

**ORTHOGONAL GENERALIZED  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -DERIVATIONS IN SEMIPRIME  $\Gamma$ -NEAR RINGS**V.S.V. Krishna Murty, C. Jaya Subba Reddy<sup>1</sup>, and J.S. Sukanya

**ABSTRACT.** Consider a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$ . Assume that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are automorphisms on  $N$ . An additive map  $d_1 : N \rightarrow N$  is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation if it satisfies

$$d_1(u\alpha v) = d_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . An additive map  $D_1 : N \rightarrow N$  is termed a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d_1$  if

$$D_1(u\alpha v) = D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Consider two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  on  $N$ . This paper introduces the concept of the orthogonality of two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and presents several results regarding the orthogonality of generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in a  $\Gamma$ -near ring.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Bresar and Vukman [7] explored the concept of orthogonal derivations in rings. The concept of generalized derivation was introduced by Bresar [6]. Bell and

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N60, 16Y30.

*Key words and phrases.* Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, Generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, Orthogonal generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, Left centralizer.

*Submitted:* 18.06.2024; *Accepted:* 02.07.2024; *Published:* 18.07.2024.

Mason [2] introduced derivations in near-rings. Park and Jung [3] investigated orthogonal generalized derivations in semiprime near-rings and obtained results on orthogonal generalized derivations in the form of a product. Ashraf et al.[8] examined orthogonal generalize derivations in  $\Gamma$ -rings. Sulaiman and Majeed [9] established some results related to the orthogonal properties of derivations on nonzero ideals of a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring. K.K. Dey et al.[4,5] focussed on the study of generalized derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings and near-rings respectively. More recently, C.Jaya Subba Reddy et al.[12,11,10] studied the orthogonality of generalized symmetric reverse biderivations, symmetric reverse bi- $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, generalized symmetric reverse bi- $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in semi prime rings, and orthogonality of reverse  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### Near-Ring (Left Near-Ring):

- A near-ring (or left near-ring) on a set  $N$  with operations  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) satisfies the following:
  - $(N, +)$  forms a group (not necessarily abelian).
  - $(N, \cdot)$  is a semigroup.
  - Distributive law holds:  $u \cdot (v + w) = u \cdot v + u \cdot w$  for all  $u, v, w \in N$ .

### $\Gamma$ -Near-Ring:

- A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring is a triplet  $(N, +, \Gamma)$  where:
  - $(N, +)$  is a group (not necessarily abelian).
  - $\Gamma$  is a non-empty set of binary operations on  $N$ .
  - For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $(N, +, \alpha)$  forms a left near-ring.
  - $u\alpha(v\beta w) = (u\alpha v)\beta w$  for all  $u, v, w \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

In a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $N$ , the subset  $N_0 = \{u \in N \mid 0\alpha u = 0, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is known as the zero symmetric part of  $N$ . A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $N$  is considered zero-symmetric if  $N = N_0$ . Notably, it qualifies as a left  $\Gamma$ -near-ring due to its adherence to the left distributive law.  $N$  will denote a zero-symmetric left  $\Gamma$ -near-ring.

A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $N$  is termed semiprime if it possesses the property that  $u\Gamma N\Gamma u = \{0\}$  implies  $u = 0$  for all  $u \in N$ . A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $N$  is described as 2-torsion free if  $2u = 0$  implies  $u = 0$  for all  $u \in N$ . In our discussion, the term  $\Gamma$ -near-ring specifically refers to a left  $\Gamma$ -near-ring.

An additive map  $d_1 : N \rightarrow N$  is referred to as a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation if it satisfies the condition  $d_1(u\alpha v) = d_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)$  for all  $u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $D_1 : N \rightarrow N$  be an additive map, and  $d_1 : N \rightarrow N$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. If  $D_1$  fulfills the condition  $D_1(u\alpha v) = D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)$  for all  $u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $D_1$  is termed a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation connected with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d_1$ .

Consider an additive mapping  $d : N \rightarrow N$ . It qualifies as a left centralizer if it obeys  $d(u\alpha v) = d(u)\alpha v$  for all  $u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . The broader notion of the generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation encompasses both the specific cases of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and left centralizers.

Two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $N$  are termed orthogonal if  $D_1(u)\Gamma N \Gamma D_2(v) = D_2(v)\Gamma N \Gamma D_1(u) = 0$  holds true for all  $u, v \in N$ .

In this paper, we maintain the assumption that  $N$  is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring, with  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  being automorphisms on  $N$ . Additionally, we assume that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, while  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $N$ . It is further assumed that  $d_1\tau = \tau d_1$ ,  $d_2\tau = \tau d_2$ ,  $\sigma d_1 = d_1\sigma$ ,  $\sigma d_2 = d_2\sigma$ , and  $D_1\tau = \tau D_1$ ,  $D_2\tau = \tau D_2$ ,  $\sigma D_1 = D_1\sigma$ ,  $\sigma D_2 = D_2\sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([5]. ,LEMMA 2.1] Suppose that  $N$  is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring and  $a, b \in N$ . Then the following conditions are identical:

- (1)  $a\alpha u\beta b = 0$ ,  $\forall u \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
- (2)  $b\alpha u\beta a = 0$ ,  $\forall u \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
- (3)  $a\alpha u\beta b + b\alpha u\beta a = 0$ ,  $\forall u \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

If one of the aforementioned conditions holds, then  $a\Gamma b = b\Gamma a = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose  $N$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring and  $D_1$  a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $N$ . Then the following statements are true:

- (i)  $(D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v))\beta\sigma(w) = D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)\beta\sigma(w)$ ,
- (ii)  $(d_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v))\beta\sigma(w) = d_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)\beta\sigma(w)$ .

for all  $u, v, w \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} D_1((u\alpha v)\beta w) &= D_1(u\alpha v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u\alpha v)\beta d_1(w) \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad &= (D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v))\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1(w), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(u\alpha(v\beta w)) &= D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v\beta w) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v\beta w) \\ (2.2) \qquad \qquad &= D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1(w). \end{aligned}$$

From the above two equations, we get

$$(D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v))\beta\sigma(w) = D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta\sigma(w) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)\beta\sigma(w).$$

Result (ii) can be proved in a similar way.

□

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose  $N$  is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring that is 2-torsion free. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $N$ . If  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal, then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = D_2(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in N$ .
- (2)  $d_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal and  $d_1(u)\sigma D_2(v) = 0 = D_2(v)\sigma d_1(u), \forall u, v \in N$ .
- (3)  $d_2$  and  $D_1$  are orthogonal and  $d_2(u)\sigma D_1(v) = 0 = D_1(v)\sigma d_2(u), \forall u, v \in N$ .
- (4)  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal and  $d_1 d_2 = 0$ .
- (5)  $d_1 D_2 = D_2 d_1 = 0$  and  $d_2 D_1 = D_1 d_2 = 0$ .
- (6)  $D_1 D_2 = D_2 D_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.*

**To prove (i):** Since  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal, we have  $D_1(u)\alpha w\beta D_2(v) = 0, \forall u, v, w \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . From Lemma 2.1, we know that  $D_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = D_2(v)\alpha D_1(u) = 0, \forall u, v \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**To prove (ii):** By the condition (i), we have

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad D_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v \in N \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $w\beta u, \forall w \in N, \beta \in \Gamma$  in (2.3) and using the orthogonality of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , we get  $\tau(w)\beta d_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = 0$ .

Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism of  $N$ , which is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring, we have

$$(2.4) \qquad \qquad d_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v \in N.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u\beta w$ ,  $\forall w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in equation (2.4) and using the same, we get  $d_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha D_2(v) = 0$ . Keeping the fact that  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $N$ , we get  $d_1(u)\beta N\alpha D_2(v) = \{0\}$  and we can write  $D_2(v)\Gamma d_1(u)\Gamma N\Gamma D_2(v)\Gamma d_1(u) = 0$ ,  $D_2(v)\Gamma d_1(u) = 0$  (Using the semiprimeness of  $N$ ). Hence, proved.

**To prove (iii):** Similarly, by considering  $D_2(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in N$ , and proceeding in the same manner as in the previous case, we can prove  $d_2(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0 = D_1(v)\Gamma d_2(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in N$ .

**To prove (iv):** Consider  $D_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  (By (2.3)). Replacing  $u$  by  $u\beta w$  and  $v$  by  $v\delta t$ ,  $\forall w, t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$  in the above equation, we get  $D_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha D_2(v)\delta\sigma(t) + \tau(u)\beta d_1(w)\alpha D_2(v)\delta\sigma(t) + D_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha\tau(v)\delta d_2(t) + \tau(u)\beta d_1(w)\alpha\tau(v)\delta d_2(t) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w, t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ . Using conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), the first, second and third terms vanish. Hence, we get  $\tau(u)\beta d_1(w)\alpha\tau(v)\delta d_2(t) = 0$ . Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -Near ring, we get  $d_1(w)\alpha\tau(v)\delta d_2(t) = 0$ , for all  $v, w, t \in N$  and  $\alpha, \delta \in \Gamma$ . Again using the automorphism property of  $\tau$  and Lemma 2.1, we get  $d_1(w)\alpha d_2(t) = 0$ , for all  $w, t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore, we can conclude that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal and so we can write that  $d_1(u)\alpha v\beta d_2(w) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Hence,  $d_1(d_1(u)\alpha v\beta d_2(w)) = 0$  and

$$d_1^2(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta\sigma(d_2(w)) + \tau(d_1(u))\alpha d_1(v)\beta\sigma(d_2(w)) + \tau(d_1(u))\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1(d_2(w)) = 0.$$

Using  $\sigma d_2 = d_2\sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1\tau$ , and  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$d_1^2(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta d_2(w) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v)\beta d_2(w) + d_1(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1 d_2(w) = 0.$$

Since  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal, the first two terms vanish and so

$$(2.5) \quad d_1(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1 d_2(w) = 0, \quad \forall u, v, w \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $d_2(w)$  in equation (2.5), and using the semiprimeness of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$d_1 d_2(w) = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad d_1 d_2 = 0.$$

**To prove (v):** Consider  $d_1(u)\alpha w\beta D_2(v) = 0$ . (Since  $d_1, D_2$  are orthogonal by (ii)) Then,  $D_2(d_1(u)\alpha w\beta D_2(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

$$D_2(d_1(u))\alpha\sigma(w)\beta\sigma(D_2(v)) + \tau(d_1(u))\alpha(d_2(w)\beta\sigma(D_2(v))) + \tau(w)\beta d_2(D_2(v)) = 0.$$

Using  $\sigma D_2 = D_2\sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1\tau$ , and  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$D_2(d_1(u))\alpha\sigma(w)\beta D_2(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(w)\beta D_2(v) + d_1(u)\alpha\tau(w)\beta d_2(D_2(v)) = 0.$$

By using (ii) and (iv), the second and third terms are zero, hence

$$(2.6) \quad D_2(d_1(u))\alpha\sigma(w)\beta D_2(v) = 0.$$

Writing  $v$  as  $d_1(u)$  in equation (2.6) and using the semiprimeness of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we get  $D_2d_1 = 0$ .

Similarly, we can prove  $d_1D_2 = 0$ ,  $D_1d_2 = 0 = d_2D_1$ ,  $D_1D_2 = 0 = D_2D_1$ . Hence the result is proved.  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *In a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$ , suppose  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Then, the following assertions hold true:*

- (1)  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal.
- (2)  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$ .
- (3)  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\Gamma d_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$  and  $d_1D_2 = d_1d_2 = 0$ .
- (4)  $D_1D_2$  is a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $N$  connected with a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d_1d_2$  of  $N$ , and  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$ .

*Proof.*

(i)  $\implies$  (ii) is evident by (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.3.

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) is evident by (i), (iv), and (v) of Lemma 2.3.

(i)  $\implies$  (iv): Suppose that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal. Using condition (iv) of Lemma 2.3, it is easy to prove  $d_1d_2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $N$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1D_2(u\alpha v) &= D_1(D_2(u\alpha v)) = D_1D_2(u)\alpha\sigma^2(v) + \tau(D_2(u))\alpha d_1(\sigma(v)) \\ &\quad + D_1(\tau(u))\alpha\sigma(d_2(v)) + \tau^2(u)\alpha d_1d_2(v). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau, \sigma$  are automorphisms on  $N$  and using conditions (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.3, we get

$$D_1D_2(u\alpha v) = D_1D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1d_2(v).$$

Therefore,  $D_1D_2$  is a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $N$  corresponding to a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d_1d_2$  of  $N$ .

Also, by condition (i), we already proved that  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$ . Hence, condition (iv) is proved.

**(ii)  $\implies$  (i):** Suppose that  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v)$ , for all  $u, v \in N$ . Consider

$$(3.1) \quad D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in the above equation and using condition (ii), we get

$$D_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha D_2(v) = 0.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism on  $N$ , and using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$D_1(u)\alpha D_2(v) = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad D_1 \text{ and } D_2 \text{ are orthogonal.}$$

**(iii)  $\implies$  (i):** Suppose that  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\Gamma d_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$  and  $d_1 D_2 = d_1 d_2 = 0$ . Consider  $d_1 D_2 = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 D_2(u\alpha v) &= 0 = d_1(D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_2(v)) \\ &= d_1(D_2(u))\alpha\sigma^2(v) + \tau(D_2(u))\alpha d_1(\sigma(v)) \\ &\quad + d_1(\tau(u))\alpha\sigma(d_2(v)) + \tau^2(u)\alpha d_1 d_2(v). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau D_2 = D_2 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_1 = d_1 \sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_2 = d_2 \sigma$ , and  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms on  $N$ , we get

$$d_1 D_2(u\alpha v) = d_1 D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1 d_2(v).$$

Since  $d_1 D_2 = d_1 d_2 = 0$  and  $d_1(u)\Gamma d_2(v) = 0$ , by hypothesis,

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v).$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.2) and using the orthogonality of  $d_2$  and  $d_1$ :

$$0 = D_2(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha d_1(v).$$

Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism on  $N$ , using Lemma 2.1, we can have

$$D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) = d_1(v)\alpha D_2(u) = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad d_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0.$$

We have  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$  (by the hypothesis of condition (iii)). By condition (ii), we can write  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal. (iv)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $D_1 D_2$  be a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $N$  associated with a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d_1 d_2$  of  $N$

and  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in N$ . Then, we have

$$(3.3) \quad D_1 D_2(u\alpha v) = D_1 D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha D_1 D_2(v).$$

Also,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1 D_2(u\alpha v) &= D_1(D_2(u\alpha v)) \\ &= D_1 D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) \\ &\quad + \tau(u)\alpha d_1 d_2(v). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0.$$

By the hypothesis of (iv), we have

$$(3.6) \quad D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0.$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $v\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.6) and using the same, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_2(w) &= 0 \\ d_2(w)\gamma D_1(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_2(w)\gamma D_1(u) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism and using the semiprimeness of  $N$ , we get

$$(3.7) \quad d_2(w)\gamma D_1(u) = 0.$$

Replacing  $w$  by  $v\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$  in (3.7) and using the same,

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(v)\beta\sigma(w)\gamma D_1(u) &= 0 \\ D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v)\beta\sigma(w)\gamma D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) &= 0, \quad \forall u, v, w \in N, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma \\ D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v)\Gamma\sigma(w)\Gamma D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism and using the semiprimeness of  $N$ , we get

$$D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0,$$

and hence we can write

$$(3.8) \quad D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) = 0.$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $v\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.8) and using the same

$$D_2(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1(w) = 0$$



$$\begin{aligned} d_1(w)\gamma D_2(u)\Gamma\tau(v)\Gamma d_1(w)\gamma D_2(u) &= 0 \\ d_1(w)\gamma D_2(u) &= 0 \quad (\text{By the semiprimeness of } N) \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.9) \quad d_1(w)\Gamma D_2(u) = 0, \quad \forall u, w \in N.$$

By using the hypothesis of (iv), we have

$$(3.10) \quad D_1(u)\Gamma D_2(v) = 0.$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10) and using condition (ii), We can conclude that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $N$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring that is semiprime and 2-torsion free. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $N$ . Assume that  $D_1$  is orthogonal to  $d_2$  and  $D_2$  is orthogonal to  $d_1$ . Then, we deduce the following:*

- (i)  $D_1 D_2$  is a left centralizer of  $N$  and  $d_1 d_2 = 0$ .
- (ii)  $D_2 D_1$  is a left centralizer of  $N$  and  $d_2 d_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are orthogonal to  $d_2$  and  $d_1$ , then

$$(3.11) \quad D_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v, w \in N \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $w\delta u$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\delta \in \Gamma$  in (3.11) and using the same, we get

$$\tau(w)\delta d_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v) = 0$$

and  $d_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v)\gamma\tau(w)\delta d_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v) = 0$ .

Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$ , we get  $d_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Therefore,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. Hence,

$$(3.12) \quad d_1 d_2 = 0.$$

Since  $D_1, D_2$  are two generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $N$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 D_2(u\alpha v) &= D_1(D_2(u\alpha v)) = D_1 D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) \\ &\quad + D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1 d_2(v). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D_1, D_2$  are orthogonal to  $d_2, d_1$ , we have  $D_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0 = D_2(u)\alpha d_1(v)$ . Also, by (3.12), we have  $d_1 d_2 = 0$ . Then the above equation reduces to  $D_1 D_2(u\alpha v) = D_1 D_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v)$ .

Hence,  $D_1 D_2$  is a left centralizer of  $N$ . Similarly, we can prove result (ii) also.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *In a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  which is 2-torsion free, considering  $D_1$  as a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on  $N$ , the condition  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in N$  implies  $D_1$  and  $d_1$  are identically zero.*

*Proof.* By the hypothesis,

$$(3.13) \quad D_1(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in N.$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $v\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.13) and using the same, we get

$$D_1(u)\alpha\tau(v)\beta d_1(w) = 0.$$

Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism of  $N$  and using Lemma 2.1, we can have

$$D_1(u)\alpha d_1(w) = 0 = d_1(w)\alpha D_1(u).$$

Consider

$$(3.14) \quad d_1(w)\alpha D_1(u) = 0, \forall u, w \in N, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u\beta w$ ,  $w \in N$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.14) and using the same equation, we get

$$d_1(w)\alpha\tau(u)\beta d_1(w) = 0, \quad \forall u, w \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$ , we get  $d_1 = 0$ .

Again, consider  $D_1(u)\Gamma D_1(v) = 0$  (by the hypothesis). Replace  $u$  by  $u\alpha v$ , for all  $v \in N$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  in the above equation and using (3.14), we get

$$D_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v)\beta D_1(v) = 0.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism and using  $N$  is semiprime, we get  $D_1 = 0$ . Hence proved.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY, V.S.V.KRISHNA MURTY: *Orthogonal symmetric reverse bi- $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in semiprime rings*, Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology, **45**(1) (2024), 5133-5138.
- [2] H. E. BELL, G. MASON: *On derivations in near-rings and rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **34**(1) (1992), 135–144.

- [3] K.H. PARK, Y.S. JUNG: *Semiprime near-rings with orthogonal derivations*, The Pure and Applied Mathematics, **13**(4) (2006), 303–310.
- [4] K. K. DEY, S. K. SAHA, A. C. PAUL: *On orthogonal generalized derivations of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Ganit Journal of Bangladesh Mathematical Society, **39** (2019), 63-70.
- [5] K. K. DEY, A. C. PAUL, I. S. RAKHIMOV: *Orthogonal generalized derivations in semiprime gamma near-rings*, International Journal of Algebra, **6**(23) (2012), 1127-1134.
- [6] M. BRESAR: *On the distance of the compositions of two derivations to the generalized derivations*, Glasgow Math.J., **33** (1991), 80-93.
- [7] M. BRESAR, J. VUKMAN: *Orthogonal derivations and extension of a theorem of Posner*, Radovi Mathematicki, **5** (1991), 237-246.
- [8] M. ASHRAF, M. R. JAMAL: *Orthogonal generalized derivations in  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Aligarh Bull. Math., **29**(1) (2010), 41–46.
- [9] N. N. SULAIMAN, A. H. MAJEED: *Orthogonal derivations on an ideal of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings*, International Mathematical Forum, (2012), 1405–1412.
- [10] V.S.V. KRISHNA MURTY, C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY: *Orthogonality of generalized reverse  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory and Application, **15**(4) (2024), 20-28.
- [11] V.S.V. KRISHNA MURTY, K. CHENNAKESAVULU, C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY: *Orthogonal generalized symmetric reverse bi- $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in semi prime rings*, Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis, **31**(3) (2024), 156-168.
- [12] V.S.V. KRISHNA MURTY, C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY: *Orthogonal generalized symmetric reverse biderivations in semiprime rings*, Journal of Applied and Pure Mathematics, (2024) (In Press).

RESEARCH SCHOLAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 SRI VENKATESWARA UNIVERSITY  
 TIRUPAHTI, ANDHRA PRADESH,  
 INDIA.  
*Email address:* krishnamurty.vadrevu@gmail.com

PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 SRI VENKATESWARA UNIVERSITY  
 TIRUPATHI, ANDHRA PRADESH,  
 INDIA.  
*Email address:* cjsreddysvu@gmail.com

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 SRINIVASA RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 ANANTHAPURAM, ANDHRA PRADESH,  
 INDIA.  
*Email address:* sukanyaprasanna2009@gmail.com