

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.12, 10707–10716 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.12.55

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR STARLIKENESS USING SUBORDINATION METHOD

SKENDER AVDIJI AND NIKOLA TUNESKI

ABSTRACT. Let *f* be analytic in the unit disk and normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. In this paper using a method from the theory of first order differential subordination we investigate the sufficient conditions over the differential subordination

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

that implies $p(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, and further use it for obtaining inequalities over the function f.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Analytic function f defined in the domain D is univalent if it is injective. Let \mathcal{A} denotes the class of functions f that are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0, i.e., such that $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$.

A function $f \in A$ is said to be *starlike* if, and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right] > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

We denote by S^* the class of all such functions which are at the same time univalent. Their geometrical characterisations is the following: *f* is starlike if, and only

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

Key words and phrases. analytic function, univalent function, differential subordination, sufficient condition.

if, $t\omega \in f(\mathbb{D})$ for all $\omega \in f(\mathbb{D})$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e., for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, f(z) is visible from the origin. For details see [1,7].

A special subclass of S^* is the class of *starlike function of order* α with $0 \le \alpha < 1$, given by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Further, a function f is said to be subordinate to F, written $f \prec F$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$, if there exists a function w analytic in \mathbb{D} with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1, and such that f(z) = F(w(z)). If F is univalent, then $f \prec F$ if, and only if, f(0) = F(0) and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset F(\mathbb{D})$. For details see [2].

Using subordination, another generalisation is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^*[A,B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},\$$

 $-1 \le B < A \le 1$. Geometrically, this means that the image of \mathbb{D} by zf'(z)/f(z) is inside the open disk centered on the real axis with diameter endpoints (1-A)/(1-B) and (1+A)/(1+B). In [5] it is given that special selections of A and B lead us to the following:

-
$$\mathcal{S}^*[1, -1] \equiv \mathcal{S}^*$$
;
- $\mathcal{S}^*[1 - 2\alpha, -1] \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha), 0 \le \alpha < 1$.

Next, we denote by \mathcal{K} the class of *convex functions*, i.e., the class of function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right] > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

and its generalization, the class of *convex functions of order* α , with $0 \le \alpha < 1$, given by

$$\mathcal{K}(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right] > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

Both these classes (S^* and \mathcal{K}) are subclasses of univalent function in \mathbb{D} and even more $\mathcal{K} \subset S^*$. For details see [1,7].

In this paper we study the differential subordination of the form

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}, \quad -1 \le B < A \le 1,$$

and conditions when it implies the subordination $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, where p(z) is analytic function and p(0) = 1. For special selection of the function p(z), for example for p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z), p(z) = f(z)/z and p(z) = f'(z) the left hand side of this subordination will give special cases that will imply results over inequalities involving the function f.

For that purpose we will use a method from the theory of first order differential subordinations. If $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in the domain D, if h(z) is univalent in \mathbb{D} , and if p(z) is analytic in \mathbb{D} with $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$ when $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then we say tha p(z) satisfies the *(first-order) differential subordination*

(1.1)
$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) \prec h(z)$$

The function p(z) is called the *solution of differential subordination* (1.1). The univalent function q(z) is called *dominant* of the solution of differential equation (1.1) if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all p(z) satisfying (1.1). The dominant $\tilde{q}(x)$ satisfies $\tilde{q}(x) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants q(z) of (1.1) is said to be *the best dominant* of (1.1).

From this theory we will make use of the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [2].

Lemma 1.1. [2] Let q be univalent in the unit disk \mathbb{D} , and let $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\mathbb{D})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{D})$. Set $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$, $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$, and suppose that:

 $(i) \ Q$ is starlike in the unit disk \mathbb{D} ,

(*ii*)
$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right] > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If p is analytic in \mathbb{D} , with p(0) = q(0), $p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq D$ and

(1.2)
$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = h(z)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, and q is the best dominant of (1.2).

2. MAIN RESULTS AND CONSEQUENCES

First we will prove a lemma that will later lead to the main result.

Lemma 2.1. Let p(z) be analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{D} , p(0) = 1, $0 \notin p(\mathbb{D})$. Also, let A, B be a real number with $-1 \leq B < A \leq -1$. If

(2.1)
$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2},$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ and q(z) is the best dominant of (2.1).

Proof. In Lemma 1.1 we choose $\theta(\omega) = \omega$ and $\phi(\omega) = 1$, which are analytic in domain $D = \mathbb{C}$. Then q(z) is univalent in \mathbb{D} and $\phi(\omega)$ and $\theta(\omega)$ are analytic in domain $D = \mathbb{C}$ containing $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ with $\phi(\omega) \neq 0$ when $\omega \in q(\mathbb{D})$. Further, set

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2},$$

which is starlike because

$$\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{1 - Bz}{1 + Bz}$$

and for $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} = \frac{1 - B^2}{|1 + Be^{i\theta}|^2} \ge 0.$$

Next,

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

and

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{2}{1+Bz}$$

For $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} = \frac{2 + 2B\cos\theta}{1 + 2B\cos\theta + B^2} = \frac{2 + 2B\cos\theta}{|1 + Be^{i\theta}|^2} \ge 0$$

So, from p(0) = q(0) = 1 and from (1.2) we receive that $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best dominant od (2.1).

Putting $p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ in Lemma 1 we obtain the main result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in A$, and let A, B be a real numbers, $-1 \le B < A \le -1$. If

(2.2)
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2} \equiv h(z)$$

then

(2.3)
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

The right hand side of (2.3) is the best dominant of (2.2).

Proof. Let
$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$
 and $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$. Then,

$$p(z) + zp'(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right],$$

$$q(z) + zq'(z) = \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

and

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec q(z) + zq'(z).$$

Since, p(0)=q(0)=1 from Lemma 1.1 we have $p(z)\prec q(z),$ i.e.,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where q(z) is best dominant.

Corollary 2.1. Let
$$f \in A$$
.
(i) If $-1 \le B < A \le 1$ and
 $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \right| < (A - B) \frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2}$,
 $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then
 $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(x)} - 1 \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - |B|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$.
(ii) If $B = 0$ and $0 < A \le 1$, then
 $\left| zf'(z) \right| \left(-zf''(z) \right) = \left(-zf'(z) \right) \right| = 1$

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)+\left(1-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right]-1\right| \le 2A, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

implies

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(x)} - 1\right| < A, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(*iii*) If
$$B = -1$$
 and $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, then:

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \right| < \frac{3}{2}(1 - \alpha), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
 implies that $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha).$

г			ч	
L			1	
-	_	_	_	

Proof.

(i) By the definition of subordination, we have that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \prec \frac{(A-B)z}{1+Bz}$$

and implies that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \inf_{|z|=1} \frac{(A-B)z}{1+Bz} = \frac{A-B}{1-|B|},$$

i.e.,

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - |B|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

So, the conclusion in (i) will follow from Theorem 2.1 if we show that

$$\min_{\theta \in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| = (A - B) \frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2},$$

where h is defined in Theorem 2.1,

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

From

$$|h(e^{i\theta}) - 1|^2 = \frac{(A - B)^2(4 + B^2 + 4B\cos t)}{(1 + B^2 + 2B\cos t)}$$

using $x = \cos t$, $-1 \le x \le 1$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{(A-B)^2(4+B^2+4Bx)}{(1+B^2+2Bx)}$$

and

$$\varphi'(x) = -\frac{4(A-B)^2 B(3+2Bx)}{(1+B^2+2Bx)}$$

The equation $\varphi'(x) = 0$ is equivalent to $-\frac{4(A-B)^2B(3+2Bx)}{(1+B^2+2Bx)} = 0$ with solution $x = -\frac{3}{2B}$. But, since $-1 \le B \le 1$, we have $-\frac{3}{2B} \notin [-1,1]$, meaning that the extreme values occur for x = -1 and x = 1 (at the endpoints). Next,

$$\varphi(\pm 1) = (A - B)^2 \frac{(2 \pm B)^2}{(1 \pm B)^4} = (A - B)^2 \left[\frac{2 \pm B}{(1 \pm B)^2}\right]^2$$

and

 $\varphi(1) > \varphi(-1) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B < 0.$

This means that

$$B < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min_{t \in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta}) - 1)|^2 = \varphi(-1)$$

and

$$B>0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min_{t\in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta})-1)|^2 = \varphi(1),$$

i.e., that

$$\min_{t \in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta}) - 1)|^2 = (A - B)^2 \left(\frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2}\right)^2$$

and

$$\min_{t \in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| = (A - B) \frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of (*i*).

(*ii*) Follows from (*i*) for B = 0.

(*iii*) When B = -1 and $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, from

$$\min_{t \in [0,2\pi]} |h(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| = (A - B)\frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2} = \frac{3}{2}(1 - \alpha)$$

and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z},$$

we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

or $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$.

Putting $p(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z}$ in Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in A$, and let A, B be a real numbers such that $-1 \leq B < A \leq -1$. If

(2.4)
$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

then

(2.5)
$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

And the right hand side of (2.5) is the best dominant of (2.4).

In a similar way as we obtained Corollary 2.1 from Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 implies the following result.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in A$.

(*i*) If $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, then

$$|f'(z) - 1| \le (A - B)\frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

implies

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - |B|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(*ii*) If B = 0, and $0 < A \le 1$, then

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 2A, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

implies

(*iii*) If
$$B = -1$$
 and $A = 1 - 2\alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1), (-1 < A \le 1)$ then
 $|f'(z) - 1| < \frac{3}{2}(1 - \alpha), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By specifying values for A and B in Corollary 2.2 we receive the following

Example 1.

(i) For A = 1 and $B = \frac{-3+\sqrt{17}}{4} = 0.28...$ we have that $|f'(z) - 1| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$

implies

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(*ii*) For A = 1 and B = 0 we have that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

implies

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(iii) For B=-1 and $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ we have that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \frac{3}{4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{f(z)}{z} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Putting p(z) = f'(z) in Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following theorem followed by a corollary in a analogue way as before.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in A$, and let A, B be a real numbers such that $-1 \le B < A \le -1$. If

(2.6)
$$f'(z) + zf''(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az(2 + Bz)}{(1 + Bz)^2}$$

then

$$(2.7) f'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

The right hand side of (2.7) is the best dominant of (2.6).

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in A$.

(*i*) If $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, then

$$|f'(z) + zf''(z) - 1| < (A - B)\frac{2 + |B|}{(1 + |B|)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

implies

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \frac{A - B}{1 - |B|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(*ii*) If B = 0, and $0 < A \le 1$, then

$$|f'(z) + zf''(z) - 1| < 2A, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

implies

$$|f'(z) - 1| < A, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

S. AVDIJI AND N. TUNESKI

(*iii*) If
$$B = -1$$
 and $A = 1 - 2\alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1)$, $(-1 < A \le 1)$ then
 $|f'(z) + zf''(z) - 1| < \frac{3}{2}(1 - \alpha), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$

implies

 $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$

Specifying values for *A*, *B* and α in Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, in a similar way as in Example 1, we can obtain other examples.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. DUREN: Univalent functions, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] S. S. MILLER, P. T. MOCANU: *Differential subordinations, Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 2000.
- [3] N. TUNESKI: On the quotion of representation of convexity and starlikness, Math. Nachr., **248**(249) (2003), 200–203.
- [4] N. TUNESKI: Some results on starliknes and convex function, Applicable analysis and discrete mathematics, 1 (2007), 293–298.
- [5] N. TUNESKI: On some sufficient conditions for starlikness, Scientia Magna, 6 (2010), 105–109.
- [6] E. STROHHÄCKER: Beitrage zür Theorie der schlichten Funktionen, Math. Zeitschr., 37 (1933), 356–380.
- [7] D. K. THOMAS, N. TUNESKI, A. VASUDEVARAO: *Univalent Functions: A Primer*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **69**, De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2018.

Email address: skender.av@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje Karpoš II b.b., 1000 Skopje Republic of North Macedonia *Email address*: nikola.tuneski@mf.edu.mk