

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **10** (2021), no.4, 2181–2185 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.10.4.32

## COMPACT COMPLEMENT TOPOLOGIES IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACE

Janat A. Emwas<sup>1</sup> and Hasan Z. Hdeib

ABSTRACT. Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be a Hausdorff space, where X is an infinite set. The compact complement topologies in bitopological space  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  are  $\tau_0^*$  and  $\tau_1^*$  where  $\tau_0^* = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{X \setminus M_0 : M_0 \text{ is } \tau_0\text{-compact}\}$  and  $\tau_1^* = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{X \setminus M_1 : M_1 \text{ is } \tau_1\text{-compact}\}$ . Throughout this paper, some properties of the space  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  are studied in ZF and we prove some conditions hold in ZF.

### 1. INTODUCTION

A bitopological space is a space of the form  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$ , where  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are two topologies on X, this concept initiated by Kelly [2].

Throughout this paper, we suppose that  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are topologies on an infinite set X such that  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a Hausdorff bitological space and in this paper, we study elementary properties of compact complement topologies in bitopological space.

In particular, X stands for a set and "P" will be used for "Pairwise", i.e., P-Hausdorff will mean pairwise Hausdorf, and so on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>corresponding author

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 22xx, 22Cxx, 22Axx.

*Key words and phrases.* Compact complement bitopology, Pairwise irreducible (Pairwise hyper connected).

Submitted: 05.04.2021; Accepted: 21.04.2021; Published: 28.04.2021.

#### J.A. Emwas and H.Z. Hdeib

# 2. MAIN PROPERTIES OF COMPACT COMPLEMENT TOPOLOGIES IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACE.

**Definition 2.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is called Hausdorff (compact) space if  $(X, \tau_0)$  and  $(X, \tau_1)$  are Hausdorff (compact).

Because it is hold in ZF that a compact subspace of a Hausdourff space is closed, so it is easy to show that  $\tau_0^*$  and  $\tau_1^*$  are topologies on X. Clearly,  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is a bitopological space and we name it compact complement bitoplogy.

For a subset *Y* of *X* and the topologies  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  on *X*, let  $\tau_0 | Y = \{v_0 \cap Y : v_0 \in \tau_0\}$  and  $\tau_1 | Y = \{v_1 \cap Y : v_1 \in \tau_1\}$  are called relative topologies on *Y* then  $(Y, \tau_0 | Y, \tau_1 | Y)$  is called a bitopological subspace of  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be a bitopological space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then  $\tau_i^* \mid Y \subseteq \tau_i \mid Y$ , i.e.,  $\tau_i^* \mid Y$  is coarser than  $\tau_i \mid Y$ ;  $\forall i = 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* We want to prove it when i = 0, it is enough to show that  $\tau_0^* \subseteq \tau_0$ . Let  $U_0 \in \tau_0^*$  and  $U_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U_0 = X \setminus M_0$  for  $\tau_0$ - compact subspace  $M_0$ , since a compact subspace of a Haudorff space is closed,  $M_0$  is a  $\tau_0$  - closed set. Thus  $U_0 \in \tau_0$  also, in consequence,  $\tau_0^* \subseteq \tau_0$ . In a similar way we can prove that  $\tau_1^* \subseteq \tau_1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be a bitopological space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . The following conditions satisfy in ZF:

- (a) If Y is compact in  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$ , then  $\tau_i^* \mid Y = \tau_i \mid Y$ ,  $\forall i = 0, 1$ .
- (b) If  $\tau_i^* | Y = \tau_i | Y$  then there exists a  $\tau_i$  compact set  $C_i$  such that  $Y \subseteq C_i$ ,  $\forall i = 0, 1$ .

Proof.

- (a) Assume that Y is compact set, then Y is  $\tau_0$  compact and  $\tau_1$  compact. Let  $V_0$  be  $\tau_0$  – open set. Since  $(X, \tau_0)$  is Hausdorff, the set Y is  $\tau_0$  – closed, thus  $A = Y \cap (X \setminus V_0)$  is a  $\tau_0$  – closed subset of  $\tau_0$ – compact set Y. So A is a  $\tau_0$  – compact. Notice that  $V_0 \cap Y = Y \cap (X \setminus A)$ . This proves that  $V_0 \cap$  $Y \in \tau_0^* \mid Y$  and  $\tau_0 \mid Y \subseteq \tau_0^* \mid Y$ , also by previous theorem  $\tau_0^* \mid Y \subseteq \tau_0 \mid Y$ , so the equality hold when i = 0. Similarly,  $\tau_1^* \mid Y = \tau_1 \mid Y$ .
- (b) Assume that Y is a subset of X where  $\tau_0^* \mid Y = \tau_0 \mid Y$ . Let  $V_0 \in \tau_0$  and  $\emptyset \neq V_0 \cap Y \neq Y$ . Because  $V_0 \cap Y \in \tau_0 \mid Y$  then  $V_0 \cap Y \in \tau_0^* \mid Y$ , there is

2182

a  $\tau_0$  – compact set  $C_0$  such that  $V_0 \cap Y = Y \setminus C_0$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in V_0 \cap Y$ . Thus  $x_0 \notin C_0$ , since  $C_0$  is  $\tau_0$  – compact, there exists a pair  $U_0, W_0$  of disjoint  $\tau_0$ – open sets such that  $x_0 \in U_0$  and  $C_0 \subseteq W_0$ . So  $W_0 \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $V_0 \cap Y \neq Y$ . Because  $U_0 \cap Y$  and  $W_0 \cap Y$  are in  $\tau_0^* \mid Y$ , there is  $\tau_0$  – compact sets  $M_0, N_0$  such that  $U_0 \cap Y = Y \setminus M_0$  and  $W_0 \cap Y = Y \setminus N_0$ . Now let  $K_0 = M_0 \cup N_0$ . Thus  $K_0$  is  $\tau_0$  – compact and  $Y = Y \setminus (U_0 \cap W_0) = (Y \setminus U_0) \cup (Y \setminus W_0) \subseteq M_0 \cup N_0 = K_0$ . Similarly, when we take i = 1.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be a biotopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is compact if and only if  $\tau_0 = \tau_0^*$  and  $\tau_1 = \tau_1^*$ .

**Remark 2.1.** In general,  $\tau_i^* \mid Y \neq (\tau_i \mid Y)^*$  where i=0,1. For example, let Y be the open interval (0,1) of  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\tau_u$  is the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\tau_u^* \mid Y \neq (\tau_u \mid Y)^*$  as we can see in [3].

We have the following obvious results because  $\tau_i^* \subseteq \tau_i$  i = 0, 1.

## **Proposition 2.1.**

- (a) If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is separable, then  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is separable.
- (b) If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is hereditarily separable, so is  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$ .
- (c) If  $(X, \tau_i)$  is lindelöf, then  $(X, \tau_i^*)$  is lindelöf i = 0, 1.
- (d) If  $(X, \tau_i)$  is hereditarily lindelöf so is  $(X, \tau_i^*)$  i = 0, 1.

**Definition 2.2.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  will be called P - Hausdorff if for any two points  $x_0 \neq x_1$  there exists  $\tau_0$  – open set  $U_0$  of  $x_0$  and a  $\tau_1$  – open set  $U_1$  of  $x_1$  which are disjoint.

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a P – Hausdorff space. Let  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  be  $\tau_0$  – open and  $\tau_1$  – open subsets of X respectively where  $U_0 \cap U_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $U_0^{\tau_1} \cap U_1 = \emptyset$  and  $U_1^{\tau_0} \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $U_0^{\tau_1} \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$  and Let  $x \in U_0^{\tau_1} \cap U_1$ , so  $x \in U_0^{\tau_1}$  that means every  $\tau_1$  – open neighborhood of x intersects  $U_0$ . But  $U_1$  is  $\tau_1$  – open set containing x which does not intersect  $U_0$ . This contradiction proves that  $U_0^{\tau_1} \cap U_1 = \emptyset$ . In a similar way we can show that  $U_1^{\tau_0} \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ .

Fora and Hdeib [1] show the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.3.** If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a P - Hausdorff, then both  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are  $T_1$  - topologies.

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a P - Hausdorff, then both  $\tau_0^*$  and  $\tau_1^*$  are  $T_1$  - topologies

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  then  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_i$  – compact  $\forall i = 0, 1$ , because finite sets are compact, we get that  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $\tau_i^*$  - open set. Thus,  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_i^*$  - closed set, that means  $\tau_0^*$  and  $\tau_1^*$  are  $T_1$  – topologies.

**Proposition 2.5.**  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is not compact if and only if  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is not Hausdorff. Also, if  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is not compact, then any two non – empty  $\tau_0^*$  - open sets have a non-empty intersection or any two non-empty  $\tau_1^*$  - open sets have a nonempty intersection.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be not compact, thus  $(X, \tau_0)$  is not compact space or  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not compact. Suppose that  $(X, \tau_0)$  is not compact space and  $U_0, V_0$  be any two non-empty  $\tau_0^*$  -open sets, then  $X \setminus U_0$  and  $X \setminus V_0$  are  $\tau_0$  – compact, thus  $(X \setminus U_0) \cup (X \setminus V_0)$  is  $\tau_0$  – compact. Hence,  $X \neq (X \setminus U_0) \cup (X \setminus V_0) = X \setminus (U_0 \cap V_0)$ . Thus  $(U_0 \cap V_0) \neq \emptyset$ ; so  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is not Hausdorff. Similarly, when we suppose that  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not compact we get  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is not Hausdorff. Conversely, suppose that  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is not Hausdorff, so we get  $\tau_0 \neq \tau_0^*$  or  $\tau_1 \neq \tau_1^*$  because  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is Hausdorff, thus by Corollary 2.1 we get  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is not compact.

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is not compact, then we have the following results:

- (a) Every  $\tau_i^*$  open set is connected in  $(X, \tau_i^*)$  where i = 0, 1.
- (b)  $(X, \tau_i^*)$  is connected and Locally connected where i = 0, 1.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  be not compact, so  $(X, \tau_0)$  is not compact or  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not compact. Suppose that  $(X, \tau_0)$  is not compact and  $\emptyset \neq V_0 \in \tau_0^*$ . If  $V_0$  were disconnected in  $(X, \tau_0^*)$ , there is a pair  $U_0$ ,  $W_0$  of non-empty disjoint  $\tau_0^*$  - open sets which is contradiction by Proposition 2.5. Thus,  $V_0$  is connected in  $(X, \tau_0^*)$ . Similarly, when we take  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not compact. Hence, (a) holds and clearly, (b) follows from (a).

**Definition 2.3.** A space  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is said to be pairwise irreducible or pairwise hyper connected if all  $\tau_i$  – pen sets are connected in  $(X, \tau_i)$  where i = 0, 1.

**Remark 2.2.** By Corollary 2.2, if  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is not compact, then the space  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$  is pairwise hyper connected.

2184

**Definition 2.4.** A subset G of X, where  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a bitopological space, will be called pairwise  $G_{\delta}$  – set if  $G = \bigcap \{G_n : 1 \le n \le \infty\}$ , where  $G_n$  is pairwise open set.

**Definition 2.5.** A subset A of X, where  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$  is a bitopological space, will be called pairwise  $\sigma^*$  - compact if  $A = \bigcup \{C_n : 1 \le n \le \infty\}$ , where  $C_n$  is  $\tau_0$  - open set and  $A = \bigcup \{K_n : 1 \le n \le \infty\}$ , where  $K_n$  is  $\tau_1$  - open set.

Although some other results can be said about compact complement bitopology, we want to finish our paper with the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . If  $\{x_0\}$  is pairwise  $G_{\delta}$  – set in  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$ , then  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is pairwise  $\sigma^*$  - compact set of  $(X, \tau_0, \tau_1)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n$  such that  $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of pairwise open sets in  $(X, \tau_0^*, \tau_1^*)$ . Then  $V_n$  are both  $\tau_0^*$  - open and  $\tau_1^*$  - open in X. Hence,  $V_n = X \setminus M_n$  where  $M_n$  are  $\tau_0$  - compact sets and  $V_n = X \setminus N_n$  where  $N_n$  are  $\tau_1$  - compact sets. Thus, the sets  $M_n = X \setminus V_n$  are all  $\tau_0$  - compact sets and  $N_n$  $= X \setminus V_n$  are all  $\tau_1$  - compact sets, so  $X \setminus \{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} M_n$  and  $X \setminus \{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} N_n$ . Hence,  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is pairwise  $\sigma^*$  - compact.

We can find more applications of this study in problems of [4] and [5].

### REFERENCES

- A. A. FORA, H. Z. HDEIB: On pairwise lindelöf spaces, Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas, 17(1-2) (1983), 37–57.
- [2] J. KELLY: Bitopological spaces, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1) (1963), 71–89.
- [3] K. KEREMEDIS, C. ÖZEL, A. PIEKOSZ, M. A. SHUMRANI, E. WAJCH: Compact complement topologies and k-spaces, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.10177, 2018.
- [4] D. H. PAHK, B. D. CHOI: Notes on pairwise compactness, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 11(1) (1971), 45–52.
- [5] C. PATTY: Bitopological spaces, Duke Mathematical Journal, 34(3) (1967), 387–391.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN, JORDAN. Email address: janatmanasrah@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN, JORDAN. Email address: Zahedib@ju.edu.jo