ADV MATH SCI JOURNAL Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **11** (2022), no.6, 557–564 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.11.6.4

QUALITATIVE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS: APPLICATION TO LINEAR SPECIAL GROUP $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$

Taki N'dah Jean Pierre Auguste¹, Brou Kouakou, and Kangni kinvi

ABSTRACT. It is known that if the support of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its Fourier transform have finite measure then f = 0 almost everywhere. We study generalizations of this property for semisimple Lie groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let λ be the Lebesque measure on \mathbb{R}^n and let f be an λ -integrable function. Let put :

$$A_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \neq 0\}$$
 and $B_f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{f}(x) \neq 0\}$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f. We want to give a generalization of the following result of Benedicks [8].

Theorem 1.1. if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ verifies: $\lambda(A_f) < \infty$ and $\lambda(B_f) < \infty$ then f is null λ -almost everywhere.

This result has been proved in the cases of nilpotent and solvable Lie Groups [3], [2].

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 43A30, 22E27, 22E30.

Key words and phrases. Induced representation, Fourier transform, uncertainty principle.

Submitted: 03.06.2022; Accepted: 19.06.2022; Published: 24.06.2022.

Let G be a locally topological compact group, dm be the Haar measure on G and \hat{G} its unitary dual, $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ denote the Plancherel measure on \hat{G} .

For $f \in L^1(G)$, we put:

$$A_f = \{x \in G : f(x) \neq 0\}$$
 and $B_f = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \widehat{f}(\pi) \neq 0\}.$

The qualitative uncertainty principle for Fourier Transform can be states as follows: For $f \in L^1(G)$, if $m(A_f) < \infty$ and $\mu(B_f) < \infty$, then f = 0.

In this paper, we prove that any semisimple Lie group verifies the qualitative uncertainty principle.

We considered an Iwasawa decomposition of G(G = KAN) and we will use the fact that any unitary representation of *G* is induced by a representation of the solvable subgroup *AN* of *G*. [4]

For other results of the qualitative uncertainty principle of certain groups, refer to articles [1], [5], [6] and [7].

2. QUALITATIVE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE ON SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

Let's consider a semisimple Lie group G with finite center and it Iwasawa decomposition G = KAN where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, A a closed abelian subgroup of G, N a nilpotent subgroup of G. We denote by dm, a Haar measure on G.

Let us put $G_1 = AN$, then G_1 is a solvable Lie subgroup of G. Let's set $\pi = Ind_{G_1}^G \pi_1, \pi_1 \in \widehat{G_1}$, where the carrier space is denoted by $L(G_1)$.

For $\phi \in L(G_1)$, $\int_{G/G_1} \|\phi(x)\|^2 d\dot{x} < \infty$ where $d\dot{x}$ is a *G*-invariant measure on G/G_1 .

We consider a Haar measure on K and a Haar measure on G_1 denoted respectively by dk and dm_1 . The Haar measure on G relative to the Iwasawa decomposition is given by the formula

$$dx = (\frac{\Delta_{G_1}(m_1)}{\Delta_G(m_1)})^{-1} dk dm_1 \text{ if } x = km_1$$

with $m_1 = an \in G_1$ where Δ is the module function on G.

Let us put $\Delta(m_1) = \frac{\Delta_{G_1}(m_1)}{\Delta_G(m_1)} = \Delta_{G_1}(m_1)$ because G is semisimple ($\Delta_G(m_1) = 1$). For all $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, we define the Fourier transform operator by:

$$\widehat{f}(\pi) = \pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)dx.$$

If G is a semisimple Lie group, we have for all $f \in L^1(G)$, $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\phi \in L(G_1)$,

$$\widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)\phi(g)dx.$$

for all $k \in K$, we consider the function defined by $f^k(m_1) = \Delta(m_1)^{-1/2} f(km_1^{-1})$, for all $m_1 \in G_1$. We have $f^k \in L^1(G_1)$. Let us put

$$A_f = \{x \in G : f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ and } B_f = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \widehat{f}(\pi) \neq 0\}$$
$$A_{f^k} = \{m_1 \in G_1 : f^k(m_1) \neq 0\} \text{ and } B_{f^k} = \{\pi_1 \in \widehat{G_1} : \widehat{f^k}(\pi_1) \neq 0\}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. $\pi(f) = 0$ if and only if $\pi_1(f^k) = 0$ for all $k \in K$.

Proof. For all $\phi \in L(G_1)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) &= \int_{G} f(x)\pi(x)\phi(g)dx \\ &= \int_{G} f(x)\phi(x^{-1}g)dx \\ &= \int_{K} \int_{G_{1}} f(km_{1})\phi[(km_{1})^{-1}g]\Delta(m_{1})^{-1}dkdm_{1} \\ &= \int_{K} \int_{G_{1}} f^{k}(m_{1}^{-1})\phi[(km_{1})^{-1}g]\Delta(m_{1})^{-3/2}dkdm_{1}, \\ \widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) &= \int_{K} \int_{G_{1}} f^{k}(m_{1}^{-1})\pi_{1}(m_{1}^{-1})\Delta(m_{1}^{-1})\phi(k^{-1}g)dkdm_{1} \\ &= \int_{K} \int_{G_{1}} f^{k}(m_{1}^{-1})\pi_{1}(m_{1}^{-1})\Delta(m_{1}^{-1})\phi(k^{-1}g)dkdm_{1} \\ &= \int_{K} \left(\int_{G_{1}} f^{k}(m_{1}^{-1})\pi_{1}(m_{1}^{-1})\Delta(m_{1}^{-1})dm_{1} \right) \phi(k^{-1}g)dk \\ &= \int_{K} \widehat{f^{k}}(\pi_{1})\phi(k^{-1}g)dk. \end{split}$$

- if $\pi(f) = 0$ then for all $\phi \in L(G_1)$ we have $\widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) = \pi(f)\phi(g) = 0$ and $\int_K \widehat{f^k}(\pi_1)\phi(k^{-1}g)dk = 0$. This implies that for all $k \in K$, $\widehat{f^k}(\pi_1) = 0$, for all $g \in G$, and so $\pi_1(f^k) = 0$.
- Conversely for all $k \in K$ and for all $g \in G$ if $\pi_1(f^k) = 0$, then $\widehat{f}(\pi) = \pi(f) = 0$.

Remark 2.1. We can translate the previous assert in terms of B_f and B_{f^k} as follows: $\pi \notin B_f \iff \pi_1 \notin B_{f^k}, \forall k \in K.$

Theorem 2.2. Any semisimple Lie group G satisfies the qualitative uncertainty principle.

Proof. We assume that $m(A_f)$ and $\mu_G(B_f)$ are finite. Let us show that if $m(A_f)$ is finite then $m_1(A_{f^k})$ is finite for all $k \in K$. Indeed,

$$m(A_f) = \int_G 1_{A_f}(x) dx$$

= $\int_K \int_{G_1} \Delta(m_1)^{-1} 1_{A_f}(km_1) dk dm_1.$

But

$$\begin{split} 1_{A_f}(km_1) &= 1 \Longleftrightarrow f(km_1) \neq 0 \\ & \Longleftrightarrow \Delta(m_1)^{-1/2} f^k(m_1^{-1}) \neq 0 \\ & \Longleftrightarrow f^k(m_1^{-1}) \neq 0 \\ & \Longleftrightarrow 1_{A_{f^k}}(m_1^{-1}) = 1. \end{split}$$

In the same way,

$$1_{A_f}(km_1) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow 1_{A_{f^k}(m_1^{-1})} = 0.$$

So,

$$m(A_f) = \int_K \left(\int_{G_1} \Delta(m_1)^{-1} 1_{A_{f^k}}(m_1^{-1}) dm_1 \right) dk$$

=
$$\int_K \left(\int_{G_1} 1_{A_{f^k}}(m_1) dm_1 \right) dk$$

=
$$\int_K m_1(A_{f^k}) dk.$$

If $m(A_f) < \infty$, then $m_1(A_{f^k}) < \infty$ for m_1 -almost everywhere and for all k in K. In the previous remark, $1_{B_{f^k}}(\pi_1) = 0$ for all $k \in K$ is equivalent to $1_{B_f}(\pi) = 0$. For all $k \in K$, if $1_{B_{f^k}}(\pi_1) = 1$ then $1_{B_f}(\pi) = 1$. In any case, we deduce that for all $k \in K$,

$$1_{B_{fk}}(\pi_1) \le 1_{B_f}(\pi).$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\widehat{G}_1} 1_{B_{f^k}}(\pi_1) d\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(\pi_1) \le \int_{\widehat{G}} 1_{B_f}(\pi) d\mu_G(\pi).$$

So

 $\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(B_{f^k}) \le \mu_{\widehat{G}}(B_f).$

Since $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(B_f) < \infty$, then $\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(B_{f^k}) < \infty$.

We have $m_1(A_{f^k}) < \infty$ and $\mu_{\widehat{G_1}}(B_{f^k}) < \infty$ since G_1 verifies qualitative uncertainty principle then $f^k = 0$ for all $k \in K$ and hence f = 0. Thus G verifies the qualitative uncertainty principle.

Remark 2.2. In the paper [9], the authors used the conditions: $m(KA_fK) < \infty$ and $\mu_G(B_f) < \infty$ to obtain the result. In our paper, we proved that if $\mu_G(B_f) < \infty$, and $m(A_f) < \infty$ then f = 0.

3. Application to linear special group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$

We consider the linear special group

$$G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a, b, c \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that: } ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

Here, G is a semisimple Lie group. Let's consider G = KAN with $K = \{u_{\theta}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \approx \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, $A = \{a_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \approx \mathbb{R}$ et $N = \{n_{\lambda}/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$,

$$u_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, a_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } n_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for all element $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ there exists three reals θ, t and λ such that $g = u_{\theta}a_t n_{\lambda}$. We set:

$$G_1 = AN = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^t & \lambda e^t \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}, t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \left\{ a_t n_\lambda, t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Next, G_1 is a solvable subgroup of G.

If dx, dt, $d\lambda$ are respectively the Haar measures on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, A, N and $\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$ the Haar measure on K, then for any integrable fonction f on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\int_{SL(2,\mathbb{R})} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{N} \int_{A} \int_{K} f(u_{\theta}a_{t}n_{\lambda})e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda$$

Let dm_1 the Haar measure on G_1 . We have $dm_1 = dtd\lambda$ and every unitary irreducible representation of G is induced by an unitary irreducible representation of G_1 . We have $\pi = Ind_{G_1}^G \pi_1$, $\pi_1 \in \widehat{G_1}$ with $\pi : G \longrightarrow GL(L(G_1))$ where $L(G_1)$ is a Hilbert space defined above.

For
$$f \in L^1(G)$$
, we have: $\widehat{f}(\pi) = \pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)dx$.

T.N. Jean Pierre, B. Kouakou, and K. Kinvi

Let $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\phi \in L(G_1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) &= \int_{G} f(x)\pi(x)\phi(g)dx \\ &= \int_{G} f(x)\phi(x^{-1}g)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(u_{\theta}a_{t}n_{\lambda})\phi[(u_{\theta}a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}g]e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f[u_{\theta}(a_{t}n_{\lambda})]\phi[(a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}(u_{\theta}^{-1}g)]e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f[u_{\theta}(a_{t}n_{\lambda})]\check{\phi}[(g^{-1}u_{\theta})(a_{t}n_{\lambda})]e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f[u_{\theta}(a_{t}n_{\lambda})]\pi_{1}[(a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}]\check{\phi}(g^{-1}u_{\theta})e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f[u_{\theta}(a_{t}n_{\lambda})]\pi_{1}[(a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}]\phi(u_{\theta}^{-1}g)e^{2t}d\theta dtd\lambda \end{split}$$

We set: $f^{\theta}(a_t n_{\lambda}) = e^{2t} f([u_{\theta}(a_t n_{\lambda})^{-1}]$ and we have $f^{\theta} \in L^1(G_1)$. Then

$$\widehat{f}(\pi)\phi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f^{\theta}[(a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}]\pi_{1}[(a_{t}n_{\lambda})^{-1}]\phi(u_{\theta}^{-1}g)d\theta dt d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \widehat{f}^{\theta}(\pi_{1})\phi(u_{\theta}^{-1}g)d\theta.$$

Now we set:

$$A_{f} = \{ x \in G : f(x) \neq 0 \}, \quad B_{f} = \{ \pi \in \widehat{G} : \widehat{f}(\pi) \neq 0 \},$$
$$A_{f^{\theta}} = \{ m_{1} \in G_{1} : f^{\theta}(m_{1}) \neq 0 \}, \quad B_{f^{\theta}} = \{ \pi_{1} \in \widehat{G_{1}} : \widehat{f^{\theta}}(\pi_{1}) \neq 0 \}.$$

By the result above, $\hat{f}(\pi) = 0$ if and only if $\hat{f}^{\theta}(\pi_1) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $g \in G$ i.e. $\pi \notin B_f \iff \pi_1 \notin B_{f^{\theta}}$, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the qualitative uncertainty principle.

Proof. Let us assume that $m(A_f)$ and $\mu_G(B_f)$ are finite. We have:

$$m(A_f) = \int_G 1_{A_f}(x) dx$$

= $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{2\pi} 1_{A_f}(u_\theta a_t n_\lambda) e^{2t} d\theta dt d\lambda.$

But,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{1}_{A_f}(u_{\theta}a_tn_{\lambda}) &= 1 \Longleftrightarrow f[u_{\theta}(a_tn_{\lambda})] \neq 0 \\ & \Longleftrightarrow e^{-2t}f^{\theta}[(a_tn_{\lambda})^{-1}] \neq 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow f^{\theta}[(a_tn_{\lambda})^{-1} \neq 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}_{A_{f^{\theta}}}([(a_tn_{\lambda})^{-1}] = 1. \end{split}$$

In the same way, $1_{A_f}(u_{\theta}a_tn_{\lambda}) = 0 \iff 1_{A_{f^{\theta}}}[(a_tn_{\lambda})^{-1}] = 0$. So,

$$m(A_f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} 1_{A_{f^{\theta}}} [(a_t n_{\lambda})^{-1}] e^{2t} dt d\lambda d\lambda$$
$$= \int_{K} \left(\int_{G_1} 1_{A_{f^k}(m_1)} dm_1 \right) dk$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} m_1(A_{f^{\theta}}) d\theta.$$

If $m(A_f) < \infty$ then $m_1(A_{f^{\theta}}) < \infty$ for m_1 -almost everywhere and for all θ in \mathbb{R} . We have proved that $1_{B_{f^{\theta}}}(\pi_1) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ that is equivalent to $1_{B_f}(\pi) = 0$.

And for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $1_{B_{f^{\theta}}}(\pi_1) = 1$ implies that $1_{B_f}(\pi) = 1$.

We deduce that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$1_{B_{f^{\theta}}}(\pi_1) \le 1_{B_f}(\pi).$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\widehat{G_1}} 1_{B_{f^{\theta}}}(\pi_1) d\mu_{\widehat{G_1}}(\pi_1) \le \int_{\widehat{G}} 1_{B_f}(\pi) d\mu_G(\pi)$$

Then

$$\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(B_{f^{\theta}}) \le \mu_{\widehat{G}}(B_f).$$

Since $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(B_f) < \infty$ then $\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(B_{f^{\theta}}) < \infty$. We have $m_1(A_{f^{\theta}}) < \infty$ and $\mu_{\widehat{G}_1}(B_{f^{\theta}}) < \infty$ since G_1 satisfies qualitative uncertainty principle then $f^{\theta} = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence f = 0. Thus G satisfies the qualitative uncertainty principle. \Box

REFERENCES

- Bansal, A. Kumar , A Qualitative Uncertainty Principle for Gabor Transform, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 54(2017) 71-84.
- [2] B. BOUAL: A qualitative uncertainty principle for completely solvable Lie groups, Revista de la union matematica argentina, **48(1) (2007)**, **41–46**.
- [3] B. BOUALI, M. HEMADAOUI: Principe d'incertitude qualitatif pour les groupes de Lie nilpotents, Revista Matematica complutense, 17(2) (2004), 277–285

- [4] G. WARNER: Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie group II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [5] H. MEJJAOLI: Qualitative Uncertainty Principles for the Inverse of the Hypergeometric Fourier Transform, Korean J. Math. 23(1) (2015), 129–151.
- [6] J. SHARMA, A. KUMAR: Qualitative uncertainty principle for Gabor transform on certain locally compact groups, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 54(1) (2017), 71–84.
- [7] E. KANIUTH: Qualitative Uncertainty Principles for Group with Finite Dimensional Irreducible Representation, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 340–356.
- [8] M. BENEDICKS: On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesque maesure, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications. 106(1) (1985), 180–183.
- [9] M. COWLING, J.F. PRICE, A. SITARAM: Uncertainty Principle for semisimple Lie Groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (serieA), 45 (1988), 127–132.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATIC UNIVERSITY OF NANGUI ABROGOUA ABIDJAN, Côte d'Ivoire. *Email address*: takiauguste@yahoo.fr

Department of Mathematics and Informatic University of Man Man, Côte d'Ivoire. Email address: broukouakou320@yahoo.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATIC UNIVERSITY OF FELIX HOUPHOUET BOIGNY ABIDJAN, Côte d'Ivoire. *Email address*: kangnikinvi@yahoo.fr