ADV MATH SCI JOURNAL

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.11, 9639–9645 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.11.69 Spec. Iss. on ICRTAMS-2020

OBTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS CONNECTED WITH CONVOLUTION OF POLYLOGARITHM FUNCTIONS

T. STALIN¹, M. THIRUCHERAN, AND A. ANAND

ABSTRACT. In this work, we investigate some properties for the subclass $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\phi(z))$ of analytic function related with the linear differential operator $\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z)$ defined by polylogarithm functions. And also, we obtain coefficient inequalities, extreme points, radii of convexity and starlikeness, growth and distortion bounds for the subclass $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\phi(z))$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = z$: |z| < 1. For functions f(z) given by (1.1) and g(z) given by

$$\mathsf{g}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

the convolution of f(z) and g(z) is defined by

$$(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. analytic functions, univalent functions, polylogarithm functions, derivative operator.

If f(z) and g(z) are analytic in \mathcal{U} , we state that f(z) is subordinate to g(z), i.e. $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if a Schwarz function w(z) exists, with w(0) = 0 and |w| < 1 such that f(z) = g(w(z)). Moreover, if the function g(z) is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then the above subordination is equivalence holds (see [7,8]). $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if f(0) = g(0), and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$.

For $f \in A$, Al-Oboudi [2] initiated the following differential operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta}^{n} \mathsf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\delta]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad (n \in N_{0} = N \cup \{0\}, \delta > 0 : z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

For $f \in A$, Ruscheweyh [9] established the following differential operator:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\lambda} \mathsf{f}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * \mathsf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+k-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} a_k z^k, \quad (\lambda > -1)$$

Consider p is the class of functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$ analytic in \mathcal{U} , $Re \{p(z)\} > 0$.

Consider the Polylogarithm function $E(n, \delta)$ given by

$$E(n, \delta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{[1 + (k-1)\delta]^n}.$$

Note that $E(-1,1) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ for k = 1, 2, 3, ... is Koebe function. For further additional information about polylogarithms in theory of univalent functions see Ponnusamy and Sabapathy [7], K. Al Shaqsi and M. Daraus [4] and Ponnusamy [8].

Now we introduce a function $E^{\kappa}(n, \delta)$ given by

$$E(n,\delta) * E^{\kappa}(n,\delta) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}}, \lambda > -1, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

thus obtaining the linear operator

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta} f(z) = E^{\kappa}(n,\delta) * f(z).$$

Now we come across the explicit form of the function

$$E^{\kappa}(n,\delta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n \frac{(\lambda+k-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} z^k.$$

From equation (1.2), we define

$$\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta} \mathsf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n \frac{(\lambda+k-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} a_k z^k.$$

9640

9641

Note that $\mathcal{R}_{0,1}^n = \mathcal{D}^n$, $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^0 = \mathcal{D}^\lambda$ which give the Salagean differential operator [10] and Ruscheweyh differential operator [9] respectively. It is obvious that the operator includes two well known operators. Also note that $\mathcal{R}_{0,\delta}^0 = f(z)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{1,\delta}^0 = \mathcal{R}_{0,1}^1 = zf'(z)$.

Definition 1.1. We define $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\phi(z))$ be the class of the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z)} - 1 \right) - \beta \left| \frac{z(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z)} - 1 \right| \prec \phi(z),$$

where $n, \lambda \in N_0, \beta > 0, \delta > 0, b > 0, \phi \in p; z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 1.2. For $\phi(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{(1-z)}$, we define $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\phi(z)) \equiv \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

(1.3)
$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z)} - 1 \right) - \beta \left| \frac{z(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\delta}^{n} \mathbf{f}(z)} - 1 \right| > \alpha,$$

where $n, \lambda \in N_0, \beta > 0, \delta > 0, b > 0, \phi \in p, 0 \le \alpha \le 1; z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{0,\lambda,1,1}^n\phi(z) = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}^n\phi(z), \mathcal{P}_{0,\lambda,1,1}^n(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n(\alpha)$ studied by K. AlShaqsi and M. Darus [4], $\mathcal{P}_{0,0,1,1}^0\phi(z) = \mathcal{S}^*\phi(z)$ studied by Ma and Minda [6], $\mathcal{P}_{0,\lambda,1,1}^0(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ introduced and studied by Ahuja [1] and $\mathcal{P}_{0,0,1,1}^n(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$ introduced and studied by Kadioglu [3].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let f(z) be defined by (1.1). Then $f \in A$ if and only if

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha) [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n \mathcal{C}(\lambda) |a_k| \le (1-\alpha)b,$$

where
$$C(\lambda) = \frac{(k+\lambda-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!}, 0 \le \alpha < 1, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \delta > 0, b > 0, \lambda \ge 0; z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. Suppose that the inequality (2.1) is true and |z| < 1. Then it is proved that the values of (1.3) lies in a circle centered at w = 1 whose radius is $(1 - \alpha)b$. It is sufficient to show that $\left|1 + \frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z(\mathcal{R}^n\lambda,\delta f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} - 1\right) - \beta \left|\frac{z(\mathcal{R}^n\lambda,\delta f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} - 1\right| - \alpha + 1\right| < 1$, which gives $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha)[1 + (k - 1)\delta]^n \mathcal{C}(\lambda) |a_k| \le (1 - \alpha)b$. Hence the condition (2.1) holds.

Conversely, let us assume that the function f defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then $Re\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z(\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}-1\right)-\beta\left|\frac{z(\mathcal{R}^n_{\lambda,\delta}f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^n\lambda,\delta f(z)}-1\right|\right)>\alpha$, by the value of z on the real axis, let $z \to 1^-$ through real values, we obtain the result

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha) [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n \mathcal{C}(\lambda) |a_k| \le (1-\alpha)b$$

Hence the result is sharp for the function $f(z) = z + \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{(kb\beta-b\beta-k+1-b+b\alpha)[1+(k-1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)}$

Theorem 2.2. Let

$$f_1(z) = z, f_k(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{\psi(\lambda)} z^k, k = 2, 3, ...,$$

where $\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha) [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z)$ where $\eta_k > 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z)$$

= $z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{\psi(\lambda)} z^k$
= $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{\psi(\lambda)} (\psi(\lambda))$
= $(1-\alpha)b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k$
= $(1-\alpha)b(1-\eta_1) < (1-\alpha)b$

which shows that $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$. Since $|a_k| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{\psi(\lambda)}, k = 2, 3, \dots$ Let $\eta_k \leq \frac{\psi(\lambda)}{(1-\alpha)b}, \eta_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k$. Then we obtain $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z)$.

9642

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k$, $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then for |z| = r, we have

$$r - \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{(\beta b + \alpha b - b - 1)(1+\delta)^n(\lambda+1)}r^2 \le |\mathbf{f}(z)|$$
$$\le r + \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{((\beta b + \alpha b - b - 1)(1+\delta)^n(\lambda+1)}r^2$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)b}{(\beta b + \alpha b - b - 1)(1+\delta)^n(\lambda+1)}r \le |\mathsf{f}'(z)|$$
$$\le 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)b}{(\beta b + \alpha b - b - 1)(1+\delta)^n(\lambda+1)}r.$$

Putting $\beta = 0, \delta = 1$ in the above theorem the result obtained is analogue the results of M. Thirucheran, M. Vinothkumar and T. Stalin [5].

Corollary 2.1. Let
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k$$
, $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then for $|z| = r$ we have
 $r - \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{(\alpha b - b - 1)(2)^n(\lambda + 1)}r^2 \le |f(z)| \le r + \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{(\alpha b - b - 1)(2)^n(\lambda + 1)}r^2$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)b}{(\alpha b - b - 1)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r \le |\mathsf{f}'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)b}{(\alpha b - b - 1)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r.$$

Putting $\beta = 0, \delta = 1, b = 1$ in the above theorem the result obtained is analogue to the results of K. AlShaqsi and M. Darus [4].

Corollary 2.2. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k$, $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then for |z| = r we have

$$r - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\alpha-2)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r^2 \le |\mathsf{f}(z)| \le r + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\alpha-2)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r^2$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(\alpha-2)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r \le |\mathsf{f}'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(\alpha-2)(2)^n(\lambda+1)}r.$$

Theorem 2.4. The class $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$ is convex.

Proof. Let the function $f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k$, $a_{k,j} \ge 0, j = 1, 2$ lies in the class $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$. It is sufficient to prove that $h(z) = (\gamma + 1)f_1(z) - \gamma f_2(z), 0 \le z \le 1$,

the class $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$. Since $h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(1+\gamma)a_{k,1} - \gamma a_{k,2} \right] z^k$, which implies that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha) [1 + (k - 1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)(1 + \gamma)a_{k,1}$$
$$+ (kb\beta - b\beta - k + 1 - b + b\alpha) [1 + (k - 1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)(\gamma)a_{k,2}$$
$$\leq (1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)b + \gamma(1 - \alpha)b$$
$$\leq (1 - \alpha)b$$

therefore $h \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$. Hence $\mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$ is convex.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then f is close-to-convex of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in the disc $|z| < r_1$, where $r_1 := \left(\frac{(1-\sigma)[(kb\beta-b\beta-k+1-b+b\alpha)[1+(k-1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)]}{(k)(1-\alpha)b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$. **Theorem 2.6.** Let $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then f is starlike of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in the disc $|z| < r_2$, where $r_2 := inf\left(\frac{(1-\sigma)[(kb\beta-b\beta-k+1-b+b\alpha)[1+(k-1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)]}{(k-\sigma)(1-\alpha)b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$, $(k \ge 2)$. **Theorem 2.7.** Let $f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\beta,\lambda,\delta,b}(\alpha)$, then f is convex of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in the disc $|z| < r_3$, where $r_3 := inf\left(\frac{(1-\sigma)[(kb\beta-b\beta-k+1-b+b\alpha)[1+(k-1)\delta]^n C(\lambda)]}{k(k-\sigma)(1-\alpha)b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$, $(k \ge 2)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank referees for their valuable hints to upgrading this paper.

REFERENCES

- O. AHUJA: Integral operators of certain univalent functions, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 8 (1985), 653–662.
- [2] F. M. AL-OBOUDI: On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Internat. J. of Math. and Math. Sci., 2004 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [3] E. KADIOGLU: On subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Appl.Math. Compt., **146** (2003), 351–358.
- [4] K. AL SHAQSI, M. DARUS: An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarthims *functions*, J. of Math. and Stat., **4** (2008), 46–50.
- [5] M. THIRUCHERAN, M. VINOTHKUMAR, T. STALIN: New subclasses of univalent functions with negativeco-efficient associated with convolution of polylogarithms functions, Infokara research, 9 (2020), 114–122.

9644

- [6] W. MA, D.MINDA: An unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, Proc. of the conf. on complex analysis (1994), 157–169.
- [7] S. PONNUSAMY, S. SABAPATHY: Polylogarthims in the theory of equivalent functions, Results in Mathematics, **30** (1996), 136–150.
- [8] S. PONNUSAMY: Inclusion theorems for convolution product of second order Polylogarthims and functions with derivative in a half plane, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 28 (1998), 695–733.
- [9] S. RUSCHEWEYH: *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [10] G. S. SALAGEAN: Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013 (1983), 362–372.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS VEL TECH RANGA SANKU ARTS COLLEGE, AVADI CHENNAI-600 062, TAMIL NADU, INDIA. Email address: goldstaleen@gmail.com

Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics University of Madras L N Government College, Ponneri Chennai - 624 302, Tamil Nadu, INDIA. *Email address*: drthirucheran@gmail.com

Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics University of Madras L N Government College, Ponneri Chennai - 624 302, Tamil Nadu, INDIA. *Email address*: sivaanand830gmail.com