

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.12, 10563–10567 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.12.41

4th HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR α BOUNDED TURNING FUNCTION

GAGANPREET KAUR¹ AND GURMEET SINGH

ABSTRACT. In this present paper, Author have investigated the fourth Hankel determinant for a function of bounded turning in unit disk. Twofold and threefold symmetric functions also investigated for the same class.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us define the most basic class A which represents the set of all analytic (holomorphic) functions f in region $U = \{z; |z| < 1\}$ having form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

In addition, let subfamily S of A i.e. $S \subset A$ be the class of all functions which are univalent in U. Let P represents the class of analytic functions p whose real parts are positive in U having the form $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$.

Let $\mathcal{R}(\alpha), \alpha \in [0,1)$ such that $Re(f'(z)) > \alpha, z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{R}(0) = \mathcal{R}$ class of bounded turning because Re(f'(z)) > 0 is equivalent to $|\arg f'(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\arg f(z)$ is the angle of rotation of the image of a line segment starting from zunder the mapping f. [6] Hankel determinant for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ as $H_{q,n}(f)$ where $q, n \geq 1$ as

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Analytic function, Bounded turning function, Hankel determinant, *n* fold symmetric function.

(1.2)
$$H_{q,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Computing the upper bound of $H_{q,n}$ over different subfamilies of \mathcal{A} is an interesting problem to study. Firstly, Janteng [3], Babalola [2] have found the second and third order Hankel determinant for the class \mathcal{R} respectively. In 2017, Zaprawa ([7]) improved the results obtained in [2] for the class of bounded turning function \mathcal{R} . Fourth Hankel determinant for function with bounded turning is studied in [1].

To find the upper bound of $H_{4,1}(f)$ we need the following results:

Lemma 1.1. [5] If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ then $|c_n| \leq 2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|c_{n+k} - \lambda c_n c_k| \le 2 \qquad (0 \le \lambda \le 1),$$

and

$$|c_m c_n - c_k c_l| \le 4 \qquad (m+n=k+l).$$

Theorem 1.1. [1] Let $g \in S^*$ where $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ then for any real λ

$$|b_{2}^{2}(b_{3} - \lambda b_{2}^{2})| = \begin{cases} 4(3 - 4\lambda) & for \quad \lambda \leq \frac{5}{8};\\ \frac{1}{2(2\lambda - 1)} & for \quad \lambda \in [\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}];\\ \frac{1}{4(1 - \lambda)} & for \quad \lambda \in [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}];\\ 4(4\lambda - 3) & for \quad \lambda \geq \frac{7}{8}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2. [4] If $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$, then $|a_n| \le \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{n}$, $n \ge 2$, and

(1.3)
$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \le \frac{A^2}{3} \left[\frac{40A + 36}{45} + \frac{(1+4A)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{12\sqrt{3}} \right],$$

where $A = 1 - \alpha$.

10564

${\cal H}_{4,1}$ FOR BOUNDED TURNING FUNCTIONS

2. BOUNDS OF FOURTH HANKEL DETERMINANT

First, $H_{4,1}(f)$ where $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1.1) can be written as

(2.1)
$$H_{4,1}(f) = a_7 H_{3,1}(f) - a_6 \Delta_1 + a_5 \Delta_2 - a_4 \Delta_3$$

where $\Delta_1 = (a_3a_6 - a_4a_5) - a_2(a_2a_6 - a_3a_5) + a_4(a_2a_4 - a_3^2), \Delta_2 = (a_4a_6 - a_5^2) - a_2(a_3a_6 - a_4a_5) + a_3(a_3a_5 - a_4^2), \text{ and } \Delta_3 = a_2(a_4a_6 - a_5^2) - a_3(a_3a_6 - a_4a_5) + a_4(a_3a_5 - a_4^2).$

Theorem 2.1. If
$$f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$$
 then $|H_{4,1}(f)| \le (1-\alpha)^3 \left[\frac{1}{42} \left(\frac{5-4\alpha}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1139(1-\alpha)}{3760} + \frac{10508}{23625}\right]$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha), \alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then $\frac{f'(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} = p(z)$, where $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and

$$\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}na_nz^{n-1}\right)-\alpha=(1-\alpha)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}c_nz^n\right)$$

By identifying the coefficients, $a_n = \frac{A}{n}c_{n-1}$, $A = (1 - \alpha)$ and substitute in Δ_i 's:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1 &= \frac{A^2 c_5}{24} (c_2 - A c_1^2) + \frac{A^2 c_3}{36} (c_4 - A c_2^2) - \frac{A^2 c_3}{32} (c_4 - A c_1 c_3) \\ &\quad - \frac{67 A^2 c_4}{1440} (c_3 - A c_1 c_2) + \frac{19 A^2 c_2}{1440} (c_5 - A c_1 c_4) + \frac{A^2 c_2 c_5}{1440}; \\ \Delta_2 &= \frac{A^2 c_5}{36} (c_3 - A c_1 c_2) - \frac{A^2 c_4}{45} (c_4 - A c_2^2) + \frac{A^2 c_3}{48} (c_5 - A c_2 c_3) \\ &\quad - \frac{13 A^2 c_3}{1800} (c_5 - A c_1 c_4) - \frac{4 A^2}{225} (c_4 - A c_1 c_3) + \frac{A^2 c_3 c_5}{3600}; \\ \Delta_3 &= \frac{A^3 c_5}{54} (c_4 - c_2^2) - \frac{A^3 c_5}{48} (c_4 - c_1 c_3) + \frac{A^3 c_3}{64} c_3 (c_6 - c_3^2) - \frac{A^3 c_3}{64} (c_6 - c_2 c_4) \\ &\quad + \frac{A^3 c_4}{50} (c_5 - c_1 c_4) - \frac{17 A^3 c_4}{960} (c_5 - c_2 c_3) + \frac{A^3 c_4 c_5}{43200}. \end{split}$$

Using the triangular inequality along with lemma (1.1), we obtain

(2.2)
$$|\Delta_1| \le \frac{29}{45}(1-\alpha)^2, \quad |\Delta_2| \le \frac{173}{450}(1-\alpha)^2, \quad |\Delta_3| \le \frac{13}{30}(1-\alpha)^3.$$

Now using (2.2),(1.3) and (1.2) in (2.1), we obtain our required result.

Remark 2.1. Choosing $\alpha = 0$ in (2.1), we get [1] $H_{4,1}(f) \leq \frac{73757}{94500} \simeq 0.78050$.

A function f is said to be n-fold symmetric if $f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon f(z)$ holds for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\varepsilon = \exp(\frac{2\Pi \iota}{n})$. The set of all n-fold symmetric functions belonging to S is denoted by $S^{(\backslash)} = f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk+1} z^{nk+1}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

10565

G. KAUR AND G. SINGH

An analytic function $f \in S^{(n)}$ belongs to the family $(\mathcal{R}(\alpha))^{(n)}$ if and only if $\frac{f'(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} = p(z)$ with $p \in \mathcal{P}^{(n)} = \{p(z) : p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{nk} z^{nk}\}.$

Theorem 2.2. If $f \in (\mathcal{R}(\alpha))^{(3)}$, $\alpha \in [0,1)$ then $|H_{4,1}(f)| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{49}$.

Proof. Let $f \in (\mathcal{R}(\alpha))^{(3)}$, $\exists \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}^{*(3)}$ of the form $z + d_4 z^4 + d_7 z^7 + ...$ such that $\frac{z\tilde{g}'(z)}{\tilde{g}(z)}$ Since $f \in (\mathcal{R}(\alpha))^{(3)} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ for n = 3, we have $1 + 3d_4 z^3 + (6d_7 - 3d_4^2)z^6 + \cdots = 1 + \frac{4}{1-\alpha}a_4 z^3 + \frac{7}{1-\alpha}a_7 z^6 + \cdots$, after equating $3d_4 = \frac{4}{1-\alpha}a_4$, $6d_7 - 3d_4^2 = \frac{7}{1-\alpha}a_7$, Since $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}^{*(3)}$, $\exists g$ in \mathcal{S}^* of the form (1.1) such that $\tilde{g}(z) = \sqrt[3]{g(z^3)}$. Thus $z + d_4 z^4 + d_7 z^7 + \ldots = z + \frac{1}{3}b_2 z^4 + (\frac{1}{3}b_3 - \frac{1}{9}b_2^2)z^7 + \cdots$.

$$d_4 = \frac{1}{3}b_2, \ d_7 = (\frac{1}{3}b_3 - \frac{1}{9}b_2^2)$$

Now by rearranging the coefficients $a_4 = \frac{1-\alpha}{4}b_2$, $a_7 = \frac{1-\alpha}{7}(2b_3 - b_2^2)$. We observe that $a_2 = a_3 = a_5 = a_6 = 0$. It is also clear that $H_{4,1}(f) = a_4^2(a_4^2 - a_7)$. This implies $|H_{4,1}(f)| = \frac{(1-\alpha)^3}{56}|b_2^2(b_3 - \frac{(23-7\alpha)}{32}b_2^2)|$.

Using Theorem 1.1 where $\lambda = \frac{(23-7\alpha)}{32} \in [\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}]$, we get our desired result.

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in (\mathcal{R}(\alpha))^{(2)}$, $\alpha \in [0,1)$ then $|H_{4,1}(f)| \leq \frac{368(1-\alpha)^3}{2625}$.

Proof. Since
$$f \in \mathcal{S}^{*(2)}$$
, $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ such that $\frac{f(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} = p(z)$, after equating $a_3 = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{3}c_2$, $a_5 = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{5}c_4$, $a_7 = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{7}p_6$ and $H_{4,1}(f) = a_3a_5a_7 - a_3^3a_7 + a_3^2a_5^2 - a_5^3$.
 $|H_{4,1}(f)| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^3}{105} \cdot \left| \left(c_2c_6 - \frac{21}{25}c_4^2 \right) \right| \cdot \left| \left(c_4 - \frac{5(1-\alpha)}{9}c_2^2 \right) \right| = \frac{368(1-\alpha)^3}{2625}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ARIF, L. RANI, M. RAZA, P. ZAPRAWA: Fourth Hankel determinant for the family of functions with bounded turning, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 55(6) (2018), 1703-1711.
- [2] K. O. BABALOLA: On H_3(1) Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions, arXiv preprint arXiv:0910.3779.
- [3] A. JANTENG, S. A. HALIM, M. DARUS: Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 7(2) (2006), 1-5.
- [4] D. V. KRISHNA, B. VENKATESWARLU, T. RAMREDDY: Third Hankel determinant for bounded turning functions of order alpha, J. Nigerian Math. soc., 34 (2015), 121-127.
- [5] C. POMMERENKE: Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.

10566

- [6] C. POMMERENKE: On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, Mathematika, 14(1) (1967), 108-112.
- [7] P. ZAPRAWA: *Third Hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions*, Medit. J. Math., 14 (2017), art.no.19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PUNJABI UNIVERSITY PATIALA, INDIA Email address: gaganpreet_rs18@pbi.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KHALSA COLLGE, PATIALA, INDIA *Email address*: meetgur111@gmail.com