

Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal **12** (2023), no.7, 685–699 ISSN: 1857-8365 (printed); 1857-8438 (electronic) https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.12.7.4

PROBLEM FOR A HYPERBOLIC FRACTIONAL EQUATION WITH THE NON-DEGENERATE INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Aleda Koulinté¹, Ametana Edoh, Soampa Bangan, and Djibibe Moussa Zakari

ABSTRACT. In this work, we consider a hyperbolic fractional problem with nondegenerate integral boundary conditions. By using the method of Faedo-Galerkin, we demonstrate the existence of a solution of the considered problem by passing to the limit. A new result is given by proving the uniqueness of the solution based on assumptions for a similar problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, various fractional problems have been actively studied and one can find many papers dealing with them.

In this article we consider a standard equation problem and a non-local condition. In this problem the integral condition is nonlocal of second degenerate kind and transforms into a first kind. This has a significant impact on the method of investigating solvency. We focus our attention on a hyperbolic fractional equation with non-degenerate integral boundary conditions. It is well known that classical methods like those in [4], [2] [5] and [3] widely used to prove the solvency of initial boundary problems fail when applied to problems with integral conditions. Today some methods have been advanced to overcome the difficulties resulting

¹corresponding author

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 26A33, 30E25, 34A12, 34A34, 34A37, 37C25, 45J05. *Key words and phrases*. hyperbolic fractional equation, non-degenerate integral boundary conditions, the method of Faedo-Galerkin, existence, passing to the limit, uniqueness of the solution. *Submitted*: 25.06.2023; *Accepted*: 10.07.2023; *Published*: 24.07.2023.

from integral conditions. These methods are different and the choice of a concrete method depends on some form of integral condition. We propose a new approach which allows to prove a unique solvability of the nonlocal problem with degenerate integral condition; the Faedo-Galerkin method [7] and using the article [10]. This method consists in proving the existence and uniqueness of the solution using the following techniques: searching for << approximate >> solutions, establishing on these approximate solutions a priori estimates to guarantee the weak convergence of the solution , pass to the limit thanks to compactness properties (in nonlinear terms) and finally show the uniqueness of the solution.

Definition 1.1. The fractional Caputo derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function u is given by:

$$D_t^{\alpha} v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{n-\alpha-1} v^{(n)}(x) dx,$$

where $\Gamma(n-\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{n-\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$ and $n-1 \le \alpha \le n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

We consider the fractional hyperbolic equation

(1.1)
$$D_t^{\alpha}v(x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v(x,t)\right) + b(x,t)v(x,t) = g(x,t), \quad 1 \le \alpha \le 2.$$

The goal of this work is to find a function v = v(x, t) solution of equation (1.1) in $Q_T = (0, l) \times (0, T)$ with $l, T < \infty$, satisfying the initial conditions:

(1.2) $v(x,0) = \varphi_1(x), \qquad D_t^{\alpha-1}v(x,0) = \varphi_2(x),$

with the boundary condition

686

(1.3)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0,$$

and the integral condition

(1.4)
$$\int_0^l K(x)v(x,t)dx = 0$$

In this work we focus on the spatial integral condition of which we give an example

(1.5)
$$\int_0^l K(x)v(x,t)dx = 0,$$

HYPERBOLIC FRACTIONAL EQUATION

(1.6)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(l,t) + \int_0^l K(x)v(x,t)dx = 0.$$

The condition (1.5) is a non local condition of the first type, (1.6) is a non local condition of the second type. The type of a non-local integral condition depends on the presence or absence of a term containing a trace of the sought solution or its derivative outside the integral. Problems with non-local conditions are studied. Motivated on these types of conditions, we suggest a new approach to the problem (1.1)-(1.4).

2. AUXILIARY ASSUMPTIONS, NOTATIONS AND ASSERTIONS

We are now able to formulate the problem in a precise way, to study this problem, we will need the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} &(H_1): a, b \in C^1(\bar{Q}_T), \quad a_1(x,t) \leq a(x,t) \leq a_2(x,t), \ a_1(x,t), a_2(x,t) > 0; \\ &(H_2): g \in C(\bar{Q}_T); \\ &(H_3): K \in C^2([0,l]), \ K(l) > 0, \ K'(0) = 0, \ K'(l) = 0; \\ &(H_4): \int_0^l K(x)v(x,0)dx = 0, \ \int_0^l K(x)D_t^{\alpha-1}v(x,0)dx = 0; \\ &(H_5): \forall x, y \in Q_T, h_1(x,t) \leq H(x,t) + b(x,t)K(l) \leq h_2(x,t), \ h_1(x,t), h_2(x,t) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by

$$H(x,t) = (a(x,t)K'(x))_x - K(x)b(x,t).$$

Lemma 2.1. Under the hypotheses $(H_1) - (H_4)$ the non-local condition (1.4) is equivalent to the dynamic condition

(2.1)
$$K(l)a(l,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(l,t) + \int_0^l H(x,t)v(x,t)dx + \int_0^l K(x)g(x,t)dx = 0.$$

Proof. Let v(x,t) be a solution of the problem (1.1) satisfying the conditions (1.3) and (1.4). Taking the differential of the relation (1.4) with respect at t we get

(2.2)
$$\int_{0}^{l} K(x) D_{t}^{\alpha} v(x,t) dx = 0.$$

Let

$$D_t^{\alpha}v(x,t) = g(x,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,t)\right) - b(x,t)v(x,t)$$

and substituting this expression' in (2.2), we get:

(2.3)
$$\int_0^l K(x)g(x,t)dx + \int_0^l K(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v(x,t)\right)dx - \int_0^l K(x)b(x,t)v(x,t)dx = 0.$$

Integrating by parts the second term on the left-handin (2.3) and using the conditions $(H_1) - (H_5)$ we obtain:

(2.4)
$$\int_{0}^{l} K(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,t) \right) dx = K(l) a(l,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(l,t) + \int_{0}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a(x,t) K'(x) \right) v(x,t) dx.$$

Substituting (2.4) in (2.3), we get:

(2.5)
$$\int_0^l K(x)g(x,t)dx + K(l)a(l,t)v_x(l,t) + \int_0^l (a(x,t)K'(x))_x v(x,t)dx - \int_0^l K(x)b(x,t)v(x,t)dx = 0,$$

Substituting the expression of H(x, t) in this last relation we have:

(2.6)
$$K(l)a(l,t)v_x(l,t) + \int_0^l H(x,t)v(x,t)dx + \int_0^l K(x)g(x,t)dx = 0.$$

The conclusion of this lemma allows us to pass to the nonlocal problem with the dynamic condition (2.1). Note that this condition includes $v_x(l, t)$. This fact makes it possible to use a technique presented in the continuation of this work namely the method of compactness and Faedo-Galerkin.

3. PRELIMINARIES

The method used consists in transforming the inhomogeneous conditions of the problem into homogeneous conditions by introducing new functions w(x,t) which is the particular solution and u(x,t) which is the homogeneous one verifying

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) \right) + b(x,t) u(x,t) = f(x,t) \\ u(x,0) = 0, \\ D_t^{\alpha-1} u(x,0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0 \\ \int_0^l K(x) u(x,t) dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solution of the problem (1.1) is therefore of the form

$$u(x,t) = v(x,t) + w(x,t),$$

where

$$w(x,t) = \varphi_1(x)t + \varphi_2(x)$$
(3.2)
$$g(x,t) = f(x,t) - \left[D_t^{\alpha}w(x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}w(x,t)\right) + b(x,t)w(x,t)\right],$$
(3.3)
$$g(x,t) = f(x,t) - \left[D_t^{\alpha}(\varphi_1(x)t + \varphi_2(x)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\varphi_1(x)t + \varphi_2(x))\right) + b(x,t)(\varphi_1(x)t + \varphi_2(x))\right].$$

Thus the solution of the problem (1.1) is therefore of the form:

(3.4)
$$\begin{cases} v(x,0) = -\varphi_2(x) \\ D_t^{\alpha-1} v(x,0) = -D_t^{\alpha-1} w(x,0). \end{cases}$$

4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION

Definition 4.1. We define as $W_2^1(Q_T)$ the Hilbert space which consists of all functions $u \in L_2(Q_T)$ such that: $D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t)$, $D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,t)$, $D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u \in L_2(Q_T)$ with standard

(4.1)
$$\| u \|_{E} = \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t))^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt,$$

where *F* is a Hilbert space with the finite norm

(4.2)
$$||f||_F = \int_0^\tau \int_0^l f^2(x,t) dx dt.$$

Consider this problem again

(4.3)
$$\mathcal{L}u \equiv D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(x,t)\right) + b(x,t)u(x,t) = f(x,t)$$

(4.4)
$$u(x,0) = 0$$
 $D_t^{\alpha-1}u(x,0) = 0$,

with boundary and integral conditions

(4.5)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0$$

(4.6)
$$K(l)a(l,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(l,t) + \int_0^l H(x,t)u(x,t)dx + \int_0^l K(x)f(x,t)dx = 0.$$

We denote by

$$W(Q_T) = \{ u(x,t) : u(x,t) \in W_2^1(Q_T), \qquad D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) \in L_2(Q_T \cup \Gamma_l) \}$$
$$\hat{W}(Q_T) = \{ v : v \in W(Q_T) \},$$

where $W_2^1(Q_T)$ is a Sobolev space

$$\Gamma_l = (x, l) : x = l, t \in [0, T].$$

4.1. Variational formulation. Multiplying the equation (4.3) by the function v(x, t) and integrating the result from 0 to 1 and from 0 to T, we obtain:

(4.7)
$$\int_0^T \int_0^l D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) v(x,t) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_0^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) \right) v(x,t) dx dt$$
$$+ \int_0^T \int_0^l b(x,t) u(x,t) v(x,t) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_0^l f(x,t) v(x,t) dx dt.$$

Integrating by parts the second term of the left hand-side of (4.7), we obtain:

(4.8)
$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) \right) v(x,t) dx dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left(a(l,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(l,t) \right) v(l,t) dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{l} \left(a(x,t) u(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x,t) \right) dx dt.$$

Substituting (4.8) in (4.7) and taking into account the lemma (2.1), we obtain:

$$K(l) \int_0^T \int_0^l \left(D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) v(x,t) + a(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x,t) + b(x,t) u(x,t) v(x,t) \right) dx dt + \int_0^T v(l,t) \int_0^l H(x,t) u(x,t) dx dt$$

$$= K(l) \int_0^T \int_0^l f(x,t) v(x,t) dx dt - \int_0^T v(l,t) \int_0^l K(x) f(x,t) dx dt$$

4.2. Existence.

Definition 4.2. A solution $u \in W(Q_T)$ is said to be a generalized solution of the problem (4.3) - (4.6) if $u(x,0) = D_t^{\alpha-1}u(x,0) = 0$ and for all $v \in \hat{W}(Q_T)$ which satisfies the condition (4.9).

The following theorem gives us the existence of the solution

Theorem 4.1. Under the hypotheses $(H_1) - (H_4)$, the problem (4.3) admits a general solution.

The proof of this theorem is based on the Faedo-Galerkin method which consists in performing the following three steps:

- (1) Finding «approximate» solutions
- (2) We establish, on these approximate solutions, a priori estimates to guarantee a weak convergence of the approximations
- (3) We pass to the limit, thanks to compactness properties (in nonlinear terms)

4.2.1. Approximation solutions. Let $w_k(x) \in C^2[0, l]$ be a base in $W_2^1(\Omega)$. We define the approximations

$$u^{n}(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}(t)w_{k}(x),$$

where $u^n(x,t)$ are the approximate solutions of the Cauchy problem:

$$(4.10) \quad K(l) \int_0^l \left(D_t^{\alpha} u^n(x,t) w_j + a u_x^n(x,t) w_j^{'} + b u^n(x,t) w_j \right) dx \\ + w_j(l) \int_0^l H(x,t) u^n(x,t) dx = K(l) \int_0^l f(x,t) w_j dx - w_j(l) \int_0^l K(x) f(x,t) dx.$$

Multiplying the relation (4.10) by $\frac{1}{K(l)}$ and substituting $u^n(x,t)$ by its expression we obtain the relation:

(4.11)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) w_{k}(x) w_{j} + a(x,t) c_{k}(t) w_{k}^{'}(x) w_{j}^{'} + b(x,t) c_{k}(t) w_{k}(x) w_{j} \right) dx + \frac{w_{j}(l)}{K(l)} \int_{0}^{l} H(x,t) c_{k}(t) w_{k}(x) dx \right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{l} f(x,t) w_{j} dx - \frac{w_{j}(l)}{K(l)} \int_{0}^{l} K(x) f(x,t) dx,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[A_{kj}(x,l) D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) + B_{kj}(x,l) c_{k}(t) \right] = f_{j}(t),$$

where $A_{kj}(x, l) = \int_{0}^{l} w_k(x) w_j(l)$,

$$B_{kj}(x,l) = \int_0^l \left(a(x,t)w'_k(x)w'_j + b(x,t)w_k(x)w_j \right) dx + \frac{w_j(l)}{K(l)} \int_0^l H(x,t)(t)w_k(x)dx f_j(t) = \int_0^l f(x,t)w_j dx - \frac{w_j(l)}{K(l)} \int_0^l K(x)f(x,t)dx$$

To show that this equation (4.12) is solvable with respect to $D_t^{\alpha}c_k(t)$, we consider the quadratic form

(4.13)
$$q = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{kj} \xi_k \xi_j,$$

and we note $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k w_k = \eta$. Substituting A_{kj} by his expression in (4.13) A_{kj} , we get:

(4.14)
$$q = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{l} w_{k} w_{j} \xi_{k} \xi_{j} dx = \int_{0}^{l} |\eta|^{2} dx \ge 0.$$

As q = 0 if and only if $\eta = 0$, and w_k is linearly independent for $k = 1, \ldots, n$; so q is positive definite.

Therefore (4.12) is solvable with respect to $D_t^{\alpha}c_k(t)$. Thus, we can assert under the $(H_1) - (H_4)$ that the Cauchy problem has a solution for each nand for any base u^n constructed.

4.2.2. A priori estimate.

Theorem 4.2. For any function $u \in E$ there is an a priori estimate

(4.15)
$$|| u^n ||_E \le C || f ||_F,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of u.

Proof. Multiplying each member of (4.10) by $D_t^{\alpha}c_k(t)$ we get

(4.16)

$$K(l) \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) w_{j} D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) + a u_{x}^{n}(x,t) w_{j}^{\prime} D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) + b u^{n}(x,t) w_{j} D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) \right) dx + w_{j}(l) \int_{0}^{l} H u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) dx dt$$

$$= K(l) \int_{0}^{l} f w_{j} D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) dx - w_{j}(l) \int_{0}^{l} K f D_{t}^{\alpha} c_{k}(t) dx.$$

Remark 4.1. We denote by

$$D_t^{\alpha} u^n(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_t^{\alpha} c_k(t) w_k(x),$$

and

$$D_t^{\alpha}(u_x^n(x,t)) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(t) w'_k(x).$$

Let's apply the sum to each member of (4.16) and use the expression of $u^n(x,t)$

(4.17)
$$K(l) \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t))^{2} dx + K(l) \int_{0}^{l} a u_{x}^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u_{x}^{n}(x,t) dx + K(l) \int_{0}^{l} b u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx + \int_{0}^{l} H u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx = K(l) \int_{0}^{l} f D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx - \int_{0}^{l} K f D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx.$$

We take the integral from 0 to τ , $\tau \in [0,T]$ with respect to t from the relation (4.17) we get:

$$K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t))^{2} dx dt + K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} a(x,t) u_{x}^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u_{x}^{n}(x,t) dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} K(l) \int_{0}^{l} b(x,t) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} H(x,t) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt = \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} ((K(l) - K(x)) f(x,t)) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt.$$

Proposition 4.1. [?] Let u(x,t) be a function and $1 < \alpha < 2$, we have:

(4.19)
$$u(x,t)D_{t}^{\alpha}u(x,t) = \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u(x,t)\right)^{2},$$
$$u_{x}(x,t)D_{t}^{\alpha}u_{x}(x,t) = \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{x}(x,t)\right)^{2}.$$

Using ε - Cauchy inequality:

$$\alpha\beta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\beta^2$$

and Poincaré's inequality:

$$\left(\int_0^a D_t^\alpha u(x,t)dx\right)^2 \le \frac{a^2}{2}\int_0^a u^2(x,t)dx,$$

and taking into account the relation (4.19) as well as the previous proposition, we have:

(4.20)

$$K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} a(x,t) u_{x}^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u_{x}^{n}(x,t) dx dt = K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} a(x,t) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt;$$

$$K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} b(x,t) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt = K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} b(x,t) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt;$$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} H(x,t) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt + K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} b(x,t) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (b(x,t) K(l) + H(x,t)) u^{n}(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt; \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (b(x,t) K(l) + H(x,t)) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt; \\ &\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} ((K(l) - K(x)) f(x,t)) D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} ((K(l) - K(x)) f(x,t)))^{2} dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t))^{2} dx dt. \end{split}$$

The relation (4.17) becomes:

(4.21)

$$\begin{pmatrix}
K(l) - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \\
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t))^{2} dx dt \\
+ K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} a(x,t) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{n}_{x}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt \\
+ \min \left(K(l) + H(x,t) \right) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sup \left(K(l) - K(x) \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} f^{2}(x,t) dx dt.$$

We take $\epsilon > 0$ such as $\left(K(l) - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\right) > 0$ and assumptions (H_3) et (H_5) . We deduce the existence of a constant C independent of n such that:

(4.22)
$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{n}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt \leq C \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} f^{2}(x,t) dx dt \leq M,$$

where

•

$$C = \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sup \left(K(l) - K(x)\right)^2}{\min \left(K(l) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}, K(l)a_1(x, t), h_1(x, t)\right)}$$
$$M = CTl \sup(f^2(x, t)).$$

So we have

$$(4.23) ||u^n||_E \leq C||f||_F,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \|u^n\|_E &= \int_0^\tau \int_0^l (D_t^\alpha u^n(x,t))^2 dx dt + \int_0^\tau \int_0^l (D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x^n(x,t))^2 dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \int_0^l (D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^n(x,t))^2 dx dt, \end{split}$$

and

$$||f||_F = \int_0^\tau \int_0^l f^2(x,t) dx dt.$$

4.2.3. Passage to limits. Multiplying equation (4.10) a function $p \in C^1(0,T)$ with p(T) = 0 and integrating with respect to $t \in [0,T]$, we get:

(4.24)
$$K(l) \int_{0}^{T} p(t) \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}(x,t) w_{j} + a u_{x}^{n}(x,t) w_{j}^{'} + b u^{n}(x,t) w_{j} \right) dx dt$$
$$+ w_{j}(l) \int_{0}^{T} p(t) \int_{0}^{l} H u^{n}(x,t) dx dt = K(l) \int_{0}^{T} p(t) \int_{0}^{l} f w_{j} dx dt$$
$$- w_{j}(l) \int_{0}^{T} p(t) \int_{0}^{l} K f dx dt.$$

We deduce from the a priori estimate that we can extract convergent subsequences (u^{ν}) and (u^{n}) such that for $\nu \longrightarrow \infty$ we have:

$$(4.25) u^{\nu} \longrightarrow u in (Q_T);$$

$$(4.26) D_t^{\alpha} u^{\nu} \longrightarrow D_t^{\alpha} u in L_2(Q_T \cup \Gamma_l);$$

(4.27)
$$D_t^{\alpha-1}u^{\nu}(x,0) \longrightarrow D_t^{\alpha-1}u, \quad \text{in} \quad (0,l).$$

All integrals in (4.24) are defined for any function $p \in C^1(0,T), p(T) = 0$. Taking into account that $w_j(x)$ is dense in $W_2^1(0,l)$, we conclude the existence of the solution.

5. UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION

Theorem 5.1. If the probleme (4.3) admits a solution, it's unique

Proof. Suppose u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of the problem (4.3)-(4.6). So let's fix t and for all $w \in W_2^1(0, l)$, $u(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$ satisfying the conditions u(x, 0) = 0, $D_t^{\alpha}u(x, 0) = 0$ and the identity

(5.1)
$$K(l) \int_0^l \left(D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) w(x,t) + a(x,t) \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial x} + b(x,t) u(x,t) w(x,t) \right) dx w(l) \int_0^l H(x,t) u(x,t) dx = 0.$$

For $t \in [0,T]$, let $w(x,t) = D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t)$ and $\frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial x} = D_t^{\alpha}u_x(x,t)$, the relation (5.1) becomes:

(5.2)
$$K(l) \int_{0}^{l} \left((D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t))^{2} + a(x,t) \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} D_{t}^{\alpha} u_{x}(x,t) + b(x,t)u(x,t) D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) \right) dx + w(l) \int_{0}^{l} H(x,t)u(x,t) dx = 0$$

By integrating this relation (5.2) of $(0, \tau)$, $\tau \in [0, T]$, taking into account the relation (4.19) and the ϵ -Cauchy inequality we get:

$$K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t))^{2} dx dt + K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} a(x,t) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt$$

$$(5.3) \qquad + K(l) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} b(x,t) \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \frac{\epsilon w(l)}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} [H(x,t)]^{2} dx dt$$

$$+ \frac{w(l)}{2\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} [u(x,t)]^{2} dx dt \leq 0.$$

We put

$$A = \min\left(K(l); K(l)a(x,t); K(l)b(x,t); \epsilon w(l); \frac{w(l)}{2\epsilon}\right),$$

and the relation (5.3) becomes:

(5.4)
$$A[\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} (D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t))^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} [u(x,t)]^{2} dx dt] \leq 0.$$

Using the a priori estimate we get:

(5.5)
$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x}(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x,t) \right)^{2} dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{l} [u(x,t)]^{2} dx dt \leq 0.$$

This implies that u(x,t) = 0 hence $u_1(x,t) = u_2(x,t)$. Therefore the solution is unique.

REFERENCES

- K.T. ADREWS, K.L. KUTTLER, M. SHILLOR: Second order evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 197(3) (1996), 781–795.
- [2] A. ALLABEREN, A. NECMETTIN: Nonlocal boundary value hyperbolic problems involving integral conditions, Boundary Value Problems, **2014**(1) (2014), 1–10.
- [3] E. AMETANA, M.Z. DJIBIBE, K. ALEDA: Strongly generalized solution of a fractional problem of parabolic evolution of order-two in a plate with integral boundary conditions, Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes, 26 (2022), 131–141.
- [4] A. BOUZIANI: Solution forte d'un problème mixte avec une condition non locale pour une classe d'équations hyperboliques, Bulletin de la Classe des sciences, 8(1-6) (1997), 53 – 70.
- [5] M.Z. DJIBIBE, B. SOAMPA, K. TCHARIE: A strong solution of a mixed problem with boundary integral conditions for a certain parabolic fractional equation using fourier's method, International journal of advances in applied mathematics and mechanics, 9(2) (2021), 1–6.
- [6] M.Z. DJIBIBE, B. SOAMPA, K. TCHARIE: Uniqueness of the solutions of nonlocal pluriparabolic fractional problems with weighted integral boundary conditions, Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes, 26 (2022), 103–112.
- [7] X. LI: A space time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, January 2009.
- [8] F. LIAO, Y. ZHOU: Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory, Computers and mathematics with applications, **62** (2011), 1181–1199.
- [9] S. MESLOUR, A. BOUZIANI, N. KECHKAR: A strong solution of an evolution problem with integral condition, Georgian Mathematical Journal, 9(1) (2022), 149-159.
- [10] S.L. PULKINA: Nonlocal problems for hyperbolic equation with degenerate integrate integral conditions, Journal of Differential, 2016 (193) (2016), 1–12.
- [11] Y. BOUKHATEM, B. BENANDERRAHMANE, A. BITA RAHMOUNE: Methode de faedogalerkin pour un problème aux limites non linéaires, Mathematics Subject Classification, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF LOME BP 1515, LOME, TOGO. *Email address*: koulintealeda@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF LOME BP 1515, LOME, TOGO. *Email address*: edohmefeneo@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF KARA TOGO. *Email address*: bangansoampa@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF LOME BP 1515, LOME, TOGO. *Email address*: zakari.djibibe@gmail.com