

SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR A GENERALIZED ψ -WEAK CONTRACTION MAPPINGS IN b -METRIC SPACES

Eman Bashayreh¹, Abdallah Talafhah, and Wasfi Shatanawi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will present the definitions and notation of generalized ψ -weak contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, and establish some results besides the most important properties of fixed point in orbitally complete b -metric spaces. Our results generalize several well-known comparable results in the literature. As an application of our results we generalize the results of Shatanawi [7]. Some examples are given to illustrate the useability of our results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle [2] is one of the basic results in fixed point theory which asserts that every contraction function in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Subsequently, many authors generalized Banach contraction principle in different ways (see [1–3, 5]).

Kamran et al. in [4] introduced the definition of extended b -metric spaces as a generalization of b -metric spaces.

In the sequel, we need the following definitions

¹*corresponding author*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10, 34B15.

Key words and phrases. fixed point, b -metric, ψ -weak contraction mappings, orbit set.

Submitted: 17.03.2021; *Accepted:* 05.04.2021; *Published:* 07.05.2021.

Definition 1.1. [4] Let X be anon empty set and $s \geq 1$ be a real number. A function $d : x \times x \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a b – metric space [1, 3], if it satisfies the following properties for each $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(b1): d(x, y) = 0 \text{ iff } x = y.$$

$$(b2): d(x, y) = d(y, x).$$

$$(b3): d(x, z) \leq s [d(x, y) + d(y, z)].$$

Definition 1.2. [6] Let (X, d) be a b – metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ on X is said to be

(I) Cauchy iff $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

(II) Convergent iff there exist $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

(III) The b – metric (X, d) is complete if every cauchy sequence is convergent.

Example 1. [8, 10] Let $X = l_p(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 < p < 1$ where $l_p(\mathbb{R}) = \{\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty\}$. Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as:

$$d(x, y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $x = \{x_n\}$, $y = \{y_n\}$. Then d is a b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2^{1/p}$.

Example 2. [20] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\sigma_d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\sigma_d(x, y) = [d(x, y)]^p$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $p > 1$ is a fixed real number. Then σ_d is a b – metric with $s = 2^{p-1}$.

Remark 1.1. If $\theta(x, y) = s$ for $s \geq 1$, then we obtain the definition of a b -metric space.

Example 3. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ Define $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $d_\theta : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as:

$$\theta(x, y) = x + y, \text{ and}$$

$$d_\theta(1, 1) = d_\theta(2, 2) = d_\theta(3, 3) = 0,$$

$$d_\theta(1, 2) = d_\theta(2, 1) = 2, d_\theta(1, 3) = d_\theta(3, 1) = 3, d_\theta(2, 3) = d_\theta(3, 2) = 4.$$

Definition 1.3. [7] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. An orbit of f at a point x of X is the set $O(x, f) = \{x, fx, f^2x, \dots\}$.

Definition 1.4. [7] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. X is said to be f -orbitally complete if every cauchy sequence in $O(x, f)$, $x \in X$, converges to a point in X .

Definition 1.5. [11] A single-valued mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called a Ćirić strong almost contraction if there exist a constant $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha M(x, y) + Ld(y, fx)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{2} \right\}.$$

Definition 1.6. [7] Let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of f if $x \in fx$.

Definition 1.7. [7] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a mapping. Then we say that X is f -multivalued orbitally complete (or multivalued orbitally complete) if any Cauchy subsequence (x_{n_i}) of $\{x = x_0, x_1 \in fx_0, x_2 \in fx_2, \dots\}$, $x \in X$ converges in X .

Theorem 1.1. [12] Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers δ , γ and L with $\delta + \gamma < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \phi(s) ds \leq \delta \int_0^{m(x, y)} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(s) ds + L \int_0^{N(x, y)} \phi(s) ds$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$m(x, y) = d(y, fy) \frac{1 + d(x, fx)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Definition 1.8. [7] The function $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called an altering distance function, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) ϕ is continuous and nondecreasing;

(2) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

For some fixed point theorems based on altering distance function, we refer the reader as example to [13–19].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a generalized ψ -weak contraction mapping and establish some results in orbitally complete extended b-metric spaces, where ψ is an altering distance function.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let (X, d) be a b-metric space and $B(X)$ denotes to the class of nonempty bounded subsets of X . For $A, B \in B(X)$, let $D(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$, and $\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a b-metric space and ψ be an altering distance function. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ is said to be a multivalued generalized ψ -weak contraction mapping if there are nonnegative real numbers a, b and L with $a + \frac{b}{s} < 1, b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq a\psi(m_1(x, y)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$m_1(x, y) = D(y, fy) \frac{1 + D(x, fx)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), D(x, fx), D(y, fy), \frac{D(x, fy) + D(y, fx)}{2s} \right\}$$

and

$$N_1(x, y) = \min\{D(x, fx), D(y, fy)\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b-metric space, If $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ is a multivalued generalized ψ -weak contraction mapping such that $\psi(ax) \leq a\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X, a \geq 0$, then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and defined a sequences (x_n) in X such that $x_{n+1} \in fx_n$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_n \in fx_n$ and hence x_n is a fixed point of f . So, we may assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By inequality (2.1)., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(\delta(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) \\
 &\leq a\psi(m_1(x_{n-1}, x_n)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\
 &\quad + L\psi(N_1(x_{n-1}, x_n)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad m_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= D(x_n, fx_n) \frac{1 + D(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \\
 &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \frac{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} = d(x_n, x_{n+1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad M_{s_1}(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), D(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), D(x_n, fx_n), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{D(x_{n-1}, fx_n) + D(x_n, fx_{n-1})}{2s} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{2s} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{2s} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\} \\
 (2.4) \quad &= \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad N_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \min\{D(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), D(x_n, fx_n)\} \\
 &\leq \min\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2)–(2.5) and the fact that ψ is an altering distance function, we get

$$(2.6) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq a\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) + \frac{b}{s} \psi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}).$$

If

$$\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

then by (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq a\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) + \frac{b}{s} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \left(a + \frac{b}{s}\right) \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus,

$$\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Therefore (2.6) becomes

$$\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq a\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)),$$

this implies that,

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \frac{b}{s(1-a)} \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Let $r = \frac{b}{s(1-a)}$. Then from (2.7), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq r\psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Repeating (2.8) n -times, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq r\psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq r^2\psi(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\vdots \\ (2.9) \quad &\leq r^n\psi(d(x_0, x_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using the fact that $r < 1$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

Since ψ is an altering distance function, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Next, we show that, (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X . Suppose to the contrary; that is, (x_n) is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences $(x_{m(i)})$ and $(x_{n(i)})$ of (x_n) such that $n(i)$ is the smallest index for which

$$(2.11) \quad n(i) > m(i) > i, \quad d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}) \geq \epsilon.$$

This means that

$$(2.12) \quad d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}) < \epsilon.$$

From (2.11), (2.12) and (b3) inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}) \leq s [d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}) + d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)})] \\ &< s\epsilon + sd(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10) and letting $i \rightarrow +\infty$ in above inequalities, we get

$$(2.13) \quad \epsilon \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}) < s\epsilon.$$

Again, by using (b3), we obtain that

$$(2.14) \quad d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}) \leq s [d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)})].$$

Taking limit supremum as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14), from (2.10) and (2.13), we get

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}) < s^2\epsilon.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}) < s^2\epsilon.$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}) \\ &\leq s [d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1})] \\ (2.17) \quad &\leq sd(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}) + s^2 [d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}) + d(x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit supremum as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17), from (2.10) and (2.13), we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}) < s^3\epsilon.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)})) &\leq \psi(\delta(fx_{m(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1})) \\ &\leq a\psi(m_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})) \\ (2.18) \quad &+ L\psi(N_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} m_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}) &= D(x_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}) \frac{1 + D(x_{m(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)-1})}{1 + d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})} \\ &\leq d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}) \frac{1 + d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)})}{1 + d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} &M_{s_1}(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}), D(x_{m(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)-1}), D(x_{n(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{D(x_{m(i)-1}, fx_{n(i)-1}) + D(fx_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}), d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}), d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1})}{2s} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} N_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}) &= \min\{D(x_{m(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)-1}), D(fx_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})\} \\ &\leq \min\{d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}), d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $i \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.19)–(2.21). Then by using (2.10), (2.13), (2.15), (2.16) and the properties of ψ , we get

$$(2.22) \quad \psi\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} m_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})\right) = 0,$$

$$(2.23) \quad \psi\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \sup M_{s_1}(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})\right) \leq \psi(s\epsilon)$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad \psi\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} N_1(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1})\right) = 0.$$

Letting $i \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.14). Then using (2.13) and (2.22)–(2.24) we have

$$\psi(\epsilon) \leq \frac{b}{s}\psi(s\epsilon) \leq b\psi(\epsilon) < \psi(\epsilon),$$

a contradiction. Thus $(x_0, x_1 \in fx_0, x_2 \in fx_1, \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is multivalued orbitally complete b-metric space, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = u.$$

Now, we will show that $u \in fu$. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, fu)) &\leq \psi(\delta(fx_n, fu)) \\ (2.25) \quad &\leq a\psi(m_1(x_n, u)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(x_n, u)) + L\psi(N_1(x_n, u)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(x_n, u) &= D(u, fu) \frac{1 + D(x_n, fx_n)}{1 + d(x_n, u)} \\ (2.26) \quad &\leq D(u, fu) \frac{1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + d(x_n, u)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s_1}(x_n, u) &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, u), D(x_n, fx_n), D(u, fu), \frac{D(x_n, fu) + D(fx_n, u)}{2s} \right\} \\ (2.27) \quad &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_n, u), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), D(u, fu), \frac{D(x_n, fu) + d(x_{n+1}, u)}{2s} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(x_n, u) &= \min\{D(x_n, fx_n), D(u, fx_n)\} \\ (2.28) \quad &\leq \min\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(u, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.26)–(2.28) and using the property of ψ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup m_1(x_n, u)) &\leq \psi(d(u, fu)), \\ \psi(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup M_1(x_n, u)) &\leq \psi(D(u, fu)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} N_1(x_n, u)) = 0.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.25). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\delta(u, fu)) &\leq a\psi(D(u, fu)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(D(u, fu)) \\ &\leq \left(a + \frac{b}{s}\right) \psi(\delta(u, fu)). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality happened only if $\delta(u, fu) = 0$. Thus $u \in fu$. So u is a fixed point of f . To prove the uniqueness of fixed point. Suppose that f has two fixed

point u and v such that $u \neq v$. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(d(u, v)) &\leq \psi(\delta(fu, fv)) \leq a\psi(m_1(u, v)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(u, v)) + L\psi(N_1(u, v)) \\
 &= a\psi\left(D(u, fu)\frac{1 + D(u, fu)}{1 + d(u, v)}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{b}{s}\psi\left(\max\left\{d(u, v), D(u, fu), D(v, fv), \frac{D(u, fv) + D(fu, v)}{2s}\right\}\right) \\
 &\quad + L\psi(\min\{D(u, fu), D(v, fv)\}) \\
 (2.29) \quad &= \frac{b}{s}\psi(d(u, v)) < \psi(d(u, v)),
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus $u = v$. Therefore f has a unique fixed point. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space, and let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose there exist $a \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{a}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, such that $\psi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space, and let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq a\psi(m_1(x, y)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_{s_1}(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Let $\psi = i$, the identity function, in Theorem 2.1 and Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2. Then we have the following results.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space where and let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\delta(fx, fy) \leq am_1(x, y) + \frac{b}{s}M_{s_1}(x, y) + LN_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space where and let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose there exist $a \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\delta(fx, fy) \leq \frac{a}{s} M_{s1}(x, y) + LN_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space, where and let $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\delta(fx, fy) \leq am_1(x, y) + \frac{b}{s} M_{s1}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a single valued mapping. In the rest of this paper, we set

$$m(x, y) = d(y, fy) \frac{1 + d(x, fx)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

$$M_s(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{2s} \right\}$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Now, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.2. *Let (X, d) be an extended b -metric space and ψ be an altering distance function. We say that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a generalized ψ -weak contraction mapping if there are nonnegative real numbers a, b and L with $a + \frac{b}{s} < 1$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq a\psi(m(x, y)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_s(x, y)) + L\psi(N(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

As a consequence result of Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete extended b -metric space. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a generalized ψ -weak contraction mapping, such that $\psi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X, \alpha \geq 0$, then f has a unique fixed point.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose there exist $a \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{a}{s}\psi(M_s(x, y)) + L\psi(N(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, such that $\psi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq a\psi(m(x, y)) + \frac{b}{s}\psi(M_s(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, such that $\psi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Let $\psi = i$, the identity function, in Corollaries (2.6)–(2.8). Then we have the following results.

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq am(x, y) + \frac{b}{s}M_s(x, y) + LN(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.10. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose there exist $a \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \frac{a}{s}M_s(x, y) + LN(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.11. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose there exist $a + \frac{b}{s} \in [0, 1)$, $b < 1$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq am(x, y) + \frac{b}{s}M_s(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

3. APPLICATIONS

Denote by Φ the set of functions $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:

1. ϕ is a Lebesgue integrable function on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$,
2. for every $x > 0$, we have $\int_0^x \phi(t) dt > 0$,
3. $\phi(at) \leq a\phi(t)$.

Now, the mapping $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt.$$

is an altering distance function with $\psi(ax) \leq a\psi(x)$.

Now, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a multivalued orbitally complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers a, b and L with $a + \frac{b}{s} < 1$, $b < 1$, and $L \leq 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{\delta(fx, fy)} \phi(t) dt \leq a \int_0^{m_1(x, y)} \phi(t) dt + \frac{b}{s} \int_0^{M_{s_1}(x, y)} \phi(t) dt + L \int_0^{N_1(x, y)} \phi(t) dt$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking $\psi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be an orbitally complete b -metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers a, b and L with $a + \frac{b}{s} < 1$, $b < 1$, and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{\delta(fx, fy)} \phi(t) dt \leq a \int_0^{m(x, y)} \phi(t) dt + \frac{b}{s} \int_0^{M_s(x, y)} \phi(t) dt + L \int_0^{N(x, y)} \phi(t) dt$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.1 by noting that every single valued mapping can be considered as a multivalued mapping from X into $B(X)$. □

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we present some examples to support the useability of our results.

Example 4. Let $X = [0, 4]$. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by:

$$fx = \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{c}, \quad x \in [0, 4], c > 2.$$

Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be given by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2$. Define an altering distance functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = rt$, $r \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$, then

$$M_s(x, y) = \max \left\{ |x - y|^2, |x - \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{c}|^2, |y - \sinh^{-1} \frac{y}{c}|^2, \frac{|x - \sinh^{-1} \frac{y}{c}|^2 + |y - \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{c}|^2}{4} \right\}.$$

By using the mean value theorem simultaneously for the inverse hyperbolic sine function we get,

$$r |fx - fy|^2 = r \left| \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{c} - \sinh^{-1} \frac{y}{c} \right|^2 \leq \frac{r}{c^2} |x - y|^2 \leq \frac{r}{c^2} M_s(x, y),$$

we have

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{\psi(M_s(x, y))}{c^2} + L\psi(N(x, y)) \text{ for any } L \geq 0.$$

So f satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 Therefore f has a unique fixed point. Here 0 is the unique fixed point of f . $\square$

Example 5. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Define $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ by:

$$fx = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & x = 0; \\ \{0\}, & x = 1; \\ \{x - 1, x - 2\}, & x \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be given by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y; \\ (x + y)^2, & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Define $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = te^t$. Then

1. (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with $s = 2$.
2. f has no fixed point.
3. $\psi(at) > a\psi(t)$, $\forall a > 1$.
4. $\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y))$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. The proof of (1, 2, 3) are clear. The proof (4) is divided to the following cases:

case 1: If $x > y \geq 2$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = \{y - 1, y - 2\}$. Thus

$$\delta(fx, fy) = (x + y - 2)^2$$

and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ (x + y)^2, (2x - 2)^2, (2y - 2)^2, \frac{(x + y - 2)^2}{4} \text{ or } \frac{(y + x - 2)^2}{2} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y - 2)^2 e^{(x+y-2)^2} &\leq (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2 - 4(x+y) + 4} \leq \\ e^{-12} (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2} &\leq e^{-1} (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2} \leq e^{-1} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 2: If $x \geq 2$ and $y = 1$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = 0$. Thus

$$\delta(fx, fy) = (x - 1)^2 \text{ and}$$

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ (x + 1)^2, (2x - 2)^2, 1, \frac{x^2 + (x - 1)^2}{4} \text{ or } \frac{x^2}{4} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x - 1)^2 e^{(x-1)^2} &\leq (x + 1)^2 e^{x^2 - 3} \leq e^{-3} (x + 1)^2 e^{x^2} \\ &\leq e^{-3} (x + 1)^2 e^{(x+1)^2} \leq e^{-1} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 3: (i) If $x > 2$ and $y = 0$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = 1$. Thus, $\delta(fx, fy) = (x)^2$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ x^2, 4(x-1)^2, 1, \frac{(x+1)^2 + (x-2)^2}{4} \text{ or } \frac{(x+1)^2}{4} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 e^{x^2} &\leq x^2 e^{4(x-1)^2-1} \leq 4(x-1)^2 e^{-1} e^{4(x-1)^2} \\ &\leq e^{-1} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2} \psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

(ii) If $x = 2$ and $y = 0$, then $fx = \{1, 0\}$ and $fy = 1$. Thus, $\delta(fx, fy) = 1$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ 4, 4, 1, \frac{9}{4} \right\} = 4.$$

Since

$$e \leq 4e^{-3}e^4 \leq 4e^{-1}e^4 \leq e^{-1}M_{s1}(x, y)e^{M_{s1}(x, y)},$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 4: If $x = y \geq 2$, then $fx = fy = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$. Thus $\delta(fx, fx) = (2x - 3)^2$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ 0, (2x - 2)^2, \frac{(2x - 2)^2}{2} \right\} = (2x - 2)^2$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (2x - 3)^2 e^{(2x-3)^2} &\leq (2x - 2)^2 e^{((2x-2)-1)^2} \leq e^{-3} (2x - 2)^2 e^{(2x-2)^2} \\ &\leq e^{-1} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 5: If $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$

(i) $x = y$, then $fx = fy$. Thus

$$\delta(fx, fy) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

(ii) $x = 1$ and $y = 0$ then $fx = 0$ and $fy = 1$. Thus

$$\delta(fx, fy) = 1 = M_{s1}(x, y)$$

and

$$N_1(x, y) = \min \{D(x, fx), D(y, fy)\} = 1.$$

Since

$$e \leq 1 + e,$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-1}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + \psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

So f satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 except the condition $\psi(at) \leq a\psi(t)$ for all $a \geq 0$, this means that this condition is necessary for existence of a fixed point of f . $\square$

Example 6. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Define $f : X \rightarrow B(X)$ by:

$$fx = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & x \in \{0, 1\}; \\ \{x-1, x-2\}, & x \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be given by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y; \\ (x+y)^2, & x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Define $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = te^t$. Then

1. (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with $s = 2$.
2. $\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq e^{-3}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y))$ for any $x, y \in X$ and any $L \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of (1) is clear. The proof (2) is divided to the following cases:

case 1: If $x > y \geq 2$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = \{y - 1, y - 2\}$. Thus

$$\delta(fx, fy) = (x + y - 2)^2$$

and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ (x + y)^2, (2x - 2)^2, (2y - 2)^2, \frac{(x + y - 2)^2}{4} \text{ or } (y + x - 2)^2 \right\}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y - 2)^2 e^{(x+y-2)^2} &\leq (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2 - 4(x+y) + 4} \leq \\ e^{-12} (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2} &\leq e^{-3} (x + y)^2 e^{(x+y)^2} \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}; \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2} \psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 2: If $x \geq 2$ and $y = 1$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = 0$. Thus $\delta(fx, fy) = (x - 1)^2$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ (x + 1)^2, (2x - 2)^2, 1, \frac{x^2 + (x - 1)^2}{4} \text{ or } \frac{x^2}{4} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x - 1)^2 e^{(x-1)^2} &\leq (x + 1)^2 e^{x^2 - 3} \leq e^{-3} (x + 1)^2 e^{x^2} \\ &\leq e^{-3} (x + 1)^2 e^{(x+1)^2} \leq e^{-3} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2} \psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 3: If $x \geq 2$ and $y = 0$, then $fx = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$ and $fy = 0$. Thus, $\delta(fx, fy) = (x - 1)^2$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ x^2, (2x - 2)^2, 0, \frac{x^2 + (x - 2)^2}{4} \text{ or } \frac{x^2}{4} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x - 1)^2 e^{(x-1)^2} &\leq x^2 e^{(x-1)^2} = x^2 e^{x^2 - 2x + 1} \leq e^{-3} x^2 e^{x^2} \\ &\leq e^{-3} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 4: If $x = y \geq 2$, then $fx = fy = \{x - 1, x - 2\}$. Thus $\delta(fx, fx) = (2x - 3)^2$ and

$$M_{s1}(x, y) = \max \left\{ 0, (2x - 2)^2, \frac{(2x - 2)^2}{2} \right\}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (2x - 3)^2 e^{(2x-3)^2} &\leq (2x - 2)^2 e^{((2x-2)-1)^2} \leq e^{-3} (2x - 2)^2 e^{(2x-2)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2} M_{s1}(x, y) e^{M_{s1}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

case 5: If $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, then $fx = fy = 0$. Thus $\delta(fx, fy) = 0$. Hence

$$\psi(\delta(fx, fy)) \leq \frac{2e^{-3}}{2}\psi(M_{s1}(x, y)) + L\psi(N_1(x, y)).$$

Clear, f has a unique fixed point although f does not satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] I.A. BAKHTIN: *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces*, Funct. Anal. Gos. Ped. Inst., Unianowsk, **30** (1989), 26–37.
- [2] S. BANACH: *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [3] S. CZERWIK: *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostra. **1** (1993), 5–11.
- [4] T. KAMRAN, M. SAMREEN, Q. UL AIN : *A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems*, Mathematics (2017), 5, 19.
- [5] W. SHTANAWI, K. ABODAYEH, A. MUKHEIMER: *Some fixed point theorems in extended b-metric spaces*, Sci. Bull., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys., Politeh. Univ. Buchar, **80**(4) (2018), 71–78.
- [6] U. KADAK: *On the Classical Sets of Sequences with Fuzzy b-metric*, Gen. Math. Notes, **23** (2014), 89–108.
- [7] W. SHTANAWI: *Some fixed point results for a generalized ψ -weak contraction mappings in orbitally metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **45** (2012), 520–526.

- [8] J. HEINONEN: *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer , Berlin, Germany, 2001.
- [9] S. CZERWIK: *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263–276.
- [10] V. BERINDE: *Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces*, Babes-Bolyai University: Cluj-Napoca, Romania, In Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, **3** (1993), 3–9.
- [11] V. BERINDE: *Some remarks on a fixed point theorem for Ćirić-type almost contractions*, Carpathian J. Math., **25** (2009), 157–162.
- [12] B. SAMET, C. VETRO: *Berinde mappings in orbitally complete metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fract, **44** (2011), 1075–1079.
- [13] H.K. NASHINE, B. SAMET: *Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 2201–209.
- [14] O. POPESCU: *Fixed points for (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractions*, Appl Math Lett., **24** (2011), 1–4.
- [15] D. DORIC: *Common fixed point for generalized (ψ, ϕ) -weak contraction*, Appl Math Lett., **22** (2009), 1896–900.
- [16] W. SHATANAWI: *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear weakly C contractive mappings in metric spaces*, Math Comput Model, **54** (2011), 2816–26.
- [17] W. SHATANAWI, B. SAMET: *On (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces*, Comput Math Appl., **62** (2011), 3204–14.
- [18] H. AYDI, E. KARAPINAR, W. SHATANAWI: *Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive condition in ordered partial metric spaces*, Comput Math Appl., **62** (2011), 4449–60.
- [19] H. AYDI, M. POSTOLACHE, W. SHATANAWI: *Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G-metric spaces*, Comput Math Appl., **63** (2012), 298–309.
- [20] W. SINTUNAVARAT: *Fixed point results in b-metric spaces approach to the existence of a solution for nonlinear integral equations*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, **110** (2016), 585–600.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN, JORDAN.

Email address: emanbashayreh88@gmail.com and aym9160402@ju.edu.jo

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN, JORDAN.

Email address: a.tallafha@ju.edu.jo

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SCIENCES, PRINCE SULTAN UNIVERSITY, RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HASHEMITE UNIVERSITY, ZARQA, JORDAN.

Email address: wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa and swasfi@hu.edu.jo