

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **12** (2023), no.4, 519–528 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.12.4.2

HANKEL DETERMINANT OF SECOND ORDER FOR INVERSE FUNCTIONS OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Milutin Obradović and Nikola Tuneski¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper we determine mainly sharp upper bounds for the Hankel determinant of second order for the inverse functions of functions from some classes of univalent functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{A} be the class containing functions that are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := |z| < 1$ and are normalized such that f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1, i.e.,

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$$

By S we denote the class of functions from A which are univalent in \mathbb{D} .

A problem that recently rediscovered, is to find upper bound (preferably sharp) of the modulus of the Hankel determinant $H_q(n)(f)$ of a given function f, for $q \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$, defined by

$$H_q(n)(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C55.

Key words and phrases. Hankel determinant, second order, inverse functions, sharp bound.

Submitted: 10.03.2023; Accepted: 28.03.2023; Published: 20.04.2023.

M. Obradović and N. Tuneski

The general Hankel determinant is hard to deal with, so the second and the third ones,

$$H_2(2)(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$$

and

$$H_3(1)(f) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix} = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2)$$

respectively, are studied instead. The research is focused on the subclasses of univalent functions (starlike, convex, α -convex, close-to-convex, spirallike,...) since the general class of normalised univalent functions is also hard to deal with. Some of the more significant results can be found in [2–6, 8–12, 14].

In this paper we will give mainly sharp upper bound of the modulus of the second Hankel determinant for the inverse functions for the functions in different subclasses of S as listed bellow.

The classes of convex and starlike functions are defined respectively with

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] > 0, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right] > 0, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

where "¬" denotes the usual subordination defined by: $f \prec g$, if, and only if, f and g are analytic in \mathbb{D} and there exists a Schwartz function ω (analytic in \mathbb{D} , $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$), such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ is equivalent to f(0) = g(0) and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$.

Further, let

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] < \frac{3}{2}, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},\$$

(that is the subclass of starlike functions, see [7]) and

$$\mathcal{S}_q^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec z + \sqrt{1+z^2} \right\}.$$

(also is the subclass of starlike functions).

For every univalent function in \mathbb{D} there exists an inverse one, at least on the disk with radius 1/4 (due to the famous Koebe's 1/4 theorem). If the inverse function has an expansion

(1.2)
$$f^{-1}(w) = w + A_2 w^2 + A_3 w^3 + \cdots,$$

then, by using the identity $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$, from (1.1) and (1.2) we receive

(1.3)

$$A_{2} = -a_{2},$$

$$A_{3} = -a_{3} + 2a_{2}^{2},$$

$$A_{4} = -a_{4} + 5a_{2}a_{3} - 5a_{2}^{3},$$

$$A_{5} = -a_{5} + 6a_{2}a_{4} - 21a_{2}^{2}a_{3} + 3a_{3}^{2} + 14a_{2}^{4}$$

Using the definition of the second Hankel determinant, together with (1.2) and (1.3), after some calculations we have

(1.4)
$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) = A_2A_4 - A_3^2 = a_2a_4 - a_3^2 - a_2^2(a_3 - a_2^2) \\ = H_2(2)(f) - a_2^2(a_3 - a_2^2).$$

For our consideration we need the next lemma proven in [15] (can be found also in [1]).

Lemma 1.1. Let

(1.5)
$$\omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

be a Schwartz function. Then

$$|c_1| \le 1$$
, $|c_2| \le 1 - |c_1|^2$ and $|c_3| \le 1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In the main theorem we will give upper bound (mainly sharp) of the modulus of the second Hankel determinant for the inverse functions of functions from some classes univalent functions. The same problem was studied in [13] for the class $U(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, of univalent functions defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| < \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

M. Obradović and N. Tuneski

Theorem 2.1. The next statements are valid.

(i) If $f \in C$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \leq \frac{1}{8}$. (ii) If $f \in S^*$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \leq 3$. (iii) If $f \in G$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \leq \frac{1}{16}$. (iv) If $f \in S_q^*$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \leq \frac{11}{38}$.

The first three inequalities are sharp.

Proof.

(*i*) From the definition of the class C, for $f \in C$, we have:

(2.1)
$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}$$

where ω is a Schwartz function. From (2.1) we have

$$(zf'(z))' = [1 + 2\omega(z) + 2\omega^2(z) + \cdots]f'(z),$$

and from here by using the notations (1.1) and (1.5) and equating the coefficients:

(2.2)
$$a_{2} = c_{1}, \\ a_{3} = \frac{1}{3}(c_{2} + 3c_{1}^{2}), \\ a_{4} = \frac{1}{6}(c_{3} + 5c_{1}c_{2} + 6c_{1}^{3}).$$

Using (1.4) and (2.2), after some calculations, we obtain

(2.3)
$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) = \frac{1}{6}c_1c_3 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2c_2 - \frac{1}{9}c_2^2,$$

which implies

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{6}|c_1||c_3| + \frac{1}{6}|c_1|^2|c_2| + \frac{1}{9}|c_2|^2.$$

If we use Lemma 1.1 for $|c_3|$, then from the last relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_2(2)(f^{-1})| &\leq \frac{1}{6} |c_1| \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) + \frac{1}{6} |c_1|^2 |c_2| + \frac{1}{9} |c_2|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} |c_1| (1 - |c_1|^2) + \frac{2 - |c_1|}{18(1 + |c_1|)} |c_2|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |c_1|^2 |c_2|, \end{aligned}$$

and if we use that $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$ (again from Lemma 1.1) and some calculations:

Hankel determinant for inverse functions

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{9}(1+|c_1|^2-2|c_1|^4) \le \frac{1}{8},$$

where maximum is attained for $|c_1| = \frac{1}{2}$. This result is the best possible. Namely, if in (2.1) we choose the Schwartz function

$$\omega_1(z) = z \frac{z + \frac{1}{2}}{1 + \frac{1}{2}z} = \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{3}{4}z^2 - \frac{3}{8}z^3 + \cdots,$$

with $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_2 = \frac{3}{4}$, $c_3 = -\frac{3}{8}$, then we receive the function f_1 defined by

$$1 + \frac{zf_1''(z)}{f_1'(z)} = \frac{1 + \omega_1(z)}{1 - \omega_1(z)}$$

such that, from (2.3), $H_2(2)(f_1^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{8}$, i.e., $|H_2(2)(f_1^{-1})| = \frac{1}{8}$.

(*ii*) We will use the same method as in the case (*i*). If
$$f \in S^*$$
, then by definition
(2.4)
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}$$

for some Schwartz function ω . First, from the relation (2.4) we have

$$zf'(z) = [1 + 2\omega(z) + 2\omega^2(z) + \cdots] \cdot f(z),$$

and using the notations (1.1) and (1.5), after comparing the coefficients:

(2.5)
$$a_{2} = 2c_{1}, \\ a_{3} = c_{2} + 3c_{1}^{2}, \\ a_{4} = \frac{2}{3}(c_{3} + 5c_{1}c_{2} + 6c_{1}^{3}).$$

Using (1.4) and (2.5), after some calculations we obtain

$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) = \frac{4}{3}c_1c_3 - \frac{10}{3}c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2 + 3c_1^4,$$

and from here

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{4}{3}|c_1||c_3| + \frac{10}{3}|c_1|^2|c_2| + |c_2|^2 + 3|c_1|^4.$$

Further, using Lemma 1.1 and the same estimation as in the previous case, we have

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{3}(3+8|c_1|^2 - 2|c_1|^4) \le 3,$$

where the maximum is attained when $|c_1| = 1$. For the Koebe function $k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ we have $c_1 = 1$ and $c_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, ..., i.e., H_2(2)(k^{-1}) = 3$, which means that our result is sharp.

(*iii*) From the definition of the class \mathcal{G} , for $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

(2.6)
$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}$$

for some Schwartz function ω . From (2.6) we have

$$(zf'(z))' = [1 - \omega(z) - \omega^2(z) - \cdots] \cdot f'(z),$$

and from here, by using (1.1) and (1.5) and equating the coefficients:

(2.7)
$$a_{2} = -\frac{1}{2}c_{1},$$
$$a_{3} = -\frac{1}{6}c_{2},$$
$$a_{4} = -\frac{1}{24}(2c_{3} + c_{1}c_{2}).$$

Using (1.4) and (2.6), after some calculations, we get

(2.8)
$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) = \frac{1}{144} (6c_1c_3 + 9c_1^2c_2 + 9c_1^4 - 4c_2^2)$$

and from here

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{144} (6|c_1||c_3| + 9|c_1|^2|c_2| + 9|c_1|^4 + 4|c_2|^2).$$

Using the estimations given in Lemma 1.1 and the same method as in two previous cases, we have

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{144}(4+7|c_1|^2-2|c_1|^4) \le \frac{1}{16},$$

where maximum is attained for $|c_1| = 1$. For the function f_2 defined by

$$1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

(i.e. when $\omega(z) = z$ in (2.6)) we have $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = c_3 = 0$, which, by (2.8), implies $H_2(2)(f_2^{-1}) = \frac{1}{16}$, i.e., the result is sharp.

(*iv*) From the definition of the class S_q^* for $f \in S_q^*$, we have

(2.9)
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \omega(z) + \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)}$$

for some Schwartz function ω . From the relation (2.4) we have

$$zf'(z) = \left[\omega(z) + \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)}\right] \cdot f(z).$$

Using the notations (1.1) and (1.5), and after comparing the coefficients we receive

(2.10)
$$a_{2} = c_{1},$$
$$a_{3} = \frac{1}{2}c_{2} + \frac{3}{4}c_{1}^{2},$$
$$a_{4} = \frac{1}{12}(4c_{3} + 10c_{1}c_{2} + 5c_{1}^{3})$$

Using (1.4) and (2.10), after some calculations we obtain that

$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) = \frac{1}{3}c_1c_3 - \frac{5}{12}c_1^2c_2 + \frac{5}{48}c_1^4 - \frac{1}{4}c_2^2,$$

and from here

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{3}|c_1||c_3| + \frac{5}{12}|c_1|^2|c_2| + \frac{5}{48}|c_1|^4 + \frac{1}{4}|c_2|^2.$$

If we use, once again, Lemma 1 and the same method as in three previous cases we obtain

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1})| \le \frac{1}{48}(-19|c_1|^4 + 12|c_1|^2 + 12),$$

where maximum $\frac{11}{38}$ is attained for $|c_1| = \sqrt{\frac{6}{19}} = 0.56195\ldots$

The expression (1.4) gives

(2.11)
$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f) = -a_2^2(a_3 - a_2^2),$$

which together with the estimates from the previous theorem easily gives the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *The next statements are valid.*

(i) If $f \in C$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| \le \frac{1}{12}$. (ii) If $f \in S^*$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| \le 4$. (iii) If $f \in G$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| \le \frac{1}{16}$. (iv) If $f \in S_q^*$, then $|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| \le \frac{1}{4}$.

All inequalities are sharp.

Proof.

(i) From the relations (2.11) and (2.2), in this case we have

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| = |a_2|^2 |a_3 - a_2^2| = \frac{1}{3} |c_1|^2 |c_2|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} |c_1|^2 (1 - |c_1|^2) \leq \frac{1}{12},$$

attained for $|c_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. This estimate is sharp, because as in case of the function f_1 , we can choose now the Schwartz function

$$\omega_2(z) = z \frac{z+c}{1+cz} = cz + (1-c^2)z^2 - c(1-c^2)z^3 + \cdots,$$

with $c_1 = c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $c_2 = 1 - c_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, leading to the function f_3 , such that

$$H_2(2)(f_3^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f_3) = -a_2^2(a_3 - a_2^2) = -\frac{1}{3}c_1^2c_2 = -\frac{1}{12}$$

(ii) From the relations (2.11) and (2.5), we have

$$H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| = 4|c_1|^2|c_2 - c_1^2| \le 4|c_1|^2 \le 4,$$

with equality for the Koebe function ($c_1 = 1, c_2 = 0$).

(iii) From (2.11) and (2.7) we receive

|.

(2.12)
$$|H_{2}(2)(f^{-1}) - H_{2}(2)(f)| = \frac{1}{4}|c_{1}|^{2} \cdot \left|-\frac{1}{6}c_{2} - \frac{1}{4}c_{1}^{2}\right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{4}|c_{1}|^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6}|c_{2}| + \frac{1}{4}|c_{1}|^{2}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{48}|c_{1}|^{2} \cdot (2 + |c_{1}|^{2})$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{16},$$

where we used $|c_2| \le 1 - |c_1|^2$, and where the equality sign is attained for the function f defined be (2.6) and $\omega(z) = z$.

(*iv*) Using the same method as in three previous remarks, we easily obtain that for the class S_q^* :

$$|H_2(2)(f^{-1}) - H_2(2)(f)| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |c_1|^2 \cdot \left|c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot |c_1|^2 \cdot \left(|c_2| + \frac{1}{2}|c_1|^2\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot |c_1|^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}|c_1|^2\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4},$$

This estimation is sharp as the function f_4 defined by

$$\frac{zf_4'(z)}{f_4(z)} = z + \sqrt{1+z^2},$$

i.e., for $\omega(z) = z$ in (2.9).

REFERENCES

- F. CARLSON: Sur les coefficients d'une fonction bornée dans le cercle unité, Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys., 27A(1) (1940), 8pp.
- [2] N.E. CHO, B. KOWALCZYK, O.S. KWON, A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM: Some coefficient inequalities related to the Hankel determinant for strongly starlike functions of order alpha, J. Math. Inequal. 11(2) (2017), 429–439.
- [3] N.E. CHO, B. KOWALCZYK, O.S. KWON, A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM: The bounds of some determinants for starlike functions of order alpha, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 41 (2018), 523–535.
- [4] W.K. HAYMAN: On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3(18) (1968), 77–94.
- [5] A. JANTENG, S.A. HALIM, M. DARUS: Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 2(7) (2006), 1–5.
- [6] A. JANTENG, S.A. HALIM, M. DARUS: Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. Int. J. Math. Anal., 13(1) (2007), 619–625.
- [7] I. JOVANOVIĆ, M. OBRADOVIĆ: A note on certain classes of univalent functions, Filomat, 9(1) (1995), 69–72.
- [8] B. KOWALCZYK, A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM: The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **97**(3) (2018), 435–445.

M. Obradović and N. Tuneski

- [9] A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM, B. ŚMIAROWSKA: The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions of order 1/2, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 13 (2019), 2231–2238.
- [10] O.S. KWON, A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM: The bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 42 (2019), 767–780.
- [11] S.K. LEE, V. RAVICHANDRAN, S. SUPRAMANIAM: Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions, J. Inequal Appl. (2013), art.281.
- [12] M. OBRADOVIĆ, N. TUNESKI: Some properties of the class U, Annales. Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sectio A - Mathematica, 73(1) (2019), 49–56.
- [13] M. OBRADOVIĆ, N. TUNESKI: Sharp bounds of Hankel determinants of second and third order for inverse functions of certain class of univalent functions, submitted, arXiv:2104.01204.
- [14] P. ZAPRAWA: Third Hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions, Mediterr. J. Math., 14(1) (2017), Art. 19, 10 pp.
- [15] I. SCHUR: Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. (German), J. Reine Angew. Math. 147 (1917), 205–232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, BULEVAR KRALJA ALEKSANDRA 73, 11000, BELGRADE, SERBIA Email address: obrad@grf.bg.ac.rs

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Karpoš II b.b., 1000 Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia. *Email address*: nikola.tuneski@mf.ukim.edu.mk