10n + 5}}{2}$$

$$S = \frac{n^3 - 10n^2 + 17n - 7 - \sqrt{n^6 - 4n^5 + 6n^4 - 6n^3 + 9n^2 - 10n + 5}}{2}.$$

Then Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy,

$$E_{S^*}^D(S_n^0) = 7n^3 - 22n^2 + 23n - 7 + \sqrt{n^6 - 4n^5 + 6n^4 - 6n^3 + 9n^2 - 10n + 5}.$$

Case: 2 if $n = 2$

Then the Minimum dominating modified Schultz matrix is Crown graph is,

$$A_{S^*}^D(S_n^0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}, \text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(S_n^0)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy, $E_{S^*}^D(S_n^0) = 2\sqrt{5}$. \square

Theorem 2.12. *The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of friendship graph $E_{S^*}^D(F_3^n)$ is equal to $(16n - 12) + \sqrt{128n^3 + 256n^2 - 416n + 169}$.*

Proof. For a friendship graph F_3^n with vertex set $V = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ Then the Schultz matrix of friendship graph is $A_{S^*}^D(F_3^n) =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc} v_0 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 & v_5 & v_6 & v_7 & \dots & v_{2n} \\ \hline v_0 & 1 & 2^2n & 2^2n & 2^2n & 2^2n & 2^2n & 2^2n & \dots & 2^2n \\ v_1 & 2^2n & 0 & 2^2 & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_2 & 2^2n & 2^2 & 0 & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_3 & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & 0 & 2^2 & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_4 & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & 2^2 & 0 & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_5 & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & 0 & 2^2 & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_6 & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & 2^2 & 0 & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ v_7 & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & 0 & \dots & (3^2 - 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{2n} & 2^2n & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & (3^2 - 1) & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right)_{(2n+1) \times (2n+1)}$$

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(F_3^n)) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -12 & \frac{(16n-11)+\sqrt{128n^3+256n^2-416n+169}}{2} & \frac{(16n-11)-\sqrt{128n^3+256n^2-416n+169}}{2} \\ n & n-1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy is,

$$E_{S^*}^D(F_3^n) = (16n - 12) + \sqrt{128n^3 + 256n^2 - 416n + 169}.$$

□

Theorem 2.13. *The Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of the complete bipartite graph is $4mn^2 - 4n^2 + 4m^2n - 4m^2 - m + 2$.*

Proof. For the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ ($m \leq n$) with vertex set $V = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ The a minimum dominating modified Schlutz matrix of complete bipartite graph is $A_{S^*}^D(K_{m,n}) =$

	v_1	v_2	v_3	\dots	v_m	u_1	u_2	u_3	\dots	u_n
v_1	1	$2n^2$	$2n^2$	\dots	$2n^2$	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn
v_2	$2n^2$	1	$2n^2$	\dots	$2n^2$	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn
v_3	$2n^2$	$2n^2$	1	\dots	$2n^2$	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
v_m	$2n^2$	$2n^2$	$2n^2$	\dots	1	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn
u_1	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn	0	$2m^2$	$2m^2$	\dots	$2m^2$
u_2	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn	$2m^2$	0	$2m^2$	\dots	$2m^2$
u_3	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn	$2m^2$	$2m^2$	0	\dots	$2m^2$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
u_n	mn	mn	mn	\dots	mn	$2m^2$	$2m^2$	$2m^2$	\dots	0

$$\text{Spec}(A_{S^*}^D(K_{m,n})) = \begin{pmatrix} -2n^2 + 1 & -2m^2 & \frac{X+\sqrt{Y}}{2} & \frac{X-\sqrt{Y}}{2} \\ m-1 & n-1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $X = (2m - 2)n^2 + 2m^2n - 2m^2 + 1$ and

$$Y = (4m^2 - 8m + 4)n^4 + (8m^2 - 4m^3)n^3 + (4m^4 + 8m^3 - 8m^2 + 4m - 4)n^2 - (8m^4 + 4m^2)n + 4m^4 + 4m^2 + 1.$$

Minimum dominating modified Schultz energy is,

$$E_{S^*}^D(K_{m,n}) = 4mn^2 - 4n^2 + 4m^2n - 4m^2 - m + 2.$$

□

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we defined minimum dominating modified Schultz energy of a graph. Upper and lower bounds for minimum dominating modified Schultz energy are established. A generalized expression for minimum dominating modified Schultz energies for star graph, complete graph, crown graph, complete bipartite graph, cocktail party graph and friendship graphs are also computed.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. BAPAT: *Graphs and Matrices*, Hindustan Book Agency, 2011.
- [2] R. B. BAPAT, S. PATI: *Energy of a graph is never an odd integer*, Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc., **1** (2011), 129 - 132.
- [3] I. GUTMAN: *The energy of a graph*, Ber. Math-Statist. Sekt. Forschungsz., **103** (1978), 1-22.
- [4] J.H. KOOLEN, V. MOULTON: *Maximal energy graphs*, Adv. Appl. Math., **26** (2001), 47 - 52.
- [5] KLAVŽAR, I. GUTMAN: *Wiener number of vertex-weighted graphs and a chemical application*, Disc. Appl. Math., **80** (1997), 73 - 81.
- [6] I. Ž. MILOVANOVIĆ, E. I. MILOVANOVIĆ, A. ZAKIĆ: *A Short note on Graph Energy*, Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., **72** (2014), 179-182.
- [7] M. R. RAJESH KANNA, B. N. DHARMENDRA, G. SRIDHARA: *The Minimum Dominating Energy of a Graph*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **(85)(4)** (2013), 707-718.
- [8] B. J. MCLELLAND: *Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of π -electron energies*, J. Chem. Phys., **54** (1971), 640 - 643.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 SRI.D DEVARAJA URS GOVERNEMENT FIRST GRADE COLLEGE
 HUNSUR - 571 105, INDIA
Email address: mr.rajeshkanna@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES
 REASEARCH SCHOLOR, CAREER POINT UNIVERSITY
 KOTA, RAJASTHAN, INDIA
Email address: rooopa.s.kumar@gmail.com