

## ON $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD ENDOWED WITH PSEUDO-QUASI-CONFORMAL CURVATURE TENSOR

B. Phalaksha Murthy, R. T. Naveen Kumar, P. Siva Kota Reddy<sup>1</sup>, and Venkatesha

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a few properties of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds equipped with pseudo quasi-conformal curvature tensor were deeply studied. Firstly, it has been shown that a globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold turns into  $\phi$ -symmetric. Further, we describe 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, characterizing the locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric and pseudo-quasi-conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent structures. Finally, we pay a special attention to the existence of 3-dimensional case by giving suitable examples.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1968, Yano and Sawaki [7] have originate and deeply studied a type of tensor field, called quasi-coformal curvature tensor  $C$  on a Riemannian manifold. As a generalization, recently Shaikh and Jana [5] have formulated the framework of pseudo-quasi-conformal curvature tensor which comprises the structures of concircular, projective, quasi-conformal and Weyl conformal curvature

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25.

*Key words and phrases.*  $N(k)$ -Contact metric manifold, Pseudo-quasi-conformal curvature tensor, Scalar curvature, Einstein manifold.

*Submitted:* 13.03.2021; *Accepted:* 02.04.2021; *Published:* 07.04.2021.

tensors as a special cases given by:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(U, V)W &= (p + d)R(U, V)W + q[g(V, W)QU - g(U, W)QV] \\ &+ \left[ q - \frac{d}{2n} \right] [S(V, W)U - S(U, W)V] \\ &- \frac{r}{2n(2n + 1)} \{p + 4nq\} [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V], \end{aligned}$$

where  $U, V, W \in \chi(M)$ ,  $S$  is the Ricci tensor,  $r$  is the scalar curvature,  $Q$  is the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point corresponding to the Ricci tensor  $S$  [1] called Ricci operator i.e.,  $g(QV, W) = S(V, W)$  and  $p, q, d$  are real constants such that  $p^2 + q^2 + d^2 > 0$ .

In particular, if (1)  $p = 1, q = d = 0$ ; (2)  $p = q = 0, d = 1$ ; (3)  $p \neq 0, q \neq 0, d = 0$ ; (4)  $p = 1, q = \frac{-1}{2n-1}, d = 0$ ; then pseudo-quasi-conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$  reduces to the concircular curvature tensor; projective curvature tensor; quasi-conformal curvature tensor and conformal curvature tensor respectively. Also from (1.1), we can easily found that:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W &= (p + d)(\nabla_X R)(U, V)W \\ &+ q[g(V, W)(\nabla_X Q)U - g(U, W)(\nabla_X Q)V] \\ &+ \left[ q - \frac{d}{2n} \right] [(\nabla_X S)(V, W)U - (\nabla_X S)(U, W)V] \\ &- \frac{dr(X)\{p + 4nq\}}{2n(2n + 1)} [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above, the present paper is organized in the following way: In Section 2, we formulated the definitions and preliminary results that will be needed thereafter. In Section 3, we have proved that a globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is either locally isometric to the Riemannian product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  [2] or the manifold always admits an  $\eta$  parallel Ricci tensor. In section 4, we study three dimensional Locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold and it is shown that the manifold is locally  $\phi$ -pseudo quasi-conformally symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature is constant. In the next section we have shown that a 3-dimensional pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric if and only if the manifold is of constant scalar curvature. Finally, we gave an example of a 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

An odd dimensional manifold  $M$  is said to conceded an almost contact structure if it admits a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0.$$

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure  $J$  on the product manifold  $M \times R$  characterized by

$$J(U, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi U - f\xi, \eta(U) \frac{d}{dt})$$

is integrable, where  $U$  is tangent to  $M$ ,  $t$  is the coordinate of  $R$  and  $f$  is a differentiable function on  $M \times R$ . Let  $g$  be a compatible Riemannian metric with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , i.e.,

$$g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) - \eta(U)\eta(V),$$

then  $M$  becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . From (2.1) it can be easily seen that

$$g(V, \phi W) = -g(\phi V, W), \quad g(V, \xi) = \eta(V),$$

for all vector fields  $V, W$ . An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if

$$g(V, \phi W) = d\eta(V, W),$$

for all vector fields  $V, W$ . Then the 1-form  $\eta$  is reduces to contact form and corresponding  $\xi$  is its characteristic vector field. Moreover, if  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $g$ , then the following relation holds

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_V \xi = -\phi V - \phi hV.$$

Blair et al. [4] have developed the structure of  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution of a Contact metric manifold  $M$  given by

$$N(k, \mu) : p \rightarrow N_p(k, \mu) \\ N_p(k, \mu) = \{W \in T_p M / R(U, V)W = (kI + \mu h)[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]\},$$

for all  $U, V \in \chi(M)$ , where  $(k, \mu) \in R^2$ . A Contact metric manifold with  $\xi \in N(k, \mu)$  is called a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. If  $\mu = 0$ , the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity

distribution weakened to  $k$ -nullity distribution. The  $k$ -nullity distribution  $N(k)$  of a Riemannian manifold is defined by [6]

$$N(k) : p \rightarrow N_p(k) = \{W \in T_p M / R(U, V)W = k[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]\},$$

$k$  being a constant. If the characteristic vector field  $\xi \in N(k)$ , then we call a contact metric manifold an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold [3]. If  $k = 1$ , then the manifold is Sasakian and if  $k = 0$ , then the manifold is locally isometric to the product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  for  $n > 1$  and flat for  $n = 1$  [2]. In an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the following relations hold:

$$(2.3) \quad h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2,$$

$$(2.4) \quad R(\xi, U)V = k[g(U, V)\xi - \eta(V)U],$$

$$(2.5) \quad S(U, V) = 2(n - 1)g(U, V) + 2(n - 1)g(hU, V), \\ + [2nk - 2(n - 1)]\eta(U)\eta(V), n \geq 1,$$

$$(2.6) \quad r = 2n(2n - 2 + k),$$

$$(2.7) \quad S(\phi U, \phi V) = S(U, V) - 2nk\eta(U)\eta(V) - 4(n - 1)g(hU, V),$$

$$(2.8) \quad S(U, \xi) = 2nk\eta(U),$$

$$(2.9) \quad (\nabla_U \eta)(V) = g(U + hU, \phi V).$$

Also in a 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the Riemannian curvature tensor  $R$  and Ricci tensor  $S$  satisfies the following relations:

$$(2.10) \quad R(U, V)W = \left(\frac{r}{2} - 2k\right)[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)[\eta(V)\eta(W)U - \eta(U)\eta(W)V] \\ + g(V, W)\eta(U)\xi - g(U, W)\eta(V)\xi],$$

$$(2.11) \quad S(U, V) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right)g(U, V) + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(U)\eta(V).$$

**Definition 2.1.** An  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric if the pseudo-quasi-conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$  satisfies the condition

$$(2.12) \quad \phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = 0$$

for all  $U, V, W, X \in \chi(M)$ . In particular, if we take  $U, V, W, X \in \chi(M)$  orthogonal to  $\xi$  then the manifold becomes locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric.

### 3. GLOBALLY $\phi$ -PSEUDO-QUASI-CONFORMALLY SYMMETRIC $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

Let  $M$  be an globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, then it follows from (2.12) and (2.1) that

$$(3.1) \quad -(\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W + \eta((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W)\xi = 0.$$

In view of (1.1) in (3.1) and then by taking inner product with  $Y$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(p+d)(\nabla_X R)(U, V, W, Y) - \left(q - \frac{d}{2n}\right) [(\nabla_X S)(V, W)g(U, Y) \\ & - \nabla_X S)(U, W)g(V, Y)] - q[g(V, W)g((\nabla_X Q)U, Y) \\ & - g(U, W)g((\nabla_X Q)V, Y)] + \frac{dr(X)(p+4nq)}{2n(2n+1)} [g(V, W)g(U, Y) \\ & - g(U, W)g(V, Y)] + (p+d)\eta((\nabla_X R)(U, V)W)\eta(Y) \\ & + \left(q - \frac{d}{2n}\right) [(\nabla_X S)(V, W)\eta(U) - (\nabla_X S)(U, W)\eta(U)]\eta(Y) \\ & + q[g(V, W)\eta((\nabla_X Q)U) - g(U, W)\eta((\nabla_X Q)V)\eta(Y) \\ & - \frac{dr(X)(p+4nq)}{2n(2n+1)} [g(V, W)\eta(U) - g(U, W)\eta(V)]\eta(Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By considering  $U = Y = e_i$  in (3.2), where  $\{e_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, 2n+1\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold, and taking summation over  $i$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\{q - p - d - (2n-1)\left(q - \frac{d}{2n}\right)\right\}(\nabla_X S)(V, W) + \{-qg((\nabla_X Q)e_i, e_i) \\ & + \frac{2n-1}{2n(2n+1)}(p+4nq)dr(X) + q\eta((\nabla_X Q)e_i)\eta(e_i)\}g(V, W) \\ & + (p+d)\eta((\nabla_X R)(e_i, V)W)\eta(e_i) - \left(q - \frac{d}{2n}\right)(\nabla_X S)(\xi, W)\eta(V) \\ & - q\eta((\nabla_X Q)V)\eta(W) + \frac{dr(X)}{2n(2n+1)}(p+4nq)\eta(V)\eta(W) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On plugging  $W = \xi$  in (3.3) and then by using (2.1), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \left(p + (2n - 1)q + \frac{d}{2n}\right)(\nabla_X S)(V, \xi) = \left(\frac{p + (2n - 1)q}{2n + 1}\right)dr(X)\eta(V).$$

Again plugging  $V = \xi$  in (3.4), we get  $dr(X) = 0$  i.e.,  $r$  is constant provided  $p + (2n - 1)q \neq 0$ .

Thus we can able to state the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *A globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is a space of constant scalar curvature provided the pseudo-quasi conformal curvature tensor always reduces to either concircular curvature tensor or quasi-conformal curvature tensor ( $p + (2n - 1)q \neq 0$ ).*

Next we consider the manifold of constant scalar curvature  $r$ , then from (3.4) it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad (\nabla_X S)(V, \xi) = 0, \text{ provided } \left(p + (2n - 1)q + \frac{d}{2n}\right) \neq 0.$$

In view of (2.2), (2.8) and (2.9), equation (3.5) turns into

$$(3.6) \quad k[g(X, \phi V) + g(hX, \phi V)] = 0.$$

Which implies either  $k = 0$  or

$$(3.7) \quad g(X, \phi V) + g(hX, \phi V) = 0.$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\phi X$  in (3.6) and then by using (2.5), we obtain

$$S(\phi X, \phi V) = 0.$$

Now taking covariant derivative above expression with respect to  $Z$  gives

$$(\nabla_Z S)(\phi X, \phi V) = 0.$$

This leads us to the following result:

**Theorem 3.2.** *An  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is globally  $\phi$ -pseudo quasi-conformally symmetric, then either the manifold is locally isometric to the Riemannian product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  [2] or the manifold admits an  $\eta$  parallel Ricci tensor provided the pseudo-quasi conformal curvature tensor never reduces to conformal curvature tensor, that is:  $\left((p + (2n - 1)q + \frac{d}{2n}) \neq 0\right)$ .*

Finally, we describe a globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric Einstein  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, that is,

$$S(U, V) = \alpha g(U, V),$$

for all  $U, V \in \chi(M)$ , where  $\alpha$  is constant and  $QU = \alpha U$ . Then it follows from (1.1) that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(U, V)W &= (p + d)R(U, V)W \\ &+ \left\{ \left( 2q - \frac{d}{2n} \right) \alpha - \frac{r(p + 4nq)}{2n(2n + 1)} \right\} [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking covariant differentiation of (3.8) along  $X$ , we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W &= (p + d)(\nabla_X R)(U, V)W \\ &- dr(X) \left[ \frac{p + 4nq}{2n(2n + 1)} \right] [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]. \end{aligned}$$

BY employing  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) &= (p + d)\phi^2((\nabla_X R)(U, V)W) \\ &- \frac{(p + 4nq)dr(X)}{2n(2n + 1)} [g(V, W)\phi^2 U - g(U, W)\phi^2 V]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the manifold is Einstein, the scalar curvature  $r$  is always constant and so  $dr(W) = 0$ . Hence the equation (3.10) turns into

$$(3.11) \quad \phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = (p + d)\phi^2((\nabla_X R)(U, V)W).$$

This leads us to the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** *An Einstein  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is globally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric if and only if it is  $\phi$ -symmetric provided  $p + d \neq 0$ .*

#### 4. 3-DIMENSIONAL LOCALLY $\phi$ -PSEUDO-QUASI-CONFORMALLY SYMMETRIC $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD

Let us consider a 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, that is:  $\phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = 0$ , where  $U, V, W, X \in \chi(M)$  and orthogonal  $\xi$ .

By considering (2.11) and (2.10) in (1.1), we get

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{C}(U, V)W = \left(\frac{r}{6} - k\right) \left(2p + 2q + \frac{3d}{2}\right) [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) (p + q + d) [g(V, W)\eta(U)\xi - g(U, W)\eta(V)\xi] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \left(p + 2q - \frac{d}{2}\right) [\eta(V)\eta(W)U - \eta(U)\eta(W)V].$$

Now taking covariant differentiation of (4.1) along  $X$ , yields

$$(4.2) \quad (\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W = \frac{dr(X)}{6} \left(2p + 2q + \frac{3d}{2}\right) [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V] \\ - \frac{dr(X)(p + q + d)}{2} [g(V, W)\eta(U)\xi - g(U, W)\eta(V)\xi] \\ - \frac{dr(X)}{2} \left(p + 2q - \frac{d}{2}\right) [\eta(V)\eta(W)U - \eta(U)\eta(W)V] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) (p + q + d) [g(V, W)(\nabla_X \eta)(U)\xi \\ + g(V, W)\eta(U)\nabla_X \xi - g(U, W)(\nabla_X \eta)(V)\xi \\ - g(U, W)\eta(V)\nabla_X \xi] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \left(p + 2q - \frac{d}{2}\right) [(\nabla_X \eta)(V)\eta(W)U \\ + (\nabla_X \eta)(W)\eta(V)U - (\nabla_X \eta)(U)\eta(W)V \\ - (\nabla_X \eta)(W)\eta(U)V].$$

Since  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ , we have from (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad (\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W = \frac{dr(X)}{6} \left(2p + 2q + \frac{3d}{2}\right) [g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V] \\ + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) (p + q + d) [g(V, W)(\nabla_X \eta)(U)\xi \\ - g(U, W)(\nabla_X \eta)(V)\xi].$$

Operating  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (4.3), gives

$$(4.4) \quad \phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = \frac{dr(X)(4p + 4q + 3d)}{12} [g(V, W)\phi^2 U \\ - g(U, W)\phi^2 V].$$

Since  $\phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = 0$ , we have  $dr(W) = 0$  provided  $(4p + 4q + 3d) \neq 0$  and hence the scalar curvature  $r$  is constant.

Conversely, if the scalar curvature  $r$  is constant i.e.,  $dr(W) = 0$  then from (4.4), we get  $\phi^2((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W) = 0$ .

Thus, we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** *A 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -pseudo quasi-conformally symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature is constant.*

### 5. 3-DIMENSIONAL PSEUDO-QUASI-CONFORMALLY $\phi$ -RECURRENT $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD

**Definition 5.1.** *An  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be pseudo-quasi-conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent if*

$$(5.1) \quad \phi^2((\nabla_W \tilde{C})(X, Y)Z) = A(W)\tilde{C}(X, Y)Z,$$

holds for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$ .

In particular if  $A(W) = 0$ , then pseudo-quasi-conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold reduces to pseudo-quasi-conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric.

Let us consider an pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, then it follows from (5.1) that

$$(5.2) \quad -(\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W + \eta((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W)\xi = A(X)\tilde{C}(U, V)W,$$

from which we can easily seen that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & -g((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W, Y) + \eta((\nabla_X \tilde{C})(U, V)W)\eta(Y) \\ & = A(X)g(\tilde{C}(U, V)W, Y). \end{aligned}$$

By considering the expressions (1.1), (2.8) and (2.10) in (5.3) and then contracting over  $U$  and  $Y$ , we get

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(4p + 4q + 3d)\left(\frac{dr(X)}{6}\right)g(V, W) \\ & + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)\left(-p - 2q + \frac{d}{2}\right)(\nabla_X \eta)(V)\eta(W) \\ & + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)(-p - 3q + 2d)(\nabla_X \eta)(W)\eta(V) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left( \frac{dr(X)}{6} \right) \left( 2p + 2q + \frac{3d}{2} \right) [g(V, W) - \eta(V)\eta(W)] \\
& = A(X) \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{2} - k \right) (4p + 4q + 3d) g(V, W) \right. \\
& \quad + \left( 3k - \frac{r}{2} \right) (2p + 4q - d) \eta(V)\eta(W) \\
& \quad \left. + \left( 3k - \frac{r}{2} \right) (p + q + d) [g(V, W) - \eta(V)\eta(W)] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On plugging  $W = \xi$ , above equation turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad & -(4p + 4q + 3d) \left( \frac{dr(X)}{6} \right) \eta(V) + \left( 3k - \frac{r}{2} \right) \left( -p - 2q + \frac{d}{2} \right) (\nabla_X \eta)(V) \\
& = A(X) \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{2} - k \right) (4p + 4q + 3d) + \left( 3k - \frac{r}{2} \right) (2p + 4q - d) \right\} \eta(V).
\end{aligned}$$

Again plugging  $V = \xi$  in (5.5), we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad A(X) = \frac{-(4p + 4q + 3d)}{6[r(p + 2d) + 2k(p + 4q - 3d)]} dr(X).$$

This leads us to the following result:

**Theorem 5.1.** *In a 3-dimensional pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the 1-form  $A$  is given by the expression (5.6).*

If we consider the manifold of constant scalar curvature  $r$ , then  $dr(W) = 0$ . Hence from equation (5.6), we have

$$(5.7) \quad A(W) = 0.$$

By using (5.7) in (5.1), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \phi^2((\nabla_W \tilde{C})(X, Y)Z) = 0.$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2.** *A 3-dimensional pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with constant scalar curvature always turns into an pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric manifold.*

Conversely, we assume that if the pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold becomes pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric manifold i.e.,  $A(W) = 0$ , then we have obtained from the expression (5.6) that

$dr(X) = 0$  which implies that  $r$  is constant.

This leads us to the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.3.** *If a 3-dimensional pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric, then the manifold is of constant scalar curvature  $r$ .*

Now from Theorem 5.3. and Theorem 5.4., we can able to conclude that:

**Theorem 5.4.** *A 3-dimensional pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric if and only if the manifold is of constant scalar curvature  $r$ .*

## 6. EXAMPLES

**Example 1.** Let us consider 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3\}$ , where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is the standard coordinate in  $R^3$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  are the vector fields in  $R^3$  satisfying the expressions

$$(6.1) \quad [E_1, E_2] = (1 - \alpha)E_3, \quad [E_2, E_3] = 2E_1, \quad [E_3, E_1] = (1 + \alpha)E_2,$$

where  $\alpha \neq \pm 1$  is a real number.

Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric described by

$$\begin{aligned} g(E_1, E_1) &= g(E_2, E_2) = g(E_3, E_3) = 1, \\ g(E_1, E_2) &= g(E_1, E_3) = g(E_2, E_3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form associating with the vector field  $\xi = E_1$  is given by

$$(6.2) \quad \eta(W) = g(W, E_1),$$

for any  $W \in \chi(M)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the  $(1,1)$ -tensor field given by

$$(6.3) \quad \phi(E_1) = 0, \quad \phi(E_2) = E_3, \quad \phi(E_3) = -E_2.$$

Moreover, we also have the following conditions:

$$hE_1 = 0, \quad hE_2 = \alpha E_2, \quad hE_3 = -\alpha E_3$$

By using Koszul's formula, we can easily find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= -(1 + \alpha)E_3, & \nabla_{E_2} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= (1 + \alpha)E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= (1 - \alpha)E_1, & \nabla_{E_3} E_2 &= -(1 - \alpha)E_1, & \nabla_{E_3} E_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By considering above expressions, we found that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX, \quad \text{for } E_1 = \xi.$$

Therefore, the manifold is said to be a contact metric manifold with the contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Now the components of Riemannian Curvature tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(E_1, E_2)E_2 &= (1 - \alpha^2)E_1, & R(E_1, E_3)E_3 &= (1 - \alpha^2)E_1, \\ R(E_1, E_2)E_1 &= -(1 - \alpha^2)E_2, & R(E_2, E_3)E_3 &= -(1 - \alpha^2)E_2, \\ R(E_3, E_1)E_1 &= (1 - \alpha^2)E_3, & R(E_3, E_2)E_2 &= -(1 - \alpha^2)E_3. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of above relations of the Riemannian curvature tensor, we conclude that the manifold is a  $N(1 - \alpha^2)$ -contact metric manifold. Hence the Ricci tensor  $S$  is given by

$$S(E_1, E_1) = 2(1 - \alpha^2), \quad S(E_2, E_2) = 0, \quad S(E_3, E_3) = 0.$$

Hence the scalar curvature of the manifold is obtained as

$$r = S(E_1, E_1) + S(E_2, E_2) + S(E_3, E_3) = 2(1 - \alpha^2),$$

which is always constant. Thus from the Theorem 4.1. and Theorem 5.5., we conclude that the given three dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is Locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-Quasi-Conformally symmetric and pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent.

**Example 2.** Next we consider 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3\}$ , where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is the standard coordinate in  $R^3$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  are the vector fields in  $R^3$  satisfying the expressions

$$(6.4) \quad [E_1, E_2] = 2E_3 + \frac{2}{x_1}E_1, \quad [E_2, E_3] = 2E_1, \quad [E_3, E_1] = 0.$$

Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric described by

$$\begin{aligned} g(E_1, E_1) &= g(E_2, E_2) = g(E_3, E_3) = 1, \\ g(E_1, E_2) &= g(E_1, E_3) = g(E_2, E_3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form associating with the vector field  $\xi = E_3$  is given by

$$(6.5) \quad \eta(W) = g(W, E_3),$$

for any  $W \in \chi(M)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the  $(1,1)$ -tensor field given by

$$(6.6) \quad \phi(E_1) = E_2, \quad \phi(E_2) = -E_1, \quad \phi(E_3) = 0.$$

Moreover, we also have the following conditions:

$$hE_1 = -E_1, \quad hE_2 = E_2, \quad hE_3 = 0.$$

By using Koszul's formula, we can easily find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= -\frac{2}{x_1} E_2, & \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= \frac{2}{x_1} E_1, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= -2E_3, & \nabla_{E_2} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= 2E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_3} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_3} E_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By considering above expressions, we found that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX, \quad \text{for } E_3 = \xi.$$

Therefore, the manifold is said to be a contact metric manifold with the contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Now the components of Riemannian Curvature tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(E_1, E_2)E_2 &= \frac{4}{x_1} E_3 - \frac{4}{x_1^2} E_1, & R(E_1, E_3)E_3 &= 0, \\ R(E_1, E_2)E_1 &= \frac{4}{x_1^2} E_2, & R(E_2, E_3)E_3 &= 0, \\ R(E_3, E_1)E_1 &= 0, & R(E_3, E_2)E_2 &= \frac{4}{x_1} E_1. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of above relations of the Riemannian curvature tensor, we conclude that the manifold is a  $N\left(-\frac{4}{x_1}\right)$ -contact metric manifold. Hence the Ricci tensor  $S$  is given by

$$S(E_1, E_1) = -\frac{4}{x_1^2}, \quad S(E_2, E_2) = -\frac{4}{x_1^2}, \quad S(E_3, E_3) = 0.$$

Hence the scalar curvature of the manifold is obtained as

$$r = S(E_1, E_1) + S(E_2, E_2) + S(E_3, E_3) = -\frac{8}{x_1^2},$$

which is always constant. Thus from the Theorem 4.1. and Theorem 5.5., we conclude that the given three dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -pseudo-quasi-conformally symmetric and pseudo-quasi conformally  $\phi$ -recurrent.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the referees for their invaluable comments and suggestions which led to the improvement of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.L. BISHOP, S.I. GOLDBERG: *On conformally at space with commuting curvature and Ricci transformations*, Can. J. Math., **24**(5) (1972), 799-804.
- [2] D.E. BLAIR: *Two remarks on contact metric structures*, Tohoku Math. J., **29** (1977), 319-324.
- [3] D.E. BLAIR, J.S. KIM, M.M. TRIPATHI: *On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **42** (2005), 883-892.
- [4] D.E. BLAIR, T. KOUFOGIORGOS, B.J. PAPANTONIOU: *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, Israel J. Math., **91** (1995) 189-214.
- [5] A.A. SHAIKH, S.K. JANA: *A pseudo-quasi-conformal curvature tensor on a Riemannian manifold* South East Asian J. Math. Math. Sci. , **4**(1) (2005), 15-20.
- [6] S. TANO: *Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., **40** (1988), 441-448.
- [7] K. YANO, S. SAWAKI: *Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group* J. Differ. Geom., **2** (1968), 161-184.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GOVERNMENT SCIENCE COLLEGE  
CHITRADURGA-577 501, KARNATAKA, INDIA.  
Email address: pmurthymath@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SIDDAGANGA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
TUMAKURU-572 103, KARNATAKA, INDIA.  
Email address: rtnaveenkumar@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SRI JAYACHAMARAJENDRA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
JSS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY  
MYSURU-570 006, KARNATAKA, INDIA.  
Email address: pskreddy@jssstuniv.in; pskreddy@sjce.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KUVEMPU UNIVERSITY  
SHANKARAGHATTA-577 451, SHIMOGA, KARNATAKA, INDIA.  
Email address: vensmath@gmail.com