

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **9** (2020), no.12, 10969–10981 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.12.80

# OSCILLATIONS IN SECOND-ORDER DAMPED DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH A SUPERLINEAR NEUTRAL TERM

G. E. CHATZARAKIS<sup>1</sup>, R. KANAGASABAPATHI, S. SELVARANGAM, AND E. THANDAPANI

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns the oscillatory behavior of the solutions to secondorder damped nonlinear difference equations with a superlinear neutral term. We obtain oscillatory criteria by a Riccati type transformation as well as summation averaging conditions. We provide examples, illustrating the results and discuss extensions of this work, for future research.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the oscillation of the solutions to second-order neutral difference equations with a superlinear neutral term and a damping term, having the general form

$$\Delta(b(n)\Delta u(n)) + d(n)\Delta u(n) + q(n)x^{\beta}(n-\sigma) = 0, \quad n \ge n_0, \tag{E}$$

where  $n_0$  is a positive integer, and  $u(n) = x(n) + p(n)x^{\alpha}(n-\tau)$ .

Through the rest of the paper, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (*H*<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ratios of odd positive integers with  $\alpha \geq 1$ ;
- (*H*<sub>2</sub>) {*b*(*n*)}, {*p*(*n*)}, {*d*(*n*)} and {*q*(*n*)} are positive real sequences with  $p(n) \ge 1$ ,  $p(n) \ne 1$  for large *n*;
- (*H*<sub>3</sub>)  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are positive integers with  $\tau < \sigma$ .

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 39A10.

Key words and phrases. Oscillation, second-order, neutral difference equation, damping term.

Let  $\theta = \max{\{\tau, \sigma\}}$ . By a solution of (E), we mean a real sequence  $\{x(n)\}$  defined for all  $n \ge n_0 - \theta$ , and satisfying (E) for all  $n \ge n_0$ . A nontrivial solution of (E)is called *oscillatory* if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, and *nonoscillatory* otherwise. Equation (E) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

The study of the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of various classes of secondorder neutral difference equations without damping terms has been a very active area of research over the years; for recent contributions see for example [1-5, 8-10, 12, 15-17, 19, 20] and the references cited therein. However, while reviewing the literature it becomes clear that results on the oscillation of the solutions of second-order neutral difference equations with damping terms are relatively scarce, see [11, 13, 18] for typical results in this area. Even though the work in [11, 13, 18] deals with second-order neutral difference equations with a damping term, the results obtained in these papers cannot be applied to the case where p(n) > 1 and/or  $p(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

To the best of our knowledge, there are no results for second-order difference equations with a superlinear neutral term and a damping term in the case where  $p(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the aim in the present paper is to investigate the oscillatory behavior of (E) and establish new results that extend and generalize the existing criteria. In this sense, this paper constitutes a valid contribution to the theory of the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of second-order damped difference equations with a superlinear neutral term.

### 2. OSCILLATION RESULTS

In this section, we present sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of (E) when

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)E(n)} = \infty, \quad E(n) = \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{b(s)}{b(s) - d(s)} \right)$$

and

(2.2) 
$$b(n) - d(n) > 0,$$

for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

Define

$$B(n) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b(s)},$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (2.1) and (2.2) hold and  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of (E). Then, the following inequalities simultaneously hold, for all sufficiently large n:

(2.3) 
$$u(n) > 0, \ \Delta u(n) > 0, \ \Delta (b(n)\Delta u(n)) < 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that x(n) > 0,  $x(n - \tau) > 0$  and  $x(n - \sigma) > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$ . Then u(n) > 0 and either  $\{\Delta u(n)\}$  is oscillatory or  $\{\Delta u(n)\}$  is nonoscillatory for all  $n \ge n_1$ . Let  $\{\Delta u(n)\}$  be oscillatory. Then, there exists  $n_1 > n_0$  such that either  $\Delta u(n_1) < 0$  or  $\Delta u(n_1) = 0$ . First assume  $\Delta u(n_1) < 0$ . From equation (*E*), we have

$$\Delta u(n_1+1) - \frac{(b(n_1) - d(n_1))\Delta u(n_1)}{b(n_1+1)} = -\frac{q(n_1)}{b(n_1+1)}x_1^\beta(n_1 - \sigma) < 0,$$

or

(2.4) 
$$\Delta u(n_1+1) < \frac{(b(n_1) - d(n_1))\Delta u(n_1)}{b(n_1+1)}$$

which by (2.2) implies that  $\Delta u(n_1 + 1) < 0$ . By induction we have

$$\Delta u(n) < 0$$
 for all  $n \ge n_1$ .

If  $\Delta u(n_1) = 0$ , then from (2.4) we obtain  $\Delta u(n_1+1) < 0$ . Using a similar argument as above, we get  $\Delta u(n) < 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ . Hence in both cases we obtain  $\Delta u(n) < 0$  eventually which is a contradiction. Thus  $\Delta u(n) > 0$  or  $\Delta u(n) < 0$  eventually.

Assume  $\Delta u(n) < 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$ . Letting  $z(n) = -b(n)\Delta u(n) > 0$ , we get from (E) that

$$\Delta z(n) + \frac{d(n)}{b(n)} z(n) \ge 0, \quad n \ge n_1,$$

or

$$z(n+1) - \left(1 - \frac{d(n)}{b(n)}\right)z(n) \ge 0,$$

which implies that

$$z(n) \ge \frac{z(n_1)}{E(n)}.$$

Then

$$\Delta u(n) \le \frac{b(n_1)\Delta u(n_1)}{b(n)E(n)}, \quad n \ge n_1.$$

Summing up the last inequality from  $n_1$  to n and taking (2.1) into account, we obtain

$$u(n+1) \le u(n_1) + b(n_1)\Delta u(n_1) \sum_{s=n_1}^n \frac{1}{b(s)E(s)} \to -\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

which contradicts that u(n) is positive. Hence  $\Delta u(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_1$ . From (*E*), we see that (2.3) holds. The proof of the lemma is complete.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let (2.1) and (2.2) hold and  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of (E) such that (2.3) holds. Then

(2.5) 
$$u(n) \ge B(n)b(n)\Delta u(n), \quad n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$$

and  $\left\{\frac{u(n)}{B(n)}\right\}$  is eventually decreasing.

*Proof.* The proof is contained in Lemma 2.2 in [10], and thus, the details are omitted.

For convenient, we use the following notation:

$$\Pi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ a_1 & \text{if } \beta > \alpha \\ a_2 B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1}(n) & \text{if } \beta < \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_1, a_2$  are positive real constants, and for any positive real sequence  $\xi(n)$ , we define

$$\eta(n) = \frac{b(n)\Delta\xi(n) - \xi(n)d(n)}{b(n)\xi(n+1)}$$

To prove our main results, we use the additional condition:

 $(H_4)$  For every positive constant  $\delta$ , we have

$$\phi(n) = \frac{1}{p(n+\tau)} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B(n+2\tau)}{B(n+\tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{p^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n+2\tau)} \right] > 0$$

for all sufficiently large n.

Note that if  $\alpha > 1$ , the above condition requires  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(n) = \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma) = \infty$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ , then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (E). Without loss of generality, we may assume x(n) > 0,  $x(n-\tau) > 0$  and  $x(n-\sigma) > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$ , where  $n_1$  is chosen so that (2.3) holds for all  $n \ge n_1$ .

From the definition of u(n), we have

$$x^{\alpha}(n-\tau) = \frac{1}{p(n)}(u(n) - x(n)) \le \frac{u(n)}{p(n)},$$

or

$$x(n) \le \frac{u^{1/\alpha}(n+2\tau)}{p^{1/\alpha}(n+2\tau)}.$$

Using this in the definition of u(n), we obtain

(2.7) 
$$x^{\alpha}(n) \ge \frac{1}{p(n+\tau)} \left[ u(n+\tau) - \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n+2\tau)}{p^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n+2\tau)} \right]$$

Since  $\frac{u(n)}{B(n)}$  is decreasing, we get

(2.8) 
$$\frac{B(n+2\tau)u(n+\tau)}{B(n+\tau)} \ge u(n+2\tau).$$

Using (2.8) in (2.7), we obtain

(2.9) 
$$x^{\alpha}(n) \ge \frac{u(n+\tau)}{p(n+\tau)} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{B(n+2\tau)}{B(n+\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}(n+2\tau)}{p^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n+2\tau)} \right].$$

Since  $\{u(n)\}\$  is positive and increasing for  $n \ge n_1$ , there exists an integer  $n_2 \ge n_1$ and a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

(2.10) 
$$u(n) \ge \delta \text{ for } n \ge n_2.$$

Substituting (2.10) in (2.9), we get

$$x^{\alpha}(n) \ge \phi(n)u(n+\tau), \quad n \ge n_2,$$

or

(2.11) 
$$x^{\alpha}(n-\sigma) \ge \phi(n-\sigma)u(n+\tau-\sigma), \quad n \ge n_3 \ge n_2.$$

Using (2.11) in (E), we obtain

(2.12) 
$$\Delta(b(n)\Delta u(n)) + d(n)\Delta u(n) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)u^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0, \quad n \ge n_3.$$

Since d(n) > 0 and  $\Delta u(n) > 0$ , it follows from (2.12) that

(2.13) 
$$\Delta(b(n)(\Delta u(n)) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)u^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0.$$

Summing up (2.13) from  $n_3$  to n-1 and using (2.10), we obtain

$$b(n)\Delta u(n) \le b(n_3)\Delta u(n_3) - \delta^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} q(s)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma) \to -\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\Delta u(n)$  is positive. The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If there exists a positive real sequence  $\{\xi(n)\}$  such that

(2.14)  
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=n_1}^n \left[ \xi(s)q(s)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma)\Pi(s+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(s+\tau-\sigma)}{B(s)} - \frac{b(s)\eta^2(s)\xi^2(s+1)}{4\xi(s)} \right] = \infty$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ , then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (E). Without loss of generality there is an integer  $n_1 \ge n_0$  such that x(n) > 0,  $x(n - \tau) > 0$  and  $x(n - \sigma) > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ , where  $n_1$  is chosen so that u(n) satisfies condition (2.3) for all  $n \ge n_1$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we arrive at (2.12) for  $n \ge n_3$ , which can be written as

(2.15) 
$$\Delta(b(n)\Delta u(n))) + d(n)\Delta u(n) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)u^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}(n+\tau-\sigma)u(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0,$$

for  $n \ge n_3$ . Since  $\frac{u(n)}{B(n)}$  is decreasing, there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$(2.16) u(n) \le cB(n), \quad n \ge n_3.$$

In view of (2.10) and (2.16), inequality (2.15) can be written as

(2.17) 
$$\Delta(b(n)\Delta u(n))) + d(n)\Delta u(n) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)\Pi(n+\tau-\sigma)u(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0,$$

for  $n \ge n_3$ . Using the Riccati substitution, we define the sequence  $\{w(n)\}$ ,

(2.18) 
$$w(n) = \xi(n) \frac{b(n)\Delta u(n)}{u(n)}, \quad \text{for } n \ge n_3.$$

Clearly w(n) > 0, and from (2.17) and (2.18), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w(n) &= \frac{\Delta \xi(n)}{\xi(n)} w(n+1) + \frac{\xi(n)}{u(n)} \Delta(b(n) \Delta u(n)) \\ &\quad -\frac{\xi(n)}{u(n)u(n+1)} b(n+1) \Delta u(n+1) \Delta u(n) \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta \xi(n)}{\xi(n)} w(n+1) + \frac{\xi(n)}{u(n)} \left[ -d(n) \Delta u(n) \right. \\ &\quad -q(n) \phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma) \Pi(n+\tau-\sigma) u(n+\tau-\sigma) \right] - \frac{\xi(n)}{b(n)\xi^2(n+1)} w^2(n+1) \\ &\leq \eta(n) w(n+1) - \xi(n) q(n) \phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma) \Pi(n+\tau-\sigma) \frac{u(n+\tau-\sigma)}{u(n)} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19) \qquad -\frac{\xi(n)}{b(n)\xi^2(n+1)} w^2(n+1).$$

Since  $\frac{u(n)}{B(n)}$  is decreasing, we have

$$\frac{u(n+\tau-\sigma)}{u(n)} \ge \frac{B(n+\tau-\sigma)}{B(n)}$$

Substituting this in (2.19), we obtain

$$\Delta w(n) \leq \eta(n)w(n+1) - \xi(n)q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)\Pi(n+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(n+\tau-\sigma)}{B(n)}$$
(2.20) 
$$-\frac{\xi(n)}{b(n)\xi^{2}(n+1)}w^{2}(n+1).$$

Completing the square with respect to w, we get

$$\Delta w(n) \leq -\xi(n)q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)\Pi(n+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(n+\tau-\sigma)}{B(n)} + \frac{b(n)\xi^2(n+1)\eta^2(n)}{4\xi(n)}$$

for  $n \ge n_3$ . Summing up the last inequality from  $n_3$  to n yields,

$$\sum_{s=n_3}^n \left[ \xi(s)q(s)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma)\Pi(s+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(s+\tau-\sigma)}{B(s)} - \frac{b(s)\xi^2(s+1)\eta^2(s)}{4\xi(s)} \right] < w(n_3),$$

which contradicts (2.14). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 2.2 enables us to propose various conditions, for the oscillation of (E) by different choices of  $\{\xi(n)\}$ . For example, letting  $\xi(n) = 1$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If

(2.21) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=n_1}^n \left[ q(s)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma)\Pi(s+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(s+\tau-\sigma)}{B(s)} - \frac{d^2(s)}{4b(s)} \right] = \infty$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ , then (E) is oscillatory.

Next, we present a new oscillation result for (E), assuming that  $\eta(n) \leq 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If there exists a positive real sequence such that  $\eta(n) \leq 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , and

(2.22) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=n_1}^n \xi(s) q(s) \phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma) \Pi(s+\tau-\sigma) \frac{B(s+\tau-\sigma)}{B(s)} = \infty$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ , then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (E). Without loss of generality, we may assume x(n) > 0,  $x(n - \tau) > 0$  and  $x(n - \sigma) > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1$  for some integer  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . Then from Lemma 2.1,  $\{u(n)\}$  satisfies condition (2.3) for all  $n \ge n_2 \ge n_1$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we arrive at (2.20) for  $n \ge n_2$ . Since  $\eta(n) \le 0$  and w(n) > 0, the inequality (2.20) can be written as

$$\Delta w(n) \le -\xi(n)q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)\Pi(n+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(n+\tau-\sigma)}{B(n)}, \quad n \ge n_2.$$

Summing up the last inequality from  $n_3$  to n, we get

$$\sum_{s=n_3}^n \xi(s)q(s)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s-\sigma)\Pi(s+\tau-\sigma)\frac{B(s+\tau-\sigma)}{B(s)} < w(n_2),$$

which contradicts (2.22). The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If

(2.23) 
$$\Delta V(n) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma)V^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) = 0$$

is oscillatory, then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (E). Without loss of generality, we may assume x(n) > 0,  $x(n - \tau) > 0$  and  $x(n - \sigma) > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_1$  for some integer  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . Then by Lemma 2.1, the sequence  $\{u(n)\}$  satisfies (2.3) for all

 $n \ge n_2 \ge n_1$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we arrive at (2.13), that is

(2.24) 
$$\Delta(b(n)(\Delta u(n)) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)u^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0.$$

From (2.5), we obtain

(2.25) 
$$u(n+\tau-\sigma) \ge B(n+\tau-\sigma)b(n+\tau-\sigma)\Delta u(n+\tau-\sigma), \quad n \ge n_2.$$

Using (2.25) in (2.24) yields

$$\Delta(b(n)(\Delta u(n))) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma)(b(n+\tau-\sigma)\Delta u(n+\tau-\sigma))^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \le 0.$$

Let  $V(n) = b(n)\Delta u(n) > 0$  be a positive solution of the inequality

$$\Delta V(n) + q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma)V^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) \le 0.$$

Then by Lemma 1 of [6], the corresponding equation (2.23) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. Now, the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.2.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sum_{s=n+\tau-\sigma}^{n-1} q(s)\phi(s-\sigma)B(s+\tau-\sigma) > \left(\frac{\sigma-\tau}{\sigma-\tau+1}\right)^{\sigma-\tau+1} \quad , when \quad \alpha = \beta$$
(2.26)

and

(2.27) 
$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma)B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) = \infty \quad \text{when } \alpha > \beta$$

for all  $n_1 \ge n_0$ , respectively, then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume (2.26) holds. Then by Theorem 7.6.1 in [7], equation (2.23) is oscillatory. So by Theorem 2.4, equation (E) is oscillatory. Now assume (2.27) holds. Then by Theorem 1 in [14], equation (2.23) is oscillatory and thus, by Theorem 2.4, we conclude that (E) is oscillatory. The proof of the corollary is complete.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. If  $\alpha < \beta$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda > \frac{1}{\sigma - \tau} \ln \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$  such that

(2.28) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \left[ q(n) \phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma) B^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n+\tau-\sigma) \exp(-e^{\lambda n}) \right] > 0$$

then (E) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume (2.28) holds. Then by Theorem 2 in [14], equation (2.23) is oscillatory and thus, by Theorem 2.4, equation (E) is oscillatory.

## 3. EXAMPLES

In this section, we illustrate our results with two examples.

**Example 1.** Consider the difference equation with a superlinear neutral term and a damping term

(3.1) 
$$\Delta^2 u(n) + \frac{1}{n+1} \Delta u(n) + \frac{(n+2)}{2} x^5(n-2) = 0, \quad n \ge 1,$$

with

$$u(n) = x(n) + nx^5(n-1).$$

Here b(n) = 1, p(n) = n,  $d(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ ,  $q(n) = \frac{(n+2)}{2}$ ,  $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\beta = 5$ ,  $\tau = 1$ , and  $\sigma = 2$ . Then, it is easy to see that E(n) = n and B(n) = n - 1. Therefore conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. Furthermore

$$\phi(n) = \frac{1}{(n+2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{4}{5}}(n+1)^{\frac{1}{5}}} \right].$$

Thus, it follows from (2.6) that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q(n)\phi^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(n-\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{4}{5}}(n+1)^{\frac{1}{5}}} \right] = \infty,$$

that is, condition (2.6) holds. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold, and consequently, equation (3.1) is oscillatory.

**Example 2.** Consider the difference equation with a linear neutral term and a damping term

(3.2) 
$$\Delta^2 u(n) + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \Delta u(n) + n^2 x^3 (n-2) = 0, \quad n \ge 1$$

with

$$u(n) = x(n) + 2x(n-1).$$

Here  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 3$ , p(n) = 2,  $d(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ , b(n) = 1,  $q(n) = n^2$ ,  $\tau = 1$ , and  $\sigma = 2$ . Then, it is easy to see that E(n) = n and B(n) = n - 1. Therefore, conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  and (2.1), (2.2) hold. Furthermore  $\phi(n) = \frac{n-1}{4n}$ . Now, condition (2.21) becomes

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=4}^{n} \left( \frac{a_1 s^2}{4^3} \left( \frac{s-3}{s-2} \right)^3 \left( \frac{s-2}{s-1} \right) - \frac{1}{4(s+1)^2} \right)$$
$$\approx \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sum_{s=4}^{n} \left( \frac{a_1 s^2}{64} - \frac{1}{4(s+1)^2} \right) = \infty.$$

Thus, all conditions of Corollary 2.1 are satisfied and hence equation (3.2) is oscillatory.

### 4. CONCLUSION

The results obtained in this paper are original and extend the existing results, in the literature. Moreover, it is easy to see that these results also apply to second order difference equation with a superlinear neutral term and a damping term

$$\Delta(b(n)(\Delta u(n))^{\gamma}) + d(n)(\Delta u(n))^{\gamma} + q(n)x_{n-\sigma}^{\beta} = 0, \quad n \ge n_0 > 0,$$

under the condition

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(b(n)E(n))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} = \infty.$$

The details are left to the reader. Of interest for future work, is to study equation (*E*) in the case where  $p(n) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### Acknowledgment

The first author was supported by the Special Account for Research of ASPETE through the funding program

'Strengthening research of ASPETE faculty members'.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL: Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] R. P. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN: *Discrete Oscillation Theory*, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2005.
- [3] Y. BOLAT, J. ALZABUT: On the oscillation of even order half-linear functional difference equations with damping term, Inter. J. Diff. Eqns., **2014**, Article ID 791631, 6 pages.
- [4] M. BOHNER, H. A. EL-MORSHEDY, S. R. GRACE, I. SAGER: Oscillation of second order nonlinear difference equations with sublinear neutral term, Math. Moravica, 23 (2019), 1-10.
- [5] C. DHARUMAN, E. THANDAPANI: Oscillation of solutions or nonlinear difference equations with a superlinear neutral term, Nonauton. Dyn. Syst., 5 (2018), 52-58.
- [6] S. R. GRACE, J. ALZABUT: Oscillation results for nonlinear second order difference equations with mixed neutral terms, Adv. Differ. Equ., (2020) 2020:8, 12 pages.
- [7] I. GYORI, G. LADAS: Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon Press, New York, 1991.
- [8] W. T. LI, X. H. FAN: Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear difference equations with damping term, Comput. Math. Appl., 37 (1999), 17-30.
- [9] X. LIU: Oscillation of solutions of neutral difference equations with a nonlinear neutral term, Comput. Math. Appl., **52** (2006), 439-448.
- [10] S. MEHAR BANU, S. NALINI: Oscillation of second order difference equations with several superlinear neutral terms, Adv. Differ. Equ., (2018) 2018:345, 10 pages.
- [11] A. MURUGESAN, K. AMMAMUTHU: New oscillation condition for second order nonlinear difference equations with damping term, Inter. J. Math. Trends. Tech., 43 (2017), 1-12.
- [12] S. H. SAKER, B. G. ZHANG: Oscillation of second order nonlinear delay damped difference equations, Acta Math. Sinica., 23 (2007), 715-722.
- [13] R. SRINIVASAN, C. DHARUMAN, E. THANDAPANI: Oscillatory behavior of second ordr nonlinear damped neutral difference equation, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. and Appl., 22 (2017), 811-827.
- [14] X. H. TANG, Y. LIU: Oscillation for nonlinear delay difference equations, Tamkang J. Math., 32 (2001), 275-280.
- [15] E. THANDAPANI, S. PANDIAN: Asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of general nonlinear difference equations of second order, Comput. Math. Appl., 36 (1998), 413-421.
- [16] E. THANDAPANI, Z. LIU, R. ARUL, P. S. RAJA: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of second order difference equations with nonlinear neutral term, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 4 (2004), 59-67.
- [17] E. THANDAPANI, S. PANDIAN, R. K. BALASUBRAMANIAN: Oscillation of solutions of nonlinear neutral difference equations with nonlinear neutral term, Far East J. Appl. Math., 15 (2004), 47-62.
- [18] E. THANDAPANI, K. THANGAVELU, E. CHANDRASEKARAN: Oscillation of second order neutral difference equations with damping term, Far East J. Math. Sci., **33**(2009), 287-298.

- [19] M. K. YILDIZ, H. OGUNMEZ: Oscillation results of higher order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations with a nonlinear neutral term, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 43 (2014), 809-814.
- [20] Z. ZHANG, J. CHEN, C. ZHANG: Oscillation of solutions for second order nonlinear difference equations with nonlinear neutral term, Comput. Math. Appl., **41** (2001), 1487-1494.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING EDUCATORS SCHOOL OF PEDAGOGICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL EDUCATION MAROUSI 15122, ATHENS, GREECE Email address: geaxatz@otenet.gr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PRESIDENCY COLLEGE CHENNAI - 600 005, INDIA Email address: kanagasabapathi6@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PRESIDENCY COLLEGE CHENNAI - 600 005, INDIA *Email address*: selvarangam.9962@gmail.com

RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS CHENNAI - 600 005, INDIA Email address: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in