### ADV MATH SCI JOURNAL

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.11, 9591–9597 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.11.63 Spec. Iss. on ICRTAMS-2020

# SOMEWHAT PAIRWISE FUZZY $\delta$ -IRRESOLUTE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

M. SANKARI AND A. SWAMINATHAN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In the present article, the concepts of somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping and somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mapping have been introduced. Besides, some interesting properties of those mappings are discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh [6]. Chang [1] studied the notion of fuzzy topology in 1968. Petricevic [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets and fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed sets in fuzzy topological spaces. The notion of fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous functions fuzzy topological spaces was introduced by Supriti Saha [3]. The concepts of somewhat fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous functions and somewhat fuzzy  $\delta$ -open functions are introduced and studied by Thangaraj and Dinakaran in [5] and consequently the concepts of somewhat fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mappings and somewhat fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mappings were introduced by Swaminathan and Balasubramaniyan in [4]. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the concepts of somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ irresolute continuous mappings and somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mappings on a fuzzy bitopological spaces and study some of their properties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A40, 03E72.

*Key words and phrases.* somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping; somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mapping.

2. Somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mappings

In this section we introduce a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a function from fts  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  to another fts  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then:

- (i) f is called pairwise fuzzy δ-continuous if f<sup>-1</sup>(β) is a γ<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy δ-open or γ<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy δ-open set on (X, γ<sub>1</sub>, γ<sub>2</sub>) for any η<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy open or η<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy open set β on (Y, η<sub>1</sub>, η<sub>2</sub>).
- (ii) f is called pairwise fuzzy δ-irresolute continuous if f<sup>-1</sup>(β) is a γ<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy δ-open or γ<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy δ-open set on (X, γ<sub>1</sub>, γ<sub>2</sub>) for any η<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy δ-open or η<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy δ-open set β on (Y, η<sub>1</sub>, η<sub>2</sub>).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a function from fts  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  to another fts  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then:

- (i) f is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy δ-continuous if there exists a γ<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy δ-open or γ<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy δ-open set α ≠ 0<sub>X</sub> on (X, γ<sub>1</sub>, γ<sub>2</sub>) such that α ≤ f<sup>-1</sup>(β) ≠ 0<sub>X</sub> for any η<sub>1</sub>-fuzzy open or η<sub>2</sub>-fuzzy open set β ≠ 0<sub>Y</sub> on (Y, η<sub>1</sub>, η<sub>2</sub>).
- (ii) f is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous if there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 0_X$  for any  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

From the definitions above, it is clear that every pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping. And every somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping is a pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mapping. Also, every pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mapping is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mapping from the above definition. But the converses are not true in general as the following examples show.

**Example 1.** Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}$  and let  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ . Then  $\alpha_1 = \frac{0.3}{a_1} + \frac{0.3}{b_1} + \frac{0.3}{c_1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{0.7}{a_1} + \frac{0.7}{b_1} + \frac{0.7}{c_1}$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \frac{0.5}{a_1} + \frac{0.5}{c_1}$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{0.2}{x_1} + \frac{0.3}{y_1} + \frac{0.2}{z_1}$ ,  $\beta_2 = \frac{0.8}{x_1} + \frac{0.7}{y_1} + \frac{0.8}{z_1}$ ,  $\beta_3 = \frac{0.5}{x_1} + \frac{0.5}{y_1} + \frac{0.5}{z_1}$  are defined as follows: consider  $\gamma_1 = \{0_X, a_1, 1_X\}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \{0_X, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1_X\}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \{0_Y, \beta_1, 1_Y\}, \eta_2 = \{0_Y, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_Y\}$ . Then  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy

9592

bitopologies and  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a_1) = y_1$ ,  $f(b_1) = y_1$ ,  $f(c_1) = y_1$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(\beta_1) = \alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_1 \leq f^{-1}(\beta_2) = \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_1 \leq f^{-1}(\beta_3) = \alpha_3$ . Since  $\alpha_1$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ irresolute continuous. But  $f^{-1}(\beta_3) = \alpha_3$  is not a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Hence f is not a pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping.

**Example 2.** Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}$  and let  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $Y = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ . Then  $\alpha_1 = \frac{0.4}{a_1} + \frac{0.4}{b_1} + \frac{0.4}{c_1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{0.5}{a_1} + \frac{0.5}{b_1} + \frac{0.5}{c_1}$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{0.4}{x_1} + \frac{0.0}{y_1} + \frac{0.4}{z_1}$ ,  $\beta_2 = \frac{0.5}{x_1} + \frac{0.0}{y_1} + \frac{0.5}{z_1}$ ,  $\beta_3 = \frac{0.5}{x_1} + \frac{0.5}{y_1} + \frac{0.5}{z_1}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\gamma_1 = \{0_X, \alpha_1, 1_X\}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \{0_X, \alpha_2, 1_X\}$  and  $\eta_1 = \{0_Y, \beta_1, 1_Y\}$ ,  $\eta_2 = \{0_Y, \beta_2, 1_Y\}$ . Then  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  and  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopologies and  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  defined by  $f(a_1) = y_1$ ,  $f(b_1) = y_1$ ,  $f(c_1) = y_1$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(\beta_1) = 0_X$ ,  $f^{-1}(\beta_2) = 0_X$  and  $f^{-1}(\beta_3) = \alpha_2$  are  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , f is pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous. But for an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta_2 \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\beta_2) = 0_X$ . Hence f is not a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping.

**Example 3.** In Example 1, for an  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\beta_1) = \alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_1 \leq f^{-1}(\beta_2) = \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_1 \leq f^{-1}(\beta_3) = \alpha_3$ . Since  $\alpha_1$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous. But  $f^{-1}(\sigma_3) = \alpha_3$  is not a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Hence f is not a pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mapping.

**Definition 2.3.** A fuzzy set  $\alpha$  on a fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  is called pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set if there exists no  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\beta$  in  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\alpha < \beta < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *f* is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.
- (2) If  $\beta$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set of  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 1_X$ , then there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\alpha \neq 1_X$  of  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\beta) \leq \alpha$ .
- (3) If  $\alpha$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , then  $f(\alpha)$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

Proof.

(1) $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\beta$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 1_X$ . Then  $\beta^c$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set

M. SANKARI AND A. SWAMINATHAN

on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $f^{-1}(\beta^c) = (f^{-1}(\beta))^c \neq 0_X$ . Since f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\lambda \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(\beta^c)$ . Let  $\alpha = \lambda^c$ . Then  $\alpha \neq 1_X$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set such that  $f^{-1}(\beta) = 1 - f^{-1}(\beta^c) \leq 1 - \lambda = \lambda^c = \alpha$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $\alpha$  be a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  and suppose  $f(\alpha)$  is not pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\beta$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\alpha) < \beta < 1$ . Since  $\beta < 1$  and  $f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 1_X$ , there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\delta \neq 1_X$  such that  $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(f(\alpha)) < f^{-1}(\beta) \leq \delta$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\alpha$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Hence  $f(\alpha)$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ and let  $f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 0_X$ . Suppose that there exists no  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(\beta)$ . Then  $(f^{-1}(\beta))^c$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy set or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that there is no  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\delta$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  with  $(f^{-1}(\beta))^c < \delta < 1$ . In fact, if there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\delta^c$  such that  $\delta^c \leq f^{-1}(\beta)$ , then it is a contradiction. So  $(f^{-1}(\beta))^c$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Then  $f((f^{-1}(\beta))^c)$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . But  $f((f^{-1}(\beta))^c) = f(f^{-1}(\beta^c)) \neq \beta^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $f((f^{-1}(\beta))^c)$  is pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Hence there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha \neq 0_X$ on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(\beta)$ . Consequently, f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2), (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2), (Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2), (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological spaces. Let  $(X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  be product related to  $(X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  and let  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be product related to  $(Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . If  $f_1 : (X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $f_2 : (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mappings, then the product  $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \times (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \times (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is also somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i,j} (\alpha_i \times \beta_j)$  be  $\eta_i$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\sigma_j$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2) \times (Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  where  $\alpha_i \neq 0_{Y_1}$  is  $\eta_i$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set and  $\beta_j \neq 0_{Y_2}$  is  $\sigma_j$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $(Y_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  respectively. Then  $(f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) = \bigvee_{i,j} (f_1^{-1}(\alpha_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\beta_j))$ .

9594

Since  $f_1$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\delta_i \neq 0_{X_1}$  such that  $\delta_i \leq f_1^{-1}(\alpha_i) \neq 0_{X_1}$ . And, since  $f_2$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous, there exists a  $\omega_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\omega_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha_j \neq 0_{X_2}$  such that  $\alpha_j \leq f_2^{-1}(\beta_j) \neq 0_{X_2}$ . Now  $\delta_i \times \alpha_j \leq f_1^{-1}(\alpha_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\beta_j) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\alpha_i \times \beta_j)$  and  $\delta_i \times \alpha_j \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$  is a  $\delta_i$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\beta_j$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \times (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{i,j} (\delta_i \times \alpha_j) \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$  is a  $\gamma_i$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\omega_j$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \times (X_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  such that  $\bigvee_{i,j} (\delta_i \times \alpha_j) \leq \bigvee_{i,j} (f_1^{-1}(\alpha_i) \times f_2^{-1}(\beta_j)) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1} (\bigvee_{i,j} (\alpha_i \times \beta_j)) = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1} (\lambda) \neq 0_{X_1 \times X_2}$ . Therefore,  $f_1 \times f_2$  is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a mapping. If the graph  $g : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \times (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  of f is a somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous mapping, then f is also somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\beta) = 1 \wedge f^{-1}(\beta) = g^{-1}(1 \times \beta)$ . Since g is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous and  $1 \times \beta$  is a  $\gamma_i$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_j$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \times (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ , there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $\alpha \leq g^{-1}(1 \times \beta) = f^{-1}(\beta) \neq 0_X$ . Therefore, f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -irresolute continuous.

### 3. Somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute $\delta$ -open mappings

In this section, we introduce a somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mapping and we characterize a somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mapping.

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -open if  $f(\alpha)$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  for any  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\alpha$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A mapping  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy  $\delta$ -open if there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\beta \leq f(\alpha) \neq 0_Y$  for any  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy open set  $\alpha$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . **Definition 3.3.** A mapping  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  is called somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open if there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\beta \leq f(\alpha) \neq 0_Y$  for any  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\alpha \neq 0_X$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a bijection. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $f(\alpha) \neq 1_Y$ , then there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\beta \neq 1_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\alpha) < \beta$ .

Proof.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\alpha$  be a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ such that  $f(\alpha) \neq 1_Y$ . Since f is bijective and  $\alpha^c$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ ,  $f(\alpha^c) = (f(\alpha))^c \neq 0_Y$ . And, since f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open mapping, there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\delta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\delta < f(\alpha^c) = (f(\alpha))^c$ . Consequently,  $f(\alpha) < \delta^c = \beta \neq 1_Y$  and  $\beta$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\alpha$  be a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $f(\alpha) \neq 0_Y$ . Then  $\alpha^c$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  and  $f(\alpha^c) \neq 1_Y$ . Hence there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\beta \neq 1_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $f(\alpha^c) < \beta$ . Since f is bijective,  $f(\alpha^c) = (f(\alpha))^c < \beta$ . Hence  $\beta^c < f(\alpha)$  and  $\beta^c \neq 0_X$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Therefore, f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f : (X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  be a surjection. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open.
- (2) If  $\beta$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\beta)$  is a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\beta$  be a pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Suppose  $f^{-1}(\beta)$  is not pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Then there exists a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\alpha$  on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  such that  $f^{-1}(\beta) < \alpha < 1$ . Since f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open and  $\alpha^c$  is a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or

9596

 $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ open set  $\delta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\delta \leq f(Int\alpha^c) \leq f(\alpha^c)$ . Since f is surjective,  $\delta \leq f(\alpha^c) < f(f^{-1}(\beta^c)) = \beta^c$ . Thus there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\delta^c$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\beta < \delta^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $f^{-1}(\beta)$  is pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\alpha$  be a  $\gamma_1$ -fuzzy open or  $\gamma_2$ -fuzzy open set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  and  $f(\alpha) \neq 0_Y$ . Suppose there exists no  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\beta \leq f(\alpha)$ . Then  $(f(\alpha))^c$  is an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy set or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy set  $\delta$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that there exists no  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed or  $\eta_2$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed set  $\delta$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  with  $(f(\alpha))^c < \delta < 1$ . This means that  $(f(\alpha))^c$  is pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . But  $f^{-1}((f(\alpha))^c) = (f^{-1}(f(\alpha)))^c \leq \alpha^c < 1$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $f^{-1}(f(\beta))^c$  is pairwise  $\delta$ -dense fuzzy set on  $(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Hence there exists an  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open or  $\eta_1$ -fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set  $\beta \neq 0_Y$  on  $(Y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $\beta \leq f(\alpha)$ . Therefore, f is somewhat pairwise fuzzy irresolute  $\delta$ -open.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. L. CHANG: Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24(1968), 182–190.
- [2] Z. PETRICEVIC: On fuzzy semi-regularization, separation properties and mappings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **22**(12) (1991), 971–982.
- [3] S. SAHA: Fuzzy δ-continuous mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **126**(1987), 130–142.
- [4] A. SWAMINATHAN, K. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: Somewhat fuzzy δ-irresolute continuous mappings, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 12(1) (2016), 121–126.
- [5] G. THANGARAJ, K. DINAKARAN: On somewhat fuzzy δ-continuous functions, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 10(3) (2015), 433–446.
- [6] L. A. ZADEH: Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8(1965), 338–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS LEKSHMIPURAM COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE NEYYOOR, KANYAKUMARI, TAMIL NADU-629 802, INDIA. *Email address*: sankarisaravanan1968@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) KUMBAKONAM, TAMIL NADU-612 002, INDIA. *Email address*: asnathanway@gmail.com